




18

Order dated 27.03.2014 passed by Sri Shiv Kumar Singh Additional District Session Judge, Court No.10, Bareilly.



IN THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD.

-- 1569

CRIMINAL REVISION NO. OF 2014.
(Under Section 397/401 of the Cr.P.C.)
(District Bareilly)

Naresh Son of Ram Singh Dhobhi
Resident of Village Sendha Police Station- Bhamora
District Bareilly.
2. Ram Singh S/O Chunnir/O Sendha P.S. Bhamora District Bareilly.
Revisionist
Versus.

1782-14
RECEIVED
A
26-5-14
District Judge
Bareilly

- 1. State of U.P.
- 2. Shyam Lal Maurya Son of Dal Chandra Maurya
Resident of Village Sendha Police Station-
Bhamora District Bareilly. --Opp.Parties.

The revisionist preferred the abovenoted Criminal Revision against the order dated 27.03.2014 passed by Sri Shiv Kumar Singh Additional District Session Judge, Court No.10, Bareilly in Session Trial No.341 of 2013 State Versus Naresh rejecting the discharge application Under Section 216 Criminal Procedure Code moved by the revisionist on the Under Section 323/34, 308/34, 504, 506 Indian Penal Code on the following amongst other grounds:-

Plama
31/5/14

Court No. - 47

Case :- CRIMINAL REVISION No. - 1569 of 2014

Revisionist :- Naresh

Opposite Party :- State Of U.P. And Another

Counsel for Revisionist :- C.K. Jha

Counsel for Opposite Party :- Govt. Advocate

Hon'ble Surendra Singh, J.

Heard learned counsel for the revisionist and learned A.G.A. for the State and perused the material placed on the record.

Present revision has been filed with the prayer to quash the order dated 27.3.2014 passed in Sessions Trial No.341 of 2013 (State Versus Naresh and others) rejecting the discharge application under Section 216 Cr.P.C.


Having considered the arguments advanced by learned counsel for the revisionist and material placed on record including the impugned order dated 27.3.2014 passed by Additional Sessions Judge/Trial Court whereby the application 221 Kha moved on behalf of the revisionist under Section 216 Cr.P.C. has been rejected, I am of the view that the impugned order passed by the court below is well in conformity in law and does not suffer from manifest illegality, irregularity or perversity and requires no interference in writ jurisdiction by this Court.

The criminal revision is bereft of merit and is liable to be dismissed. It is accordingly dismissed. *scm- Surendra Singh, J.*

Order Date :- 28.5.2014

MN/-

Harish
31/5/14



TRUE COPY

[Signature]
A.R. Section 216
02.06.2014
Copying (B) Department
High Court, Allahabad