

1218

27.98

292
12.8.08

J.R.(M)

272

In the matter of submission of information about purchase of new Hyundai ~~car~~ car by Sri Shailendra Singh, who is presently posted as Judicial Magistrate, Meerut, Hon'ble Mr. Justice M.I. Murtaza, vide his Lordship's order dated 21.05.2008 (flagged 'O'), quarried as to how the officer proposes to ~~meet the~~ ^{make} both ends meet within the amount that survives with him after repaying Rs. 6492/- as repayment of car loan from his take home salary of Rs. 19885/-. The order was communicated to the officer concerned vide Court's letter dated 31.05.2008.

Now, in reply of the Court's letter dated 31.05.2008, Sri Singh, vide his letter dated 23.06.2008 at flag 'X' has stated that: -

1. At the time of purchase of the car, he was getting gross and take home salary of Rs. 20,005/- and Rs. 19,885/- respectively, but now the same has been enhanced upto Rs. 20,815/- as gross and Rs. 20,195/- as take home salary.
2. His family consists of himself, his wife and a 9-month's daughter, all residing in rent free government accommodation.
3. He is also availing 75 liters petrol, Newspaper & magazine allowances.
4. He has not engaged any private servant. His daughter takes mother feed only and as his marriage was solemnized only before two & half years, there are essential goods and cloths in sufficient numbers and thus, his monthly expenses is only around Rs. 9000/- - Rs. 10000/-.
5. He easily manages his household expenses within the amount of Rs. 13,000/- (rest from his take home salary) and saves Rs. 2000-3000 also.

May, therefore, if approved, the file be laid before Hon'ble Mr. Justice M.I. Murtaza for His Lordship's kind perusal and further orders in the matter?

Registrar (B)

may kindly peruse the above office note, and may like to lay the file before Hon'ble Mr. Justice M.I. Murtaza for His Lordship's kind perusal and further orders in the matter.

H. Jaiswal
8.8.08
Prasad
8-8-08

BH
12.8.08
J.R.(M)

Hon'ble Mr. Justice M.I. Murtaza

Submitted for kind perusal and orders.

Qureshi
12/08/08


P 70

Rep-98

Perused the explanation submitted by the officer in response to the queries made by the Court.

The matter may be relegated to the District Judge concerned where the officer is posted with the request to append his opinion about the authenticity/plausibility of the explanation submitted by the officer attended with his view whether the officer can manage the affairs within the diminished amount that survives with him/her consistent with the dignity of a judicial officer.

I would appreciate if the District Judge makes his opinion available expeditiously.


21.8.2008

JR (M)




Rep- (B)
22-8-08

So. Admin. A-1



J. R. (M)
22-8-08

AR-5

22-8-08