

APPLICATION FOR SELF ASSESSMENT

Case Id : 4422	Employee Id : 6070
3 MONTHS COMPLETION	
Whether 3 Months are complete for remarks of DISTRICT JUDGE?	Yes

SELF ASSESSMENT FORM PART I			
1.	Self Assessment Period	01/04/2020 - 31/03/2021	
2.	Name of the Officer	VIPIN KUMAR-II	
3.	Designation	Addl. District & Sessions Judge	
4.	Date of Joining Service / Length of Service	22/12/2003 (17 Years 3 Months and 21 Days)	
5.	Place of Posting	Mathura Posting Details During Self Assessment Period Not Available	
6.	Any other charge held during the financial year	1. Addl. District & Session Judge Court No. 5 Mathura From 01-04-2020 to 06-08-2020 2. Addl. District & Session Judge Court No. 3 Mathura From 07-08-2020 to 31-03-2021	
7.	Year wise break up of cases	Statement Attached .	Attachment Available
8.	Courts held during the financial year	1. Addl. District & Session Judge Court no. 5, Mathura from 01.04.2020 to 06.08.2020 2. Addl. District & Session Judge Court no. 3, Mathura from 07.08.2020 to 31.03.2021	Attachment Available
9.	In how many cases have you framed the issues	8	
10.	In how many cases have you framed the charge	108	
11.	Number of cases in which Judgment not delivered within 15 days of conclusions of argument	NIL	
12.	Percentage of appeals remanded by the officer	NIL	
13.	Inspections	statement attached	Attachment Available
14.	Remarks if any	It is humbly submitted that from 01.04.2020 to 06.08.2020, I was posted as ADJ 05 and No case regard pending. After the transfer I took the charge as ADJ Court no. 3 from 07.08.2020 and remained there fi	

Validity unknown

Digitally signed by JUSTICE MAHESH CHANDRA TRIPATHI
Date: 2021.09.09 15:47 IST
Reason: Document Owner
Location: Allahabad High Court

		<p>year. In this court 131 (125 Execution Cases have been received from Hon'ble District Judge Mathura execution cases are pending out of which 5 execution cases are stayed by Hon'ble High Court</p> <p>03 Execution Cases :</p> <p>(1.Ex.-109/2016 ShriRam Transport Finance Comp. Ltd. Vs. Liyaquat Ali 2.Ex.-109/2016 SariRam Tr Ltd. Vs. Imran. 3.Shri Ram City Union Co. Ltd. Vs. Madan Mohan) have been decided in full satisfact</p> <p>I request the Hon'ble Authority to please consider my submission.</p>	
15.	Details of the works by the officer	Statement Attached.	Attachment Available
16.	Performance in Lok Adalat	<p>In this assessment year due to Covid 19 only 02 LOK ADALATS held and the cases were decided are as follows:-</p> <p>MACP - 09</p>	

SELF ASSESSMENT FORM PART II

1.	Brief description of duties	<p>Held the following Courts during the current Assessment year :-</p> <p>1. Addl. District & Session Judge Court No. 5 Mathura From 01-04-20 to 06-08-2020</p> <p>2. Addl. District & Session Judge Court No. 3 Mathura From 07-08-20 to 31-03-2021</p> <p>During the Assessment year held/had special charge :-</p> <p>Officer-in-charge Copying Section</p>
2.	Norms set and achieved in respect of disposal of cases.	

	1356	895	ADJ Court No. 5, Mathura	ADJ Court No. 3/ Spl Judge (NDPS), Mathura
			Nil	16
2B.	Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 10 years old.			
	Target		Achievements	
	Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 10 years old.		<p>To dispose off cases on priority</p> <p>Fixing short dates</p> <p>Curtaining the adjournments and not to allow unnecessary adjournment.</p> <p>Letters were send to Police Officers for compliance regarding service of processes to ensure the presence of witnesses.</p>	
2B(i).	Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.			
	Target		Achievements	
	ADJ Court No. 5, Mathura	ADJ Court No. 3/ Spl Judge (NDPS), Mathura	ADJ Court No. 5, Mathura	ADJ Court No. 3/ Spl Judge (NDPS), Mathura
	781	150	Nil	01
2B(ii).	Number of such matters disposed of during the year.			
	Target		Achievements	
	ADJ Court No. 5, Mathura	ADJ Court No. 3/ Spl Judge (NDPS), Mathura	ADJ Court No. 5, Mathura	ADJ Court No. 3/ Spl Judge (NDPS), Mathura

	781	150	Nil	01
2C.	Steps taken to dispose of cases of persons with more than 65 years of age.			
	Target		Achievements	
	To dispose off all cases relating to persons of more than 65 years of age on priority. Curtaing the unnecessary adjournment.		Sucessfully disposed 11 cases. Except exceptional circumstances adjournment were not allowed.	
2C(i).	Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.			
	Target		Achievements	
	ADJ Court No. 5, Mathura	ADJ Court No. 3/Spl Judge (NDPS), Mathura	ADJ Court No. 5, Mathura	ADJ Court No. 3/Spl Judge (NDPS), Mathura
	NIL	19	NIL	11
2C(ii).	Number of such matters disposed of during the year.			
	Target		Achievements	
	ADJ Court No. 5, Mathura	ADJ Court No. 3/Spl Judge (NDPS), Mathura	ADJ Court No. 5, Mathura	ADJ Court No. 3/Spl Judge (NDPS), Mathura
	NIL	19	NIL	11
3.	Please state briefly the shortfalls with reference to the targets / objectives referred to at S. No. 02 above. Please specify constraints, if any, in achieving the targets.			
	Target		Achievements	

Please state briefly the shortfalls with reference to the targets/objectives referred to at S.No. 02 above. Please specify constraints, if any, in achieving the targets.

Unit Targeted : 602.89 Units

No constraints in achieving the target.

Units Achieved- 981.91 Units.

4. Academic and professional achievements during the year, including degree(s) obtained and/or books/articles published.

Target

Achievements

x

x

5. Whether attended any workshop, course, programme, etc., organized by Judicial Academy and/or any other organization during the period in question? If so, give details.

Target

Achievements

Yes, Attended One Cluster Workshop Organized at Mathura on 04.10.2020.

Good Experience.

6. Whether visited Judicial Academy as Faculty Member. If so, give details about the nature of lecture(s) given/topic(s) discussed.

Target

Achievements

x

x

Judgment Attachments

Judgment Attachment	Uploading Date
C.A. 02/2018 kusum vs Nepal	12/04/2021
ST 757/2016 State vs Ashok etc	12/04/2021
ST 322/15 State vs Vishnu Sharma etc	12/04/2021

Applying Date

Date	12/04/2021
------	------------

01-Remarks given by the District Judge regarding:

01 (a).	Integrity of the Officer- whether beyond doubt, doubtful or positively lacking	Beyond doubt
Note- If the officer's integrity is doubtful or positively lacking, it may be so stated with all relevant fact, reason(s) & supporting material.		

01 (b).	If he is fair and impartial in dealing with the public and Bar?	Yes
01 (c).	If he is cool minded and does not lose temper in court.	Yes
01 (d).	His private character is such as to lower him in the estimation of the public and adversely affects the discharge of his official duties?	No
1 (e).	CONTROL OVER THE FILES IN THE MATTER OF:	
01 (e)(i)(a).	Proper fixation of cause list:	Yes
01 (e)(i)(b).	Whether sufficient number of cases are fixed by him to keep him engaged during full court full court hours?	Yes
01 (e)(ii).	Avoidance of unnecessary adjournments:	Yes
01 (e)(iii).	Disposal of old cases(Give number and year of old cases decided):	ST- 1 year 2011 ST- 1 year 2012 ST- 3 year 2015
01 (e)(iv).	Progress and disposal of execution cases:	3 Cases
01 (e)(v).	Whether interim order, injunction being granted, refused or retained for sufficient reasons?	Yes
01 (e)(vi).	Are cases remanded on substantial grounds?	No
01 (e)(vii).	Performance with regard to decision of Motor Accident Claims related to death / injury	28 case decided.
01 (f).	Whether Judgment on facts and on law are on the whole sound, well-reasoned and expressed in good language?:	Yes
	Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in filling up this column:	
01 (f)(i).	Marshalling of facts;	Good
01 (f)(ii).	Appreciation of evidences;	Good
01 (f)(iii).	Application of law.	"Expunged"
01 (g).	Whether disposal of work is adequate.(Give percentage and reasons for short disposal, if any)	Actual target Unit is 602.89 and Unit achived is 981.91 Unit <i>Asst. Secy</i> <i>27/11/2022</i>
	Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in filling up this column:	
01 (g)(i).	Number of cases decided after actual full contest;	107 Cases
01 (g)(ii).	Number of cases decided wherein all witnesses of fact turned hostile and the case ended in acquittal.	Nil
01 (g)(iii).	Number of civil cases decided on compromises / alternate dispute resolution.	9 Cases
01 (g)(iv).	Number of cases wherein after conclusion of arguments and reserving them for judgment, rehearing was ordered.	Nil
01 (h).	Control over the Office and Administrative capacity and tact:	Good
01 (i).	Relations with members of the Bar(mention incidents, if any):	Good
01 (j).	Behavior in relation to brother Officers(mention incidents, if any):	Good

01 (k).	Whether the officer has made regular inspections of his court and offices in his charge and whether such inspections were full and effective?	Yes
01 (l).	His punctuality and regularity in sitting on the dais in court during court hours?	Good
01 (m).	Whether amenable to the advice of the District Judge and other superior officers?	Yes

2.	Over all assessment of the merit of the officer(Outstanding, Very Good, Good, Average, Poor)	<i>Asw</i>	"Outstanding"	<i>Asw</i> 12/11/2022
3.	State of Health, with remarks, if any?	Good Health		
4.	Other remarks, if any:	<i>Asw</i>	"Expunged"	<i>Asw</i> 12/11/2022
5.	Name of the District Judge:	YASHWANT KUMAR MISHRA (UP5442)		

Annual remarks recorded by the Administrative Judge/Reviewing and Accepting Authority

Mahesh Chandra Tripathi(HON'BLE JUDGE)

After going through the record, I find that the officer is devoted to his work and is extremely submissive. He is very obedient, sincere, responsible and reliable officer. There is no complaint against him. The representation of the officer dated 03.8.2021 against the adverse remarks given by the District Judge under the heading of 'other remarks' in column-4 and under the heading of 'application of law' in column-1 (f) (iii) has been allowed today by me and adverse remarks have been expunged.

In my opinion, the overall assessment of merit of the officer is "Outstanding".

Overall assessment	Outstanding
Integrity	Certified

Vide order dated 09.09.2021 of Hon'ble Mr. Justice Mahesh Chandra Tripathi, the then A.J., Mathura, the adverse remarks occurring in column nos. 1(f) (iii) & 4 expunged and col no. 2 upgraded as 'Outstanding'.

Asw
12/11/2022
Registrar General

Representation		
1.	Representation Case ID	162
2.	JO Code	6070
3.	Officer Name	VIPIN KUMAR-II
4.	Designation Name	Addl. District & Sessions Judge
5.	Self Assessment Case ID	4422
6.	Self Assessment Period	01/04/2020 - 31/03/2021
7.	Representation Type	Representation against remarks of District Judge
8.	Representation Remark	<p>Sir,</p> <p>Representation against the adverse remarks as proposed by the learned District Judge, Mathura under the heading of 'other remarks' and under the heading of 'application of law'.</p> <p>Thanking you</p>
9.	Representation Applying Date	03/08/2021

Attachments	
Attachment	Uploading Date
Representation	03/08/2021
*Red background attachments are uploaded in return of objection.	

Order by Hon'ble Judge
<p>Mahesh Chandra Tripathi(HON'BLE JUDGE)</p> <p>I have occasion to peruse the representation of Mr. Vipin Kumar-II, Additional District & Sessions Judge/Additional Special Judge (POSCO), Mathura dated 03.8.2021 against the adverse remarks given by the District Judge, Mathura under the heading of 'other remarks' in column-4 and under the heading of 'application of law' in column-1 (f) (iii) of the A.C.R. for the year 2020-21.</p> <p>As per record, in the entire career of the judicial officer in last 18 years, he has never been punished and in the last five years, the officer has been awarded as 'Outstanding' (in the years 2015-16 and 2018-19) and 'Very Good' (in the years 2016-17 and 2017-18). In the year 2019-20, the officer has been awarded as 'Outstanding' by the District Judge. No other adverse material has been placed before the undersigned.</p> <p>Therefore, being as Administrative Judge, I am of the considered opinion that taking into consideration of the entries of the officer in last five years, the aforesaid adverse remarks under heading of 'other remarks' and under the heading of 'application of law' are unwarranted and liable to be expunged.</p> <p>Consequently, the present representation is allowed and the aforesaid adverse remarks are expunged.</p> <p>Dated : 09/09/2021</p>