

Mohd. Faiz Alam Khan, HJS
Registrar (Judicial)
(Confidential)



'CONFIDENTIAL'
D.O. No. C-795/Cf.(C)/2016
Dated: Alld.: Feb. 27, 2016
Abn

19

Annexure-7

Sir,

In pursuance of the policy formulated by the Hon'ble Court with regard to communication of all entries to the Officers, in view of Judgement dated 12.05.2008 of the Apex Court passed in Civil Appeal No. 7631/2002 Dev Dutt Vs. Union of India, I am desired to send herewith the photo stat copy of Annual Confidential Remarks for the year 2014-15 recorded by the then District & Sessions Judge, Kannauj and the same is approved by the Hon'ble Court in regard to the work and conduct of Sri Raj Mangal Singh Yadav, the then Addl. Civil Judge (J.D.), Kannauj presently posted as Civil Judge (J.D.), Duddhi, Sonbhadra for being communicated to the officer concerned aforesaid for his information.

Kindly acknowledge its receipt and communication.

With regards,

Yours sincerely,

Mohd. Faiz Alam Khan
16-4-16

(Mohd. Faiz Alam Khan)

Encl: As above.

Sri Dharam Beer Singh, HJS,
District & Sessions Judge,
Sonbhadra

Inform officer concerned
CC
5/5/16
D.J.
05.05.16

19

**KANNAUJ JUDGESHIP
ANNUAL CONFIDENTIAL REMARKS
(YEAR 2014-2015)**

Name of the Officer	Sri Raj Mangal Singh Yadav
Length of Service	In service since 08.05.2009 (05 years, 10 months 23 days)
Post(s)/Office(s) held during the year under report	Additional Civil Judge (Junior Division), Court No.1 Kannauj
1. Remarks by the District Judge regarding	
(a) Integrity of the Officer whether beyond doubt, doubtful or positively lacking. NOTE: If the officer's integrity is doubtful or positively lacking, it may be so stated with all relevant facts, reason(s) & supporting material.	Beyond doubt.
(b) If he is fair and impartial in dealing with the Public and Bar ?	Yes.
(c) If he is cool minded and does not loose temper in Court ?	Yes.
(d) His private character if such as to lower him in the estimation of the public and adversely effects the discharge of his official duties.	No
(e) Control over the files in the Matter of :	Proper.
i) a. Proper fixation of the cause list	
b. Whether sufficient number of cases are fixed by him to keep him engaged during full Court hours.	Yes
ii) Avoidance of unnecessary adjournments	Avoided unnecessary adjournment.
iii) Disposal of old cases	Good. Upto year 2008, the Officer decided 24 old civil cases & 7 old criminal cases.
iv) Progress & disposal of execution cases	Good. As per statement out of 35 execution case, he decided 02 executions contested and 10 executions otherwise.
v) Whether interim orders injunctions granted, refused or retained for sufficient reasons ?	Yes
vi) Are cases remanded on substantial grounds?	Not applicable
vii) Performance with regard to decision of motor accident claims related to death/injury	Not Applicable.
(f) Whether judgments on facts and law are on the whole sound, well reasoned and expressed in good language ?	Yes.
NOTE: The following factors should also be indicated in filling up this column:	
(i) Marshalling of facts;	Proper
(ii) Appreciation of evidence; &	Proper
(iii) Application of law	Proper

R

(g) Whether disposal of work is adequate ? (Give percentage and reasons for short disposal, if any) NOTE: The following factors should also be indicated in filling up this column:	Yes, Out of 153.24 working days, wrk done is of 213.20 days, work done is 139.12%. Steno not provided.
(i) Number of cases decided after actual full contest;	As per statement, 72 cases of criminal side and 24 cases of Civil side have been decided after actual full contest.
(ii) Number of cases decided wherein all witnesses of fact turned hostile and the case ended in acquittal.	As per statement, 13 criminal cases under NI Act have been decided on the basis of compromise and the cases ended in acquittal.
(iii) Number of civil cases decided on compromise/ alternate dispute resolution.	As per statement 06 Civil cases has been decided on compromise.
(iv) Number of cases wherein after conclusion of arguments and reserving them for judgment, rehearing was ordered.	Nil
(h) Control over the Office and administrative capacity and tact	Effective
(i) Relations with members of the Bar (Mention incidents, if any)	Cordial
(j) Behaviour in relation to brother Officers (Mention incidents, if any)	Cordial
(k) Whether the Officer has made regular inspections of his Court and Offices in his charge and whether such inspections were full and effective ?	Yes
(l) His punctuality in sitting on dais in Court during Court-hours.	Punctual.
(m) Whether amenable to the advice of the District Judge and other superior Officers ?	Yes.
2. Over all assessment of the merit of the officer, (Outstanding, Very good, Good, Average, Poor)	Very good
3. State of health with remarks, if any.	Sound
4. Other remarks, if any	Nil

28-04-15
(Ramesh Tiwari)

District & Sessions Judge
Jhansi.

the then D.J., Kanhang

Dated: April 28, 2015

Approved

A. J. Kanhang

28-7-15