ANNUAL CONFIDENTIAL REMARKS FOR THE YEAR 2018-2019

. Name of the Officer

Sri Rajmangal Singh Yadav

2. Post/Posts held during the year

Civil Judge (Sr.Div.)/A.C.J.M., Kairana, Muzaffarnagar (1.4.2018 to 13.8.2018)

Chief Judicial Magistrate, Shamii at Kairana (13.8.2018 to 31,3.2019)

Perused the Annual Confidential remarks given by the District Judge, Shamili.

I am in full agreement with the District Judge that his overall assessment of merit is 'Very Good'.

Dated: 11.9.2019 (Jusi

(Justice Pritinker Diwaker) AJ Shamli (2018-19)

OPPO K3 . 's Jitendra Kushwaha

Annexure - 11

ANNUAL CONFIDENTIAL REMARKS

FOR THE YEAR 2018-2019

(Period From 01-4-2018 to 13-8-2018) (JUDGESHIP MUZAFFARNAGAR)

(Period From 13-8-2018 to 31-3-2019) (JUDGESHIP SHAMLI AT KAIRANA)

(STENOGRAPHER PROVIDED)

Name of the Officer

Sri Rajmangal Singh Yadav

Length of Service

About nine years & eleven months.

Post(s)/Office(s) held during the year under report

1- Civil Judge (Sr.Div.)/A.C.J.M. Kairana

(from 01-4-2018 to 13-8-2018)

2- Chief Judicial Magistrate, Shamli at Kairana

(from 13-8-2018 to 31-3-2019)

3- Officer-in-Charge- Amin

(from 01-4-2018 to 13-8-2018)

4- Officer-in-Charge- Protocol

5- Officer-in-Charge-Summon Cell

6- Officer-in-Charge-Enquiry & Inspection

(1) Remarks by the District Judge regarding;

(a) Integrity of the officer whether beyond doubt, doubtful or positive

lacking.

Note If the officer's integrity is doubtful or positive lacking, it may be so

stated with all relevant facts, reason(s) supporting material. Beyond doubt.

(b) If he is fair and impartial in dealing :

with the public and Bar?

Yes.

(c) If he is a cool minded and does not :

loose temper in court?

yes.

(d) His private character is such as to lower him in the estimation of the public and adversely affects the discharge of his official duties?

No.

(e) Control over the files in the matter

(i)(a) Proper fixation of cause list.

Yes, Proper fixation of cause list.

(b) Whether sufficient number of cases are fixed by him to keep him engaged during full court hours.

Yes.

(ii) Avoidance of unnecessary adjournments

Yes, The officer Avoids unnecessary

adjournments & took up maximum number of

cases fixed for the day.

(iii) Disposal of old cases. (Give number and year of old cases decided)

From 01-4-2018 to 31-3-2019, the officer has decided 52 cases, more than five years old and 20 cases more than ten years old, as per statement.

Note-Five years from the current year (upto 2014) is fixed the criteria of old cases, under the C.L. No.21/CF(A)/2012, dtd.09-7-12

(iv)Progress and disposal of execution : cases

04 Execution have been decided, including 01 Execution in full & final satisfaction.

(v) Whether interim orders/injunction granted,refused or retained for sufficient reasons?

Yes.

(vi) Are cases remanded on substantial : grounds?

Not applicable.

(vii) Performance with regard to decision of motor accident claims related to death/injury

Not applicable.

(f) Whether judgment on facts and law are on the whole sound well reasoned and expressed in good language?
Note; The following factors should also be indicated in filling up this column:

Yes. The judgments on facts & law are sound and well reasoned and expressed in Good language.

(i) Marshalling of facts,(ii) Appreciation of evidence &(iii) Application of law

Very good. Very good. Effective.

(g) Whether disposal of work is adequate?: (Give percentage and reasons for short disposal if any) Yes, From 01-4-2018 to 30-6-2018, actual, working days 33.38 & total work done is 33.42 days & percentage is 97.20%

From 01-7-2018 to 31-3-2019 Required Units 900

(Excluded leaves,holidays & training period @ 3.28 Units per day-88x3.28=288.64 As per G.L. No.11/IV-h-14/2019,dtd. 05-3-2019)

Achieved Units 1187.10
(Without Stenographer from 01-7-2018 to 13-8-2018 & with Stenographer from 13-8-2018 to 31-3-2019)

Note; The following factors should also be indicated in filling up this column

(i) Number of cases decided after actual: full contest,

As per statement 50 cases of Criminal side and 08 case of civil side have been decided after actual full contest.

(ii) Number of cases decided wherein all witnesses of fact turned hostile and the case ended in acquittal.

Not reported.

(iii) Number of civil cases decided on compromise/alternate dispute resolution 02 cases as reported.

(iv) Number of cases wherein after conclusion of arguments and reserving them for judgment, rehearing was ordered.

Nil is reported.

(h) Control over the office and administrative capacity and tact.

Effective

(i) Relations with members of the Bar (mention incidents, if any)

Very good

(j) Behavior in relation to brother officers(mention incidents, if any).

Cordial

(k) Whether the officer has made regular inspection of his court and offices in his charge and whether such inspections were full and effective?

Yes.

(l) His punctuality and regularity in sitting on dais in court during court hours

Punctual & regular.

(m) Whether amenable to the advice of the District Judge and other superior officer?

Yes.

(n) Behaviour towards women (respect and sensitivity exhibited towards them)

Respectful and sensitive towards women.

(2) Over all assessments of the merit of the officer (Outstanding, Very Good, Good, Average, Poor)

Very Good

(3) State of health with remarks, if any. :

Sound.

(4) Other remarks, if any.

The officer is well behaved, competent & laborious. He has taken pain in deciding old cases and also perfect in performing all the

responsibilities.

Dated: 16-5-2019

Alka Srivastava) District Judge, Rampur.