प्रेषक.

ननपद न्यायाधीश, सोनभद्र।

श्री राम प्रसाद, उप निबन्धक (एम.) माननीय उच्च न्यायालय. इलाहाबाद।

पत्रांकः

796/I

सोनभः

दिनांक : 30 / 04 / 2018

R3G. 175

विषय:

Regarding application for Resignation of Sri Raj Kumar Sirohi, Additional Civil Judge (Junior Division), Sonbhadra from U.P. Judicial Services.

महोदय,

आपके उपरोक्त विषयक पन राज्या—6621/IV-3580/Admin.(A) दिनोंक 25.04.2018 के अनुपालन में विवाद राना है कि श्री राजकुमार सिरोही, अपर सिविल जज (जू०डि०), सोनभद्र सार शुद्धालय छोड़ने के उपरान्त दिनाँक 17. 03.2018 से दिनांक 16.05.2018 तक "लीव ऑन प्राइवेट अफेयर्स" अवकाश प्रेषित किया गया है तथा वह वर्तमान में सोनायह मुख्यालय पर उपस्थित नहीं हैं।

माननीय उच्च न्यायालय, इजाहाबाद के उपरोक्त वर्णित पत्र को इस कार्यालय के पत्र संख्या—787 / प्रथम, िनींदर 27.04.2018 के साथ संलग्न करते हुए श्री राजकुमार सिरोही, अपर सिविल ए 🧸 💯 💯), सोनभद्र को अनुपालन हेतु प्राप्त कराये जाने के क्रम में श्री सिरोही के Lemi !d: pankpri80@gmail.com, Whatsapp No.: 09259917731 एवं आवासीय पता :162-ई आराम बाग, नई दिल्ली अंकित कर स्पीड पोस्ट से दिनाँक 27.04.2018 को प्रेषित कर दिया गया है।

अतः अनुपालन आख्या माननीय उच्च न्यायालय के समक्ष प्रस्तृतं करने हेत् सादर प्रेषित की जा रही है।

भवदीय

प्रतिलिपि :--

संलग्नक : यथोपरि।

कार्याधिकारी (न्यायिक / इंक्यावरी), माननीय उच्च इलाहाबाद को श्री राजकुमार सिरोही, प्रध्यम-अएर सिविल जज (जू०डि०), सोनभद्र की अनुपरिथति के सम्बन्ध में सूचनार्थ प्रेषित।

> जनपद न्यायाधीश. सोनभद्र

C:\Documents and Settings\Adarsh\Desktop\Di letter 2018.docx

al alonguith This ends. .0.9 81/04

Kodory ox

ntioned in Letter No. 6621/IV-3580 / Admin. (A) dated April 25, 2018. Subject: Compliance of direction mentioned in Letter No. 6621/IV-35
From: District Court Sonbhadra <dcson@allahabadhighcourt.in>

To: pankpri80@gmail.com Date: Apr 27, 2018 12:17:24 PM

Sir

Please find the attached file.

Warm Regards.
Computer Section
District Court Sonbhadra

787 001.jpg 787 002.jpg From,

District Judge, Sonbhadra.

To,

Sri Raj Kumar Sirohi,

Addl.Civil Judge (Junior Division)

Sonbhadra.

Address 162E, Aaram Bagh,

New Delhi.

No. 787/I

Dated :- 27/04/2018

Redoubled &

Subject:- Compliance of directions mentioned in Letter

No.6621/IV-3580/Amin.(A) dated April 25,2018.

Dear Sir,

Kindly refer to your application for permission to tender resignation dated 30.03.2018 which was forwarded by the undersigned vide endorsement No.590/I dated 09.04.2018.

It is to inform you that in this matter the Hon'ble Court has directed that as per Resignation Rules,2000 a Government servant may resign from his service by giving three months notice in writing .Further the notice of resignation shall be

- (1) Voluntary and unconditional.
- (2) addressed to the appointing authority under intimation to the authority under whom the said Government Servant is working at the time of tendering resignation.

A copy of aforesaid letter No.6621/IV-3580/Amin.(A) dated April 25 ,2018. of Hon'ble High Court is annexed herewith for information.

You are therefore, directed to do the needful at the earliest in accordance with rules.

Enclosure: As above.

Yours faithfully

12/06

I/District Judge,

Sonbhadra.

From,

Ram Prasad, Deputy Registrar(M), High Court of Judicature at Allahabad.

To,

The District Judge Sonbhadra.

No. 6621 /IV-3580/Admin.(A)

toy gar

Dated: April 26th, 2018. /

Subject: Resignation of Sri Raj Kumar Sirohi, Additional Civil Judge (Junior Division), Sonbhadra from U.P.Judicial Services.

with reference to representation dated 30.03.2018 of Sri Raj Kumar Sirohi, Additional Civil Judge(Junior Division), Sonbhadra, on the above captioned subject, I am directed to say that the appointing/relieving authority in instant matter is the Government of U.P, Lucknow as all Judicial Officers of U.P.Nyayik Sewa/U.P.H.J.S are governed by U.P. Government Servants' Resignation Rules, 2000.

In this respect, I am to say that as per Resignation Rules, 2000 a Government servant may resign from his service by giving three months notice in writing. Further, the notice of resignation shall be -

- voluntary and unconditional.
- addressed to the appointing authority under intimation to the authority under (1)whom the said Government servant is working at the time of tendering resignation.

Also, the details with respect to reason of resignation, date vide which resignation is to be considered effective as well as notice period details must be mentioned therein.

I, therefore, request you to kindly ask Sri Raj Kumar Sirohi, Additional Civil Judge(Junior Division), Sonbhadra to tender his resignation in accordance with above communicated directions, to this Court, at the earliest possible so that same may be forwarded to the Government of U.P, for further necessary action.

Yours faithfully,

Deputy Registrar(M)

No.

/IV-3580/Admin.(A)

Dated: April.

Copy forwarded for information and necessary action to Sri Raj Kumar Sirohi, Additional Civil Judge(Junior Division), Sonbhadra.

Deputy Registrar(M)

By FAX/Registered Post

From,

Ram Prasad, Deputy Registrar(M), High Court of Judicature at Allahabad.

To,

The District Judge Sonbhadra.

No. 662) /IV-3580/Admin.(A)

Dated: April. 25H1,2018.

boson

Subject:Resignation of Sri Raj Kumar Sirohi, Additional Civil Judge(Junior Division), Sonbhadra from U.P.Judicial Services.

Sir.

with reference to representation dated 30.03.2018 of Sri Raj Kumar Sirohi, Additional Civil Judge(Junior Division), Sonbhadra, on the above captioned subject, I am directed to say that the appointing/relieving authority in instant matter is the Government of U.P, Lucknow as all Judicial Officers of U.P.Nyayik Sewa/U.P.H.J.S are governed by U.P. Government Servants' Resignation Rules, 2000.

In this respect, I am to say that as per Resignation Rules, 2000 a Government servant may resign from his service by giving three months notice in writing. Further, the notice of resignation shall be -

- voluntary and unconditional. (1)
- addressed to the appointing authority under intimation to the authority under whom the said Government servant is working at the time of tendering resignation.

Also, the details with respect to reason of resignation, date vide which resignation is to be considered effective as well as notice period details must be mentioned therein.

I, therefore, request you to kindly ask Sri Raj Kumar Sirohi, Additional Civil Judge(Junior Division), Sonbhadra to tender his resignation in accordance with above communicated directions, to this Court, at the earliest possible so that same may be forwarded to the Government of U.P, for further necessary action.

Yours faithfully,

Deputy Registrar(M)

No. 6627 / IV-3580/Admin.(A)

Dated: April. 25th, 2018.

Copy forwarded for information and necessary action to Sri Raj Kumar Sirohi, Additional Civil Judge(Junior Division), Sonbhadra.

Deputy Registrar(M)

_R ('W)

egistrar - Creveral. ADVANCE

Raj Kumar Sirohi Addl. Civil Judge, Sonbhadra

> Shri Pradeep Singh, HJS OSD(Enquiry) Hon'ble High Court of Judicature At Allahabad, UP.

Through- The District Judge, Sonbhadra

File: serial

Sir.

1.

SUB: REQUEST FOR GRANT OF PERMISSION TO RESIGN FROM SERVICE ON ACCOUNT OF MY FALIURE TO FULFILL THE DEMAND OF MY WIFE, TO PAY 1.25 CRORE MADE THROUGH SO MANY PERSONS **INCLUDING** MR ANUP GOEL HJS. AND MY CONSEQUENT HARRESSMENT IN MATRIMONIAL DISPUTE

Most respectfully I would like to draw your kind attention on the captioned subject and to submit as under:-

BRIEF BACKGROUND:

The I am presently posted as Additional Civil Judge (JD) Sonbhdra, and got married with Smt Muskan Sirohi on 6/5/2011 at Agra according to Hindu rites and ceremonies. Within a few months of marriage, a dispute between husband and wife arose on account of their differences in thought, way of living etc. I filed a divorce Suit No.562/2012 before Family court Mathura on 13/9/2012. After institution of Divorce proceedings Smt Muskan Sirohi made a complaint on 18/10/2013 for the first time made allegations therein that - terms of marriage were settled down with Mr Rajkumar Sirohi, etc.; and about dowry taken before marriage. It is pertinent to submit that before 18.10.2013, i.e., prior to filing of the aforesaid Suit by me, seeking divorce from complainant, at no point of time, any complaint or allegation or even a whisper of seeking or giving dowry for the marriage was ever made before anybody, including any authority, by the complainant and/or her family member(s). The same clearly indicates that the very first

- 2. complaint dated 18.10.2013 was nothing but the counter-blast of
- 3. the Suit for divorce, under reference, which is not new at all in recent

1096

Body of Old days. It is further pertinent to submit that no specific allegation was made against me. Hon'ble Administrative Judge Meerut ordered District Judge Meerut to conduct an inquiry and submit his report. District Judge Meerut recorded the statement of complainant, her family members and husband and submitted a report on 11/2/2014 holding that both the parties to the dispute are in hope of reconciliation. On receipt of report from District Judge, Hon'ble Administrative Judge, Meerut withholding the my integrity and recommended for a Vigilance Inquiry which was later on registered as VB Inquiry no 7/2015 and conducted by Mr V.K. Tyagi Officer Vigilance. Reconciliation/mediation specially matrimonial dispute should have taken place, but unfortunately no attempt could be made despite clear intention of the parties, as expressed in inquiry report dated 11/2/2014(copy available on inquiry file) by the then ld. District Judge, Meerut, presently, Hon'ble Mr. Justice Amar Singh Chauhan of this Hon'ble Court. During the course of Inquiry I also requested Hon'ble Inquiry Judge to kindly refer the parties for mediation for settlement of dispute through Mediation Cell at Hon'ble High Court but unfortunately my request was turned down.

- That, I also tried for settlement through mediation in Divorce Suit 4. pending before Family Court Mathura but my wife Mrs Muskan did not turn up as She was more and more interested in pursuing her complainant for my harressment on administrative side.
- That, During course of preliminary Inquiry I was posted as Addl. civil 5. judge Meerut. When this matrimonial dispute was going on, on 26/6/2014 Complainant Smt Muskan Sirohi along with her family members and others forcibly entered into official residence of my brother Mr U K Sirohi, J-16, Mangalpande Nagar Meerut and attacked on him injured him. Police was immediately informed and he was taken to Medical College where medical examination was conducted by doctors on duty and on information by his wife Dr Meghna Sirohi, FIR/Crime No.472/2014 was registered at PS Medical College, Meerut. Matter was

Rajleman Spoot

thoroughly investigated by 3 IO's supervised by 2 Circle Officers and Charge sheet u/s 324, 452 IPC was submitted in the Court of ld. ACJM 5th Meerut being case No.93650/2015 and Ld Magistrate took cognizance. Accused persons, including the complainant Smt. Muskan Sirohi, challenged the Charge sheet before Hon'ble High Court at Allahabad in Writ Petition No.2574/2016 Smt Rama Singh and others vs State of UP. Hon'ble High Court vide order dated 1/2/2016 rejected the prayer and directed them to surrender before court concerned. But accused did not comply with order.

On one hand on judicial side High Court is confirming Charge-sheet but on administrative side a contrary charge against the victim has been made.

- 6. That,In the month of October 2014, all of sudden I was transferred to District Kaushambi From where I was transferred to Amroha and again I have been transferred to Sonbhadra probably on complaint by my wife Mrs Muskan without giving any opportunity to explain. In this way I have seen three places of posting during last 2 years. My matrimonial litigation is pending at Mathura and Agra approxemately 800 km far away from my present place of posting. A great hardship is being faced by me in contesting my matrimonial litigation. Thus, In this way I have been placed in a situation where neither I have been able to contest my matrimonial litigation on merit nor through ADR like mediation.
 - 7. That, during course of inquiry, I came to know that departmental inquiry is based on forged and fabricated documents, With a view to show the availability of funds shown to be given in alleged dowry the father of my wife Mrs Muskan fabricated money receipt and cheques. I informed the police, in exercise of my legal rights, to police resulting into registration of Cr No-230.1017 U/S 420,467.468.471 IPC PS Civil Lines Allahabad and after thorough investigation police submitted charge sheet on which Ld CJM Allahabad has taken cognizance and father of my wife Mrs Muskan is facing trial. But to a great surprise I was warned by Hon'ble Inquiry Judge not to adopt such tactis. What tactis I used I

Rayleumal Stow

poly of the poly olice room

am unable to recall except giving information to police regarding

- commission of a cognizance offence. Being a Judge as well as common man I exercising my legal rights, did exactly what should have lawfully been done. But reservations expressed by Hon'ble Inquiry Judge really disturbed me.
- 8. That, In present departmental inquiry no 21/2015, following basic questions of facts are required to be answered-
 - (1)- whether money receipt and cheques are forged and fabricated (subject matter of cr no 230/17 at Allahabad court)
 - (2)- whether all 6 injuries were caused by accused including Muskan
 - (3)-Whether one injury i.e. injury no 3 was self-inflicted as claimed by Muskan if so, how other 5 injuries were caused

(subject matter of cr no 472/14 at Meerut court)

(4)- Whether there was a reconciliation meeting and Muskan was invited for talks

(subject matter of cr no 168/16 at Allahabad court and cr no 472/14 at meerut court)

(5) - Desertion

(subject matter of pending Divorce Petetion)

Without answering these basic question of facts charges in departmental inquiry cannot be answered. The exclusive jurisdiction to decide these question of facts lie only with court of competent jurisdiction not with the inquiry judge in departmental proceedings.

Moreover, issues involved in the matter are complicated question of law and fact and if applicant is required to disclose his case/defense at this stage ,he is likely be prejudiced greatly. In both the proceedings on same set of evidence these basic questions are to be decided. Jurisdiction of Competent Criminal Courts can not be ousted by Continuing parallel proceedings in nature of Departmental Enquiry. In this view of the matter, the departmental enquiry in pursuance to the charge sheet, under reply, deserves to be stayed by the competent disciplinary authority till the disposal of the trial relating to Crime Case, under reference, or at least till conclusion of the evidence stage therein the Crime Case in view of the law settled by the Hon'ble Apex Court in "Capt. Paul Anthony Vs. Bharat Gold Mines & Anr.", reported in JT 1999 (2) SC 456.

9. That I brought to notice of Hon'ble Inquiry Judge all these facts and requested her lordship to kindly stay the further proceeding in departmental inquiry till basic questions involved in matter are answered by criminal courts having exclusive jurisdiction. But Hon'ble

Rojeerman Stroki

d is conducting inquiry on ng into success of my wife in

Inquiry Judge did no agree to my prayer and is conducting inquiry on day to day basis in a hurry up mode resulting into success of my wife in her efforts to make more and more pressure to agree with her terms of settlement immediately.

- 10 That, Mr Anup Goel HJS (presently Addl Director IJTR Lucknow) was presenting officer who unofficially tried to re-conciliate my matrimonial dispute and many times talked to my in-laws and me and told me that my wife is demanding 1.25 crore for settlement. I expressed him my inability to pay such a huge amount and let him know that anyhow 50-60 Lacs could be managed by personal borrowings and selling out some property. Mr Goel made very sincere efforts, though unofficial, for settlement of matrimonial dispute but he could not succeeded on account of a big gap between demand and my capacity to pay. I will always remain indebted to him for his such a sincere efforts by heart. But it was very unfortunate that regarding mediation what has happened unofficially could have happened officially at right platform of Mediation. It will always remained astonishing that on one hand Hon'ble Inquiry Judge refused to refer the parties to matrimonial dispute before her in inquiry, to Mediation for first settlement of genesis of dispute and at the same time attempts were made for unofficial mediation by Mr Goel. The entire sequence of events smell out differently resulting into placing me under deep depression and I feel my financial capacity a real constraint in faliure of settlement of my matrimonial dispute.
- 11. That,I was denied promotion with my batch only on account of this matrimonial dispute despite the fact not a single complainant was ever made in respect of my judicial work. My applications for for permission to defend th' next friend Mr Nirvikar Gupta and Mr Nigam were rejected whereas these two retired judicial officers are defending in inquiries pending before other judges. In this way double standard were adopted resulting into denial of proper opportunity to defend. I could be able to manage a next friend at the stage when evidence of almost all the crucial witnesses was over.
- 12. That, my elder brother who has been dragged into my matrimonial dispute was denied cross examination of EW-1, on 13/12/2017, even when he could not remain present on account of the fact that on the same time and date he was present before another Inquiry judge at Lucknow. All facts were timely brought to notice of presenting officer th' adjournment but hearing was not adjourned. Proceedings were held even on day to day basis in a very hurry up mode, despite the proper information that he was almost bedridden on account of his spinal problems and under treatment at AIIMS NewDelhi, forgetting the legal maxim "JUSTICE HURRIED IS JUSTICE BURIED." It is also noteworthy that on similar charges two inquiries are being conducted against him on account of his raising voice against corruption and making complaint against Mr R K Gautam the then District Judge Meerut on which a Vigilance Inquiry no 2/2016 has been

Rojeumas siroli

Dedoept of

conducted against him.

13. That, I was summoned for inquiry even on notice of one to two weeks without giving time to have reservation in train. I had to journey overnight even without confirmed reservation on account of paucity of time. I was called for one day and

detained for 3-4 days resulting into again return without reservation.

14. That, entire scenario depicts a story of mishandling of a matrimonial dispute and I have been constantly subject to harassment by my wife . She is neither interested in disposal of Divorce Suit before Family Court at Mathura nor interested in settlement of matrimonial dispute through mediation rather She is more and more interested in my harassment by making false complaints to Hon'ble High Court on administrative side .

15 .That, my wife want to extort huge money in name of departmental proceeding which I am unable to pay. I have been asked/restrained for taking legal recourse against her, in exercise of my legal rights. I have been transferred to a remote distant place from where it is not possible to take care of pending matrimonial litigation at Mathura and Agra and attend present proceeding at Allahabad. Inquiry is being conducted in a very hurry up mode even without giving me sufficient time to travel, have railway reservation and cosulting my legal friend at Agra.

16. That, My family life has already been ruined which has adversely affected my professional life as well as my health. In such a scene I anyhow, want to save my remaining life. Therefore, under most compelling circumstances, I have been left with no option except to leave my judicial home. But before doing so I need a permission to resign from service pending present departmental Inquiry.

17- That, during conference at Lucknow last year, I had occasion to listen my Hon'ble Chief Justice's view regarding settlement of matrimonial dispute through ADR like mediation which energised me to go ahead with mediation work but when I myself as client experienced the system, find ground reality totally different. No one is interested in getting my matrimonial dispute settled through Mediation. I find myself in a very pathetic state.

18-That my pain is further aggravated by the fact when all my Defense Witness were not summoned and I was expected to present some of these these witnesses without summons that too by making pick and choose adversely affecting my right to defense. How the attendance of witnesses will be secured, is a big question before me on account of hostile approach and I do not think that truth will come out without summoning these necessary witnesses. It is again a big question while treating different witnesses on different footing picking some of those to summon and leaving others without without summons. I mentioned Mr Anup Goel as Defense Witness and requested for summoning him as witness but my prayer has been refused. Without his examination as witness how the motive of my wife behind present Inquiry can be brought on record, is a big question to find solution. If defense witnesses will not be summoned and star

Raflemer Sinohi

Red Society By witnesses like Mr Goel will not be summoned there remains no occasion to defend myself in Inquiry and entire purpose of defense will be frustated . In totality of circamstances I am unable to find a way out and find myself under tramendous pressure without any solution.

19-That my pain is further aggravated by the fact that in the first week of April every year all the judicial remain engaged in preparation of their Annual Self Assessment but I have been disabled from doing so by engaging me in Inquiry at Allahabad. Whether preparation of Annual Self Assessment on time is important or Inquiry is important and if if both are important then which proceeding must have preference over other, is a question to be answered.

Before concluding I would like to express my faith and trust with honor in my Judicial home but the circumstances as mentioned above have tried to shake it and I find myself in a helpless situation and request to kindly pardon me for any mistake/fault while moving this representation with a very heavy heart and tears in my eyes.

Demanding 1.25 crore for settlement by my wife through so many persons including Mr Anup Goel HJS have lead to irresistable coclusion that for my wife it remained stretegic plan of extortion of huge money. It certainly requires a probe also to ascertain true facts regarding demand of money in name of settlement and her motive behind pursuing her complainant before Hon'ble High Court and my consequent harressment.

Therefore, your good self is kindly requested to bring the contents of this letter to notice of Hon'ble Inquiry Judge and further placing my this request letter before Hon'ble Chief Justice, the father of my judicial institution, for his kind consideration for necessary permission to resign from service, pending Inquiry or any order in this regard as his Lordship deems fit and pleased.

I shall be highly obiliged.

Date- 30/3/2018

Copy to; The Registrar General,

Hon'ble High Court, Allahabad

Yours faithfully Rajleumal Si'sohi

(Raj Kumar Sirohi) Additional Civil Judge(JD)

Sonbhadra

Assistant Registrar (Admin A-1)

Please refer to your requisition dated 11.04.2018 through which you have sought information about any order passed by the Hon'ble Enquiry Judge on the representation dated 30.03.2018 of Sri Raj Kumar Sirohi pertaining to permission sought by him for resignation from service.

In this regard, it is to inform you that the aforementioned representation was placed before the Hon'ble Enquiry Judge on 02.04.2018 and following order was passed:

"...The present is a departmental enquiry the Enquiry Judge is not competent to make any comment on the representation...."

A copy of the order-sheet dated 02.04.2018 is attached herewith for your information and necessary action.

(Pradip\Singh)
(Officer-on-Special Duty)
(Judicial) (Inquiries)/
Presenting Officer

Ms. Pramti

13.04.18

02.04.2018 Hon'ble Mrs. Sunita Agarwal, J. (Enquiry Judge)

The present Departmental Inquiry No.21 of 2015 has been initiated against Sri Raj Kumar Sirohi, the then Judicial Magistrate, Meerut and Shri Umesh Kumar Sirohi, the then Addl. District & Sessions Judge, Meerut.

Sri Raj Kumar Sirohi is not present today. The enquiry is proceeding ex-parte against Shri Umesh Kumar Sirohi, another Charged Officer who is not appearing.

The request for adjournment has been made by the Charged Officer Sri Raj Kumar Sirohi alongwith representation dated 30.03.2018 to the OSD, Enquiry with the submission that the same may be placed before the Hon'ble The Chief Justice.

The present is a departmental enquiry the Enquiry Judge is not competent to make any comment on the representation. The prayer for adjournment sought by the Charged Officer to postpone the enquiry till the decision on his representation dated 30.03.2018 by Hon'ble The Chief Justice is hereby rejected.

The Charged Officer is directed to co-operate in the enquiry. Today was the date fixed at the request of the Charged Officer to summon the defence witnesses in table-A mentioned in the order dated 27.03.2018. The defence witnesses namely Sri Kishor Kumar Rastogi (Steno), Sri Ramakant Vats (Class IVth employee) and Sri Chandra Shekhar (Class Ivth employee) employees of Meerut, Judgeship are present. In absence of the Charged Officer, their statements could not be recorded.

The enquiry is adjourned for the appearance of defence witnesses on 16.04.2014 as already fixed in the order dated 27.03.2018 and shall continue on day to day basis as has been directed.

02-04-2018

Inform to all concerned, accordingly

ent from the factor of the confidence

Dant 8

(Enquiry Judge)

P.S./P.A./R.O. Attached to O.S.D., Enquiry (Sri Pradeep Singh)

I have to enclose herewith letter dated 30.03.2018 of Sri Raj Kumar Sirohi, Additional Civil Judge, Sonbhadra regarding his request for grant of permission to reign from service. The letter in question is addressed to Sri Pradeep Singh, O.S.D.(Enquiry) with the request that contents of this letter may kindly be bring to notice of the Hon'ble Enquiry Judge and further placing before the Hon'ble the Chief Justice for his kind consideration for necessary permission to reign from service, pending enquiry or any other order in this regard. A separate copy of the letter has also been marked to this section for processing his request to reign from service. In the course of disposal of the letter, it is expedient to know with regard to his prayer for placing the same before the Hon'ble Enquiry Judge & to see orders, if any, passed in the matter.

It is therefore requested to inform about any such order passed in the matter for dealing the same accordingly.

Assistant Registrar (Admin.A-1)

4.18