

**SELF ASSESSMENT APPLICATION**

<b>Case Id : 3996</b>	<b>Employee No.:-2119</b>
<b>3 MONTHS COMPLETION</b>	
Whether 3 Months are complete for remarks of DISTRICT JUDGE?	Yes

**SELF ASSESSMENT FORM PART I**

<b>1.</b>	Self Assessment Period	01/04/2020 - 31/03/2021	
<b>2.</b>	Name of the Officer	SANDEEP KUMAR	
<b>3.</b>	Designation	Civil Judge (Senior Div.)	
<b>4.</b>	Date of Joining Service / Length of Service	27/01/2015 (6 Years 2 Months and 13 Days)	
<b>5.</b>	Place of Posting	Sultanpur <b>Posting Details During Self Assessment Period</b> Not Available	
<b>6.</b>	Any other charge held during the financial year	1- In-Charge Amanat 2- In-Charge Copying Section 3- In-Charge Civil Judge (S.D)/F.T.C. 4- In-Charge Addl. Civil Judge (S.D.)-I 5-In-Charge Addl. Civil Judge (S.D.)-II 6- Member of Administrative Committee 7-Member of Action Plane Committee 8- Member of Departmental promotion committee/Employee grievance redressal committee 9- Member of Infrastructure committee.	
<b>7.</b>	Year wise break up of cases	file attached...	Attachment Available
<b>8.</b>	Courts held during the financial year	Civil Judge (S.D.) Court No-15, Sultanpur	Attachment Available
<b>9.</b>	In how many cases have you framed the issues	69	
<b>10.</b>	In how many cases have you framed the charge	Not applicable	
<b>11.</b>	Number of cases in which Judgment not delivered within 15 days of conclusions of argument	Nil	
<b>12.</b>	Percentage of appeals remanded by the officer	Not applicable	
<b>13.</b>	Inspections	21	Attachment Available
<b>14.</b>	Remarks if any	Nil.	
<b>15.</b>	Details of the works by the officer	file attached...	Attachment Available
<b>16.</b>	Performance in Lok Adalat	34 Cases Decided in National Lok Adalat.	

**SELF ASSESSMENT FORM PART II**

<b>1.</b>	<b>Brief description of duties</b>	Civil Judge (S.D.) Court No-15, Sultanpur from 01-04-2020 to 31-03-2021.	
<b>2.</b>	<b>Norms set and achieved in respect of disposal of cases.</b>		
	<b>Target</b>	<b>Achievements</b>	
	599.76 Unit	Civil- 1632.40 Criminal- 00.00 Total- 1632.40 Percentage- 275.51	
<b>2A.</b>	<b>Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 5 years old.</b>		Attachment Available
	<b>Target</b>	<b>Achievements</b>	
	Cases- 978	Decided 57 cases more than 5 years old.	
<b>2A(i)</b>	<b>Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.</b>		Attachment Available
	<b>Target</b>	<b>Achievements</b>	
	Cases- 978	Decided 57 cases more than 5 years old.	

2A(ii)	Number of such matters disposed of during the year.		Attachment Available
	<b>Target</b>	<b>Achievements</b>	
	Cases- 978	Decided 57 cases more than 5 years old.	
2B.	Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 10 years old.		
	<b>Target</b>	<b>Achievements</b>	
	Cases- 201	Decided 15 cases more than 10 years old.	
2B(i)	Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.		Attachment Available
	<b>Target</b>	<b>Achievements</b>	
	Cases- 201	Decided 15 cases more than 10 years old.	
2B(ii)	Number of such matters disposed of during the year.		Attachment Available
	<b>Target</b>	<b>Achievements</b>	
	Cases- 201	Decided 15 cases more than 10 years old.	
2C.	Steps taken to dispose of cases of persons with more than 65 years of age.		
	<b>Target</b>	<b>Achievements</b>	
	Disposes of pending 810 cases on priority basis.	Decided 40 cases of person more than 65 years of age.	
2C(i)	Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.		
	<b>Target</b>	<b>Achievements</b>	
	Disposes of pending 810 cases on priority basis.	Decided 40 cases of person more than 65 years of age.	
2C(ii)	Number of such matters disposed of during the year.		
	<b>Target</b>	<b>Achievements</b>	
	Disposes of pending 810 cases on priority basis.	Decided 40 cases of person more than 65 years of age.	
3.	Please state briefly the shortfalls with reference to the targets / objectives referred to at S. No. 02 above. Please specify constraints, if any, in achieving the targets.		
	<b>Target</b>	<b>Achievements</b>	
	599.76 Units.	1632.40 Units.	
4.	Academic and professional achievements during the year, including degree(s) obtained and/or books/articles published.		
	<b>Target</b>	<b>Achievements</b>	
	Nil.	Nil.	
5.	Whether attended any workshop, course, programme, etc., organized by Judicial Academy and/or any other organization during the period in question? If so, give details.		
	<b>Target</b>	<b>Achievements</b>	
	One day workshop through video conferencing organised by JTRI- Lucknow on the topic Section 138 of N.I. Act 1881 Dated 13-12-2020.	Attended on dated 13-12-2020.	
6.	Whether visited Judicial Academy as Faculty Member. If so, give details about the nature of lecture(s) given/topic(s) discussed.		
	<b>Target</b>	<b>Achievements</b>	
	No.	No.	

#### Judgment Attachments

Judgment Attachment	Uploading Date
Ramjas Vs. Kalpuram and others.	09/04/2021
Rajendra Prasad Vs. Kamata Prasad and others.	09/04/2021
Anup Kumar Garg Vs. Indian Bank.	09/04/2021

#### Applying Date

Date	09/04/2021
------	------------

<b>01-Remarks given by the District Judge regarding:</b>		
<b>01 (a).</b>	Integrity of the Officer- whether beyond doubt, doubtful or positively lacking	I was informed orally many times that the integrity of the officer is doubtful. Three written complaints have also been filed by Advocate Sri R.T. Singh, Advocate Sri Neeraj Upadhyay and complainant Anil Kumar about the conduct & integrity of the P.O. The main allegation of oral & written complaint was this that the P.O. Sri Sandeep Kumar has passed adverse orders due to unfair means. In many civil suits, he issued notice to opposite party, that suit were withdrawn by plaintiff, again that suits have been filed by same plaintiff about the same property & same cause of action. In the second suit 'stay' order has been passed. In view of oral & written complaint/information, I personally verified and found that Sri Sandeep Kumar has passed adverse orders in this regard. The allegations were prima-facie found true. I also advised the P.O. to behave like a Judicial Officer and to pass order as per rules maintaining integrity. I found and verified orders, copy of plaint etc. in 11 suits as example, which creates serious doubt about his integrity, I have also sent all these facts along with photocopy of order & plaints etc. to the Hon'ble High Court for information and necessary action. Thus integrity of the officer is 'highly doubtful'.
	Note- If the officer's integrity is doubtful or positively lacking, it may be so stated with all relevant fact, reason(s) & supporting material.	
<b>01 (b).</b>	If he is fair and impartial in dealing with the public and Bar?	No
<b>01 (c).</b>	If he is cool minded and does not lose temper in court.	Yes
<b>01 (d).</b>	His private character is such as to lower him in the estimation of the public and adversely affects the discharge of his official duties?	Yes
1 (e).	CONTROL OVER THE FILES IN THE MATTER OF:	
<b>01 (e)(i)(a).</b>	Proper fixation of cause list:	Proper
<b>01 (e)(i)(b).</b>	Whether sufficient number of cases are fixed by him to keep him engaged during full court full court hours?	Yes
<b>01 (e)(ii).</b>	Avoidance of unnecessary adjournments:	No
<b>01 (e)(iii).</b>	Disposal of old cases(Give number and year of old cases decided):	Original Suit 598/2015, 573/2016, 917/2015, 661/2012, 815/2014, 768/1987, 635/2012, 802/2014, 178/2016, 316/2006, 553/2012, 39/2016, 366/2016, 423/2011, 473/2016, 473/2016, 556/2016, 540/2016, 430/2016, 619/2014, 861/2015, 560/1999, 251/2016, 762/2012, 608/2014, 270/1999, 439/2005, 225/2016, 28/1999, 709/2015, 533/2014, 331/2014, 495/2011, 366/2011, 93/2015, 679/2015, 578/2015, 561, 2016, 899/2015, 180/2013, 849/2014, 375/2011, 790/2011, 577/2012, 350/2011, Misc. Case 29/2014, 385/2015, 73/2009, 55/2014, 146/2016, 236/2015, 150/2013, 36/1999, 326/2014, 810/2011, SCC 04/1998, 03/2015 Execution 06/2014
<b>01 (e)(iv).</b>	Progress and disposal of execution cases:	As per the assessment submitted by the officer he has decided 02 execution after full satisfaction and 04 execution otherwise.
<b>01 (e)(v).</b>	Whether interim order, injunction being granted, refused or retained for sufficient reasons?	No. The officer has not granted or refused interim order for sufficient reasons. (enclosure)
<b>01 (e)(vi).</b>	Are cases remanded on substantial grounds?	N/A
<b>01 (e)(vii).</b>	Performance with regard to decision of Motor Accident Claims related to death / injury	N/A
<b>01 (f).</b>	Whether Judgment on facts and on law are on the whole sound, well-reasoned and expressed in good language?:	No
	Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in filling up this column:	
<b>01 (f)(i).</b>	Marshalling of facts;	Poor
<b>01 (f)(ii).</b>	Appreciation of evidences;	Poor
<b>01 (f)(iii).</b>	Application of law.	Poor
<b>01 (g).</b>	Whether disposal of work is adequate.(Give percentage and reasons for short disposal, if any)	Adequate as the officer has achieved 1632.40 Units out of 599.76 actual target units, percentage of work is 275.51%

	Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in filling up this column:	
<b>01 (g)(i).</b>	Number of cases decided after actual full contest;	The officer has decided 06 cases after full contest.
<b>01 (g)(ii).</b>	Number of cases decided wherein all witnesses of fact turned hostile and the case ended in acquittal.	N/A
<b>01 (g)(iii).</b>	Number of civil cases decided on compromises / alternate dispute resolution.	12 cases
<b>01 (g)(iv).</b>	Number of cases wherein after conclusion of arguments and reserving them for judgment, rehearing was ordered.	Nil
<b>01 (h).</b>	Control over the Office and Administrative capacity and tact:	The officer has not proper control over his office and he doesn't have administrative capacity also.
<b>01 (i).</b>	Relations with members of the Bar(mention incidents, if any):	Not good
<b>01 (j).</b>	Behavior in relation to brother Officers(mention incidents, if any):	Cordial
<b>01 (k).</b>	Whether the officer has made regular inspections of his court and offices in his charge and whether such inspections were full and effective?	Yes
<b>01 (l).</b>	His punctuality and regularity in sitting on the dais in court during court hours?	Punctual & regular
<b>01 (m).</b>	Whether amenable to the advice of the District Judge and other superior officers?	The officer is not amenable to the advice of District Judge. (enclosure)
<b>01 (n).</b>	Behaviour towards women(respect and sensitivity exhibited towards them)	Good
<b>2.</b>	Over all assessment of the merit of the officer(Outstanding, Very Good, Good, Average, Poor)	Poor
<b>3.</b>	State of Health, with remarks, if any?	.
<b>4.</b>	Other remarks, if any:	.
<b>5.</b>	Name of the District Judge:	Santosh Rai (J.O. Code UP 6523) 17.08.2021

<b>Attachments By District Judge</b>		
<b>Attachments</b>	<b>Uploading Date</b>	
1-Hon'ble courts DO along with Complaint Applications	17/08/2021	
2-Relevant Papers of O.S.No 642 of 2020, 692 of 2020 & 883 of 2020	17/08/2021	
3- Relevant Papers of O.S.no. 729 of 2020 & 950 of 2020	17/08/2021	
4- Relevant Papers of O.S.No. 749 of 2020 & 947 of 2020	17/08/2021	
5-Relevant Papers of O.S. No. 866 of 2020 & 939 of 2020 866 of 2020	17/08/2021	
6- Relevant Papers of O.S.No.905 of 2020 & 962 of 2020	17/08/2021	
7- Relevant Papers of O.S.No.995 of 2020 & 1116 of 2020	17/08/2021	
8- Relevant Papers of O.S.No. 1148 of 2020 & 1301 of 2020	17/08/2021	
9- Relevant Papers of O.S.No. 1345 of 2020 & 1434 of 2020	17/08/2021	
10- Relevant Papers of O.S.No.1388 of 2020 & 1768 of 2020	17/08/2021	
11- Relevant Papers of O.S.No.1860 of 2020 & 1894 of 2020	17/08/2021	
12- Relevant Papers of O.S.No. 98 of 2021 & 120 of 2021	17/08/2021	
13-Complaint along with relevant papers of O.S.No. 23 of 2021 & 149 of 2021 and Explanation	17/08/2021	

<b>Overall assessment</b>	
<b>Rakesh Srivastava( HON'BLE JUDGE )</b>	The District Judge has given enough reasons to rate the officer poor. I agree.
<b>Overall assessment</b>	Poor
<b>Integrity</b>	Not Certified