

Somprabha Mishra, HJS
Joint Registrar (Judicial)
(Confidential)

12



'CONFIDENTIAL'
D.O. No. C-211 /CF.(C)/2018
Dated: Alld.: Jan., 02, 2018
jcb

Annexure - 9

Sir,

In pursuance of the policy formulated by the Hon'ble Court with regard to communication of all entries to the Officers, in view of Judgment dated 12.05.2008 of the Apex Court passed in Civil Appeal No. 7631/2002 Dev Dutt Vs. Union of India, I am desired to send herewith a Photo copy of Annual Confidential Remarks for the year 2016-17 recorded by the Hon'ble Court with regard to the work and conduct of **Sri Raj Mangal Singh Yadav**, the then Civil Judge (Sr. Div.) / F.M. / Secretary, District Legal Services Authority, Sonbhadra presently posted as Civil Judge (Sr. Div.), Kairana, Muzaffarnagar for being communicated to the officer concerned for his information.

With regards,

Yours sincerely,

Encl: As above.

Somprabha Mishra
(Somprabha Mishra)

Sri Ali Zamin, HJS
District & Sessions Judge,
Muzaffarnagar.

Communicate to the officer concerned for N.A.

AV
District Judge
Muzaffarnagar
07/02/18

018

Annual Confidential Remarks for the Year 2016-17 of Sri Raj Mangal Singh Yadav, Civil Judge, (Junior Division)/ Judicial Magistrate/Duddhi & Secretary, (Full time), District Legal Services Authority Sonbhadra,


Sri Raj Mangal Singh Yadav been posted as Civil Judge, (Junior Division)/ Judicial Magistrate/Duddhi & Secretary, (Full time), District Legal Services Authority, Sonbhadra w.e.f. 01.04.2016 to 31.03.2017. Work done as shown by him during the aforesaid period is 294.84%.

I have perused the details submitted by the officer in his self assessment form. I have gone through some judgements of the officer and found them that they are sound on the facts and law, well reasoned, well discussed and expressed in good language. He is amenable to the advice of the District Judge. He is punctual in sitting in the Court. The District Judge has not reported anything.

Integrity certified.

On overall assessment of the merits of the officer, in my opinion, is **'Outstanding'**.

Dated : 23.01.2018



(Mr. Justice Shashi Kant)
Administrative Judge
Sonbhadra.

**OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHADOHI
AT GYANPUR**


ANNUAL CONFIDENTIAL REMARKS

Year 2016-2017

Name of the Officer	Sri Raj Mangal Singh Yadav
Length of Service	Date of Joining service 08.05.2009 (Approximately 8 Years)
Post held during the year	Civil Judge/J.M. Duddhi, Sonbhadra
(1) Remarks by the District Judge regarding	
(a) Integrity of the Officer whether beyond Doubt, doubtful or positively lacking. NOTE: If the officer's integrity is doubtful or positively lacking, it may be so stated with all relevant facts, reasons & supporting material.	Integrity of the officer is beyond doubt
(b) If he is fair and impartial in dealing with public and Bar?	He is fair & impartial in dealing with the public and bar.
(c) If he is cool minded and does not loose temper in court.	He is cool minded and does not loose temper in court.
(d) His private character is such as to lower him in his estimation of the public and adversely affects the discharge of his official duties?	His private character is not such as to lower him in his estimation of the public and adversely affects the discharge of his official duties.
(e) Control over the files in the matter of.	He has full control over the files in the matter of.
(i) (a) Proper fixation of cause list	The cause list is fixed properly at proper place.
(b) Whether sufficient number of cases are fixed by him to keep engaged during full Court hours	Sufficient number of cases are fixed by him to keep engaged during full court hours.
(ii) Avoidance of unnecessary adjournments;	He also avoids to grant unnecessary adjournments.
(iii) Disposal of old cases (Give number and year of old cases decided)	Chart of disposal of old cases with number and year has not been separately attached by this officer though he has decided sufficient number of old cases as per chart annexed with the Self Assessment.
(iv) Progress and disposal of execution cases.	This officer has disposed of two execution contested cases. No other execution case has been shown to be pending in the chart annexed.
(v) Whether Interim order, injunction granted, refusal or retained or sufficient reasons.	He has also granted interim order, injunction where it is permitted by law.
(vi) Are cases remanded on substantial grounds?	Yes.
(vii) Performance with regard to	Nil.

decision of motor accidents claims related to death/injury.							
(f) Whether judgment on facts and law are on the whole sound, well reasoned and expressed in good language?	I have perused the judgments delivered by the officer and found them sound well reasoned and expressed in good language.						
(i) Marshalling of facts.	Very Good						
(ii) Appreciation of evidence &	Very Good &						
(iii) Application of Law	Very Good						
(g) Whether disposal of work is adequate? (Give percentage and reasons for short Disposal if any). Note: The following should also be indicated in filling up this column. (i) Number of cases decided after actual full contest ? (ii) Number of cases decided wherein all witnesses of fact turned hostile and the cases ended in acquittal ? (iii) Number of civil cases decided on Compromise / alternate dispute resolution ? (iv) Number of cases wherein after conclusion of arguments and reserving them for Judgment, rehearing was ordered ?	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th><u>W.day</u></th> <th><u>W.done</u></th> <th><u>Percentage</u></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>129.20</td> <td>380.94</td> <td>294.84%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> 172 Cases were decided after actual full contest all type of nature. Nil. 05 Nil.	<u>W.day</u>	<u>W.done</u>	<u>Percentage</u>	129.20	380.94	294.84%
<u>W.day</u>	<u>W.done</u>	<u>Percentage</u>					
129.20	380.94	294.84%					
(h) Control over the office and administrative capacity and tact.	He has full control over the office having good administrative capacity and tact.						
(i) Relations with members of the Bar (Mention incidents, if any) ?	Good						
(j) Behavior in relation to brother officers (Mention incidents, if any) ?	Excellent						
(k) Whether the officer has made regular inspections of his court and offices in his charge and whether such inspections were full and effective.	Yes, this officer has made regular inspections of his court and office in his charge and such inspections were full and effective.						
(l) His punctuality and regularity in sitting on dais in court during court hours.	This officer is punctual in sitting on dais.						
(m) Whether amenable to the advice of the District Judge and other superior officers?	Yes, this officer is amenable to the advice of the District Judge and other superior officers.						
(n) Behavior towards women (respect and sensitivity exhibited towards them) ?	Commendable						
(2) Over all assessment of the merit of the Officer. (Outstanding, Very	Outstanding						

Good, Good, Average Poor)	
(3) State of health with remarks, if any	State of health of the officer is good.
(4) Other remarks, if any.	This officer is active, energetic, dynamic, punctual & vigilant towards his work and responsibility.


(Kuldeep Kumar-II)
District & Sessions Judge,
Bhadohi at Gyanpur/
the then Distt. & Sessions Judge,
Sonbhadra.