

SELF ASSESSMENT APPLICATION	
Case Id : 4167	Employee No.:-6330
3 MONTHS COMPLETION	
Whether 3 Months are complete for remarks of DISTRICT JUDGE?	Yes

SELF ASSESSMENT FORM PART I																			
1.	Self Assessment Period	01/04/2020 - 31/03/2021																	
2.	Name of the Officer	AMIT KUMAR PRAJAPATI																	
3.	Designation	Spl. Judge (E.C. Act)																	
4.	Date of Joining Service / Length of Service	16/06/2006 (14 Years 9 Months and 26 Days)																	
5.	Place of Posting	Sultanpur Posting Details During Self Assessment Period 1. Sultanpur-Addl. District & Sessions Judge																	
6.	Any other charge held during the financial year	Officer-in-charge Oath commissioner																	
7.	Year wise break up of cases	Annexure-I	Attachment Available																
8.	Courts held during the financial year	Courts held during the financial Year Additional District & Session's Judge Court Number 03, Sultanpur (From 01.04.2020 to 17.10.2020)B Additional District & Session's Judge/ Spl. Judge E.C. Act. Court No04, Sultanpur (From 18.10.2021 to 31.03.2021	Attachment Available																
9.	In how many cases have you framed the issues	NIL																	
10.	In how many cases have you framed the charge	13																	
11.	Number of cases in which Judgment not delivered within 15 days of conclusions of argument	NIL																	
12.	Percentage of appeals remanded by the officer	NIL																	
13.	Inspections	Annexure-III	Attachment Available																
14.	Remarks if any																		
15.	Details of the works by the officer	Annexure-IV	Attachment Available																
16.	Performance in Lok Adalat	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th>Sl. No.</th> <th>POSTED AS</th> <th>PERIOD</th> <th>TOTAL NUMBNER OF CASES DISPOSED OFF</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1</td> <td>Addl. District & Sessions Judge Court No-03, Sultanpur</td> <td>01.04.2020 to 17.10.2020</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2</td> <td>Addl. District & Sessions Judge/ Spl. Judge E.C. Act Court No.04, Sultanpur</td> <td>18.04.2020 to 31.03.2021</td> <td>6</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="3">TOTAL :</td> <td>6</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Sl. No.	POSTED AS	PERIOD	TOTAL NUMBNER OF CASES DISPOSED OFF	1	Addl. District & Sessions Judge Court No-03, Sultanpur	01.04.2020 to 17.10.2020	0	2	Addl. District & Sessions Judge/ Spl. Judge E.C. Act Court No.04, Sultanpur	18.04.2020 to 31.03.2021	6	TOTAL :			6	
Sl. No.	POSTED AS	PERIOD	TOTAL NUMBNER OF CASES DISPOSED OFF																
1	Addl. District & Sessions Judge Court No-03, Sultanpur	01.04.2020 to 17.10.2020	0																
2	Addl. District & Sessions Judge/ Spl. Judge E.C. Act Court No.04, Sultanpur	18.04.2020 to 31.03.2021	6																
TOTAL :			6																

SELF ASSESSMENT FORM PART II			
1.	Brief description of duties	Officer-in-charge Oath commissioner	
2.	Norms set and achieved in respect of disposal of cases.		
	Target	Achievements	
	5 Oldest case of each category identified and given top priority.	Total number of 29 cases disposed during the year	
2A.	Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 5 years old.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
	Total number of cases: 470 1.Short date fixed 2.Issue process along With letter to higher Authorities 3.Unnecessary Adjournment not Ganted	29 cases disposed for whole year as per old cases	

2A(i)	Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
	Total number of cases: 470	29 cases disposed for whole year as per old cases	
2A(i)	Number of such matters disposed of during the year.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
	Total number of cases: 470	29 cases disposed for whole year as per old cases	
2B.	Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 10 years old.		
	Target	Achievements	
	Total number of cases: 79 1.Short date fixed 2.Issue process along With letter to higher Authorities 3.Unnecessary Adjournment not Granted 4.Adjournment Granted With high Cost 5. Taken up on priority basis	Total Number of 8 cases disposed during the year.	
2B(i)	Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
	Total number of cases: 79	Total Number of 8 cases disposed during the year.	
2B(i)	Number of such matters disposed of during the year.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
	Total number of cases: 79	Total Number of 8 cases disposed during the year.	
2C.	Steps taken to dispose of cases of persons with more than 65 years of age.		
	Target	Achievements	
	N/A	N/A	
2C(i)	Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.		
	Target	Achievements	
	NIL	NIL	
2C(i)	Number of such matters disposed of during the year.		
	Target	Achievements	
	NIL	NIL	
3.	Please state briefly the shortfalls with reference to the targets / objectives referred to at S. No. 02 above. Please specify constraints, if any, in achieving the targets.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
	Briefly of shortfalls 1.Due to Covid -19 Situation & Frequenty Lockdown 2.Frequent Strikes by Advocates. 3.Non presence of witnesses. 4.Non-Co-operation of Advocates.	As Above	
4.	Academic and professional achievements during the year, including degree(s) obtained and/or books/articles published.		
	Target	Achievements	
	N/A	N/A	
5.	Whether attended any workshop, course, programme, etc., organized by Judicial Academy and/or any other organization during the period in question? If so, give details.		
	Target	Achievements	
	Third Round Workshop On Dated-22.11.2020	Third Round Workshop On Dated-22.11.2020	
6.	Whether visited Judicial Academy as Faculty Member. If so, give details about the nature of lecture(s) given/topic(s) discussed.		

	Target	Achievements
	N/A	N/A

Judgment Attachments	
Judgment Attachment	Uploading Date
CrI.Appeal 10\13	11/04/2021
CrI.Revision380\2018	11/04/2021
Rent Appeal 02\2016	11/04/2021

Applying Date	
Date	11/04/2021

01-Remarks given by the District Judge regarding:		
01 (a).	Integrity of the Officer- whether beyond doubt, doubtful or positively lacking	I was informed orally many times that the integrity of the officer is doubtful. A written complaint has also been filed before the Hon'ble High Court along with affidavit & particulars by complainant Sri Santosh Kumar Gupta in contested five murder case S.T. No. 138/2012 State v. Sanjay Agrahari Case Crime No. 903/2011 u/s. 302, 394, 120B IPC P.S. Amethi, District Amethi in which the officer has acquitted the accused persons. The allegation in brief is that contested judgment has been passed due to unfair means & financial gratification etc. I have sent the comments to the Hon'ble High Court in this regard. On the basis of information, allegations & complaint the integrity of the officer is "highly doubtful".
	Note- If the officer's integrity is doubtful or positively lacking, it may be so stated with all relevant fact, reason(s) & supporting material.	
01 (b).	If he is fair and impartial in dealing with the public and Bar?	No
01 (c).	If he is cool minded and does not lose temper in court.	Yes
01 (d).	His private character is such as to lower him in the estimation of the public and adversely affects the discharge of his official duties?	Yes
1 (e).	CONTROL OVER THE FILES IN THE MATTER OF:	
01 (e)(i)(a).	Proper fixation of cause list:	No
01 (e)(i)(b).	Whether sufficient number of cases are fixed by him to keep him engaged during full court full court hours?	No. The officer has not fixed sufficient number of cases to keep himself busy in full court hours. In this regard D.O. has been given to the officer (enclosure)
01 (e)(ii).	Avoidance of unnecessary adjournments:	No
01 (e)(iii).	Disposal of old cases(Give number and year of old cases decided):	S.T. 276/2012, 412/2014, 419/2011 CrI. Appeal 10/2013, 17/2013, 52/2012, 63/2010, 13/2010 CrI. Revision 428/2015 CrI. Misc. 03/2014, 294/2013 F.R. 01/2012, Civil Appeal 48/2010, 79/2007, 06/2014, 100/2011, 63/2010 Misc. Civil Appeal 78/2014, 46/2014, 17/2014 Civil Revision 134/2015, Misc. Civil 33/2009, Execution 02/2006, 29/2011, 22/2013 Election Petition 09/2015, 02/2016
01 (e)(iv).	Progress and disposal of execution cases:	As per the assessment submitted by the officer he has decided 01 execution after full satisfaction and 11 execution otherwise.
01 (e)(v).	Whether interim order, injunction being granted, refused or retained for sufficient reasons?	No
01 (e)(vi).	Are cases remanded on substantial grounds?	Nil
01 (e)(vii).	Performance with regard to decision of Motor Accident Claims related to death / injury	N/A
01 (f).	Whether Judgment on facts and on law are on the whole sound, well-reasoned and expressed in good language?:	No
	Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in filling up this column:	
01 (f)(i).	Marshalling of facts;	Good

01 (f)(ii).	Appreciation of evidences;	Poor
01 (f)(iii).	Application of law.	Poor
01 (g).	Whether disposal of work is adequate.(Give percentage and reasons for short disposal, if any)	Adequate. The officer has achieved 680.22 units out of 540.40 actual target units. Percentage of work is 125.87%
	Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in filling up this column:	
01 (g)(i).	Number of cases decided after actual full contest;	The officer has decided 43 cases after full contest.
01 (g)(ii).	Number of cases decided wherein all witnesses of fact turned hostile and the case ended in acquittal.	Nil
01 (g)(iii).	Number of civil cases decided on compromises / alternate dispute resolution.	1
01 (g)(iv).	Number of cases wherein after conclusion of arguments and reserving them for judgment, rehearing was ordered.	Nil
01 (h).	Control over the Office and Administrative capacity and tact:	The officer has proper control over his office but he doesn't have administrative capacity.
01 (i).	Relations with members of the Bar(mention incidents, if any):	Cordial
01 (j).	Behavior in relation to brother Officers(mention incidents, if any):	Good
01 (k).	Whether the officer has made regular inspections of his court and offices in his charge and whether such inspections were full and effective?	Yes
01 (l).	His punctuality and regularity in sitting on the dais in court during court hours?	General information was that the PO. was not punctual in the sitting on dais. He was orally advised many times in officers' monthly meeting to be punctual. In surprise inspection dated 01.02.2021 and 23.02.2021 he was not found on dais, explanations were called for from the P.O. concerned through D.O. No. 01/S.T./D.J./2021 Dated 01.02.2021 and D.O. No. 10/S.T./D.J./2021 Sultanpur Dated 23.02.2021. The terminology, phraseology, language and expression of his explanations dated 02.02.2021 & 24.02.2021 were highly condemnable and unacceptable also. Thus the P.O. was 'Severely Warned' in this regard. Punctuality and regularity in sitting on the dais in court hours is 'Very Poor'.
01 (m).	Whether amenable to the advice of the District Judge and other superior officers?	The behaviour of the officer is too adamant & stubborn. He is not amenable to the advice of District Judge.
01 (n).	Behaviour towards women(respect and sensitivity exhibited towards them)	Good
2.	Over all assessment of the merit of the officer(Outstanding, Very Good, Good, Average, Poor)	Poor
3.	State of Health, with remarks, if any?	.
4.	Other remarks, if any:	.
5.	Name of the District Judge:	Santosh Rai (J.O. Code UP 6523) 17.08.2021

Attachments By District Judge	
Attachments	Uploading Date
1- Hon'ble Courts DO along with complaint and affidavit and letter to D.J. Ghaziabad	17/08/2021
2- Sale deed 3 in number	17/08/2021
3- Letter of DJ Ghaziabad pertaining explanation of officer	17/08/2021
4- Hon'ble Courts DO along with report of the undersigned enclose with Order on T.A., alleged Judgement & Report of C.A.O.	17/08/2021
5- D.O Letter along with explanation and warnings	17/08/2021

Overall assessment	
Rakesh Srivastava(HON'BLE JUDGE)	The District Judge has given enough reasons to rate the officer poor. I agree.

Overall assessment	Poor
Integrity	Not Certified

