

Arising out of Case under Section 7A of the Juvenile Justice Act of Case No. 30 of 2012 State Vs. Ashu alias Kasim, Under Section 8/20 N.D.P.S. Act, Police Station Kotwali, District Bareilly. In Case Crime No.6229 of 2011 pending in the Court of Additional Sessions Judge Court No. 10, Bareilly.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

\*\*\*\*\*

CRIMINAL REVISION NO.

46

(Under Section 397/401 of Cr.P.C.)

## DISTRICT-BAREILLY

Ashu alias Kasim minor Son of Hashain, Resident of Mohalla Baagbrigatan, Police Station Kotwali, District Bareilly. through his father Hashain as natural guardian.

---- Revisionist

(In Jail Since 17.12.2011)

#### **VERSUS**

- 1. State of U.P.
- Sri Ram Veer Singh Sub Inspector of Police,
  Police Station Kotwali, District Bareilly.

---Opposite Parties

ya



This is the first criminal revision of revisionist before this Hon'ble Court or Hon'ble Bench at Lucknow against the judgement and order dated 20.10.2014 passed by Sri Shiv Kumar Singh Addl. Session Judge Court No.10 Bareilly in Criminal Case No.30 of 2012 State Vs. Ashu alias Kasim under Section 8/20 N.D.P.S. Act, Police Station Kotwali District Bareilly, arising out of Case Crime No. 6229 of 2011 by which rejcting the application of the applicant for declaration of juvenile on the basis of educational certificate, under Section 7A Juvenile Justice Act. judgment and order are challenging on following amongst other grounds so that Justice be done: -

# MAN TIS

### GROUNDS

- consider the evidence and facts of the case passed the impugned order against the revisionist the same is bad in the eye of law.
- II. Because, the prosecution have failed to prove the guilty of the revisionist beyonds reasonable doubts. As such the orders passed by

## Court No. - 41

Case :- CRIMINAL REVISION No. - 46 of 2015

Revisionist :- Ashu Alias Kasim

Opposite Party :- State Of U.P. And Another

Counsel for Revisionist :- A.B. Maurya

Counsel for Opposite Party :- Govt.Advocate

## Hon'ble Ramesh Sinha.J.

Heard Sri A.B. Maurya, learned counsel for the revisionist and Sri R.D. Singh, learned A.G.A. for the State.

Sri A.b. Maurya, learned counsel for the revisionist prays that the present revision may be dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to file fresh.

Accordingly, the present revision is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty aforesaid.

Office is directed to return the certified copy of the impugned order to the learned counsel for the revisionist after retaining photocopy of the same gal Ramesh Simha 1.

Allahabad

Order Date :- 16.1.2015

Shiraz