

APPLICATION FOR SELF ASSESSMENT

Case Id : 659	Employee Id : 2002
3 MONTHS COMPLETION	
Whether 3 Months are complete for remarks of DISTRICT JUDGE?	Yes

SELF ASSESSMENT FORM PART I			
1.	Self Assessment Period	01/04/2019 - 31/03/2020	
2.	Name of the Officer	DHARMENDRA KUMAR PANDEY	
3.	Designation	Spl. J. (SC/ST, Pev. of Atroci Act)	
4.	Date of Joining Service / Length of Service	16/08/2013 (6 Years 10 Months and 2 Days)	
5.	Place of Posting	Sitapur Posting Details During Self Assessment Period 1. Sitapur-Ist Addl. District & Sessions Judge 2. Sitapur-Addl. District & Sessions Judge	
6.	Any other charge held during the financial year	1. Chairman Infrastructure Sub Committee 2. Chairman Computerization Committee 3. Nodal Officer for Cluster Programme held on 20-10-2019 4. Nodal Officer for National Lok Adalat held on 14-09-2019, 14-12-2019 and 08-02-2020 5. Receiver Mata Lalita Devi Mandir (till 02-01-2020) 6. Member Grievance Redressal Committee 7. Decided three Departmental Final Enquiries	
7.	Year wise break up of cases	01-04-2019 to 15-04-2019 ADJ Court No.1, 16-04-2019 to 04-01-2020 ADJ Court No. 3, 04-01-2020 to 31-03-2020 Spl. Judge SC/ST Court	Attachment Available
8.	Courts held during the financial year	01-04-2019 to 15-04-2019 ADJ Court No.1, 16-04-2019 to 04-01-2020 ADJ Court No. 3, 04-01-2020 to 31-03-2020 Spl. Judge SC/ST Court	Attachment Available
9.	In how many cases have you framed the issues	12	
10.	In how many cases have you framed the charge	53	
11.	Number of cases in which Judgment not delivered within 15 days of conclusions of argument	NIL	
12.	Percentage of appeals remanded by the officer	NIL	
13.	Inspections	28/29-06-2019, 27/28-06-2019, 19-12-2019, 21-03-2020	Attachment Available
14.	Remarks if any	NIL	

Validity unknown

Digitally signed by RITU RAJ AWASTHI
Date: 2021.09.18 17:04:35 IST
Reason: Document Owner
Location: Allahabad High Court

15.	Details of the works by the officer	Actual working days 215 days, work done 1511.85 units, proforma attached	Attachment Available
16.	Performance in Lok Adalat	1. Participated in all Lok Adalat and disposed 13 cases 2. Nodal Officer in National Lok Adalat held on 14-09-2019, 14-12-2019 and 08-02-2020	

SELF ASSESSMENT FORM PART II

1.	Brief description of duties	1. Chairman Infrastructure Sub Committee 2. Chairman Computerization Committee 3. Nodal Officer for Cluster Programme held on 20-10-2019 4. Nodal Officer for National Lok Adalat held on 14-09-2019, 14-12-2019 and 08-02-2020 5. Receiver Mata Lalita Devi Mandir (till 02-01-2020) 6. Member Grievance Redressal Committee 7. Decided three Departmental Final Enquiries	
2.	Norms set and achieved in respect of disposal of cases.		
	Target	Achievements	
	1. Short date fixed	Achieved	
	2. Avoiding unnecessary adjournments	Achieved	
	3. Special importance / emphasis given to disposal of old cases	Achieved	
	4. Ensuring the attendance/examination of witnesses	Achieved	
2A.	Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 5 years old.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
	1. Identified such cases	Achieved	
	2. Short date fixed in such cases	Achieved	
	3. Avoiding unnecessary adjournments	Achieved	
	4. Ensuring the attendance/examination of witnesses	Achieved	
2A(i).	Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
	1. Identified such cases	Achieved	
	2. Short date fixed in such cases	Achieved	
	3. Avoiding unnecessary adjournments	Achieved	

	4. Ensuring the attendance/examination of witness	Achieved	
2A(ii).	Number of such matters disposed of during the year.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
	1. Identified such cases	Achieved	
	2. Short date fixed in such cases	Achieved	
	3. Avoiding unnecessary adjournments	Achieved	
	4. Ensuring the attendance/examination of witnesses	Achieved	
2B.	Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 10 years old.		
	Target	Achievements	
	1. Identified such cases	Achieved	
	2. Short date fixed in such cases	Achieved	
	3. Avoiding unnecessary adjournments	Achieved	
	4. Ensuring the attendance/examination of witnesses	Achieved	
	5. Special attention given in such cases	Achieved	
2B(i).	Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
	1. Identified such cases	Achieved	
	2. Short date fixed in such cases	Achieved	
	3. Avoiding unnecessary adjournments	Achieved	
	4. Ensuring the attendance/examination of witnesses	Achieved	
	5. Special attention given in such cases	Achieved	
2B(ii).	Number of such matters disposed of during the year.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
	1. Identified such cases	Achieved	
	2. Short date fixed in such cases	Achieved	
	3. Avoiding unnecessary adjournments	Achieved	
	4. Ensuring the attendance/examination of witnesses	Achieved	
	5. Special attention given in such cases	Achieved	
2C.	Steps taken to dispose of cases of persons with more than 65 years of age.		
	Target	Achievements	
	1. Identified such cases	Achieved	

	2. Short date fixed in such cases	Achieved	
	3. Avoiding unnecessary adjournments	Achieved	
	4. Ensuring the attendance/examination of witnesses	Achieved	
	5. Special attention given in such cases	Achieved	
2C(i).	Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
	1. Identified such cases	Achieved	
	2. Short date fixed in such cases	Achieved	
	3. Avoiding unnecessary adjournments	Achieved	
	4. Ensuring the attendance/examination of witnesses	Achieved	
	5. Special attention given in such cases	Achieved	
2C(ii).	Number of such matters disposed of during the year.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
	1. Identified such cases	Achieved	
	2. Short date fixed in such cases	Achieved	
	3. Avoiding unnecessary adjournments	Achieved	
	4. Ensuring the attendance/examination of witnesses	Achieved	
	5. Special attention given in such cases	Achieved	
3.	Please state briefly the shortfalls with reference to the targets / objectives referred to at S. No. 02 above. Please specify constraints, if any, in achieving the targets.		
	Target	Achievements	
	NIL	NIL	
4.	Academic and professional achievements during the year, including degree(s) obtained and/or books/articles published.		
	Target	Achievements	
	NIL	NIL	
5.	Whether attended any workshop, course, programme, etc., organized by Judicial Academy and/or any other organization during the period in question? If so, give details.		
	Target	Achievements	
	YES, In IIPA, New Delhi, from 20-05-2019 to 24-05-2019	Training is useful in our Judicial work	
6.	Whether visited Judicial Academy as Faculty Member. If so, give details about the nature of lecture(s) given/topic(s) discussed.		
	Target	Achievements	

NIL	NIL
-----	-----

Judgment Attachments	
Judgment Attachment	Uploading Date
Judgement 1	18/06/2020
Judgement 2	18/06/2020
Judgement 3	18/06/2020
Judgement 4	18/06/2020
Judgement 5	18/06/2020

Applying Date	
Date	18/06/2020

01-Remarks given by the District Judge regarding:		
01 (a).	Integrity of the Officer- whether beyond doubt, doubtful or positively lacking	Beyond Doubt
	Note- If the officer's integrity is doubtful or positively lacking, it may be so stated with all relevant fact, reason(s) & supporting material.	
01 (b).	If he is fair and impartial in dealing with the public and Bar?	Yes
01 (c).	If he is cool minded and does not lose temper in court.	Yes
01 (d).	His private character is such as to lower him in the estimation of the public and adversely affects the discharge of his official duties?	No
1 (e).	CONTROL OVER THE FILES IN THE MATTER OF:	
01 (e)(i)(a).	Proper fixation of cause list:	Good
01 (e)(i)(b).	Whether sufficient number of cases are fixed by him to keep him engaged during full court full court hours?	Yes
01 (e)(ii).	Avoidance of unnecessary adjournments:	The Officer avoids unnecessary adjournments.
01 (e)(iii).	Disposal of old cases(Give number and year of old cases decided):	The Officer has decided 01 Special Case of 1992, 01 Special Case of 1998, 02 Special Cases of 2000, 01 Special Case of 2004, 02 Special Cases of 2005, 01 Special Case of 2007, 03 Special Cases of 2008, 01 Special Case of 2009, 08 Special Cases of 2010, 05 Special Cases of 2011. The Officer has also decided 02 Sessions cases of 2008, 01 Sessions case of 2013, 01 Sessions Case of 2014. The Officer has also decided 01 CrI Misc of 2003. The Officer has decided 01 Civil Appeal of 2012, 01 MACP of 2014, 01 Civil Revision of 2011, 01 SCC Revision of 2015, 01 Civil Revision of 2010, 01 Civil Appeal of 2015.
01 (e)(iv).	Progress and disposal of execution cases:	The Officer has decided 1 execution case.
01 (e)(v).	Whether interim order, injunction being granted, refused or retained for sufficient reasons?	Yes
01 (e)(vi).	Are cases remanded on substantial grounds?	Not Applicable
01 (e)(vii).	Performance with regard to decision of Motor Accident Claims related to death / injury	"Expunged"

Asuri

Asuri
12/1/2022

01 (f).	Whether Judgment on facts and on law are on the whole sound, well-reasoned and expressed in good language?:	Yes
Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in filling up this column:		
01 (f)(i).	Marshalling of facts;	Good
01 (f)(ii).	Appreciation of evidences;	Good
01 (f)(iii).	Application of law.	Good
01 (g).	Whether disposal of work is adequate.(Give percentage and reasons for short disposal, if any)	The Officer has achieved total 1511.85 units against the targetted units 1200 which is adequate
Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in filling up this column:		
01 (g)(i).	Number of cases decided after actual full contest;	The Officer has decided 82 Cases after actual Full Contest.
01 (g)(ii).	Number of cases decided wherein all witnesses of fact turned hostile and the case ended in acquittal.	Nil
01 (g)(iii).	Number of civil cases decided on compromises / alternate dispute resolution.	13 Cases.
01 (g)(iv).	Number of cases wherein after conclusion of arguments and reserving them for judgment, rehearing was ordered.	Nil
01 (h).	Control over the Office and Administrative capacity and tact:	Good
01 (i).	Relations with members of the Bar(mention incidents, if any):	Good
01 (j).	Behavior in relation to brother Officers(mention incidents, if any):	Cordial
01 (k).	Whether the officer has made regular inspections of his court and offices in his charge and whether such inspections were full and effective?	Yes
01 (l).	His punctuality and regularity in sitting on the dais in court during court hours?	Good
01 (m).	Whether amenable to the advice of the District Judge and other superior officers?	Yes
01 (n).	Behaviour towards women(respect and sensitivity exhibited towards them)	Exhibit respect and sensitivity towards women
2.	Over all assessment of the merit of the officer(Outstanding, Very Good, Good, Average, Poor)	Good
3.	State of Health, with remarks, if any?	Good
4.	Other remarks, if any:	Nil
5.	Name of the District Judge:	DR. AJAYA KRISHNA VISHVESHA (UP5329)

Annual remarks recorded by the Administrative Judge/Reviewing and Accepting Authority

Ritu Raj Awasthi(HON'BLE JUDGE)

Vide order dated 18-9-2021 of Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ritu Raj Awasthi, the then Administrative Judge, Sitapur, the adverse remark in col. no. 1(e)(vii) expunged.

Awasthi
12/11/2022
Registrar General

As per the self assessment the Officer was posted as Additional District Judge from 1.4.2019 to 31.3.2020. The Officer has achieved 1511.85 units against the target of 1200 units, which is adequate. The judgments delivered by the Officer appear to be well-reasoned, sound and expressed in good language, appreciating proper evidence and principles of law. The Officer has decided sufficient number of civil and criminal cases on merits during the period under assessment. The Officer has also decided sufficient number of old cases during the period under assessment. He has made effective periodical, quarterly and annual, inspection of his Court and offices. He has been fair and impartial in dealing with the public and Bar. There is nothing adverse or has come to my knowledge about his private character. The Officer has properly fixed the cause list to keep himself engaged during the full Court hours. He is a soft spoken, courteous and submissive Officer. He has cordial relations with members of Bar and Judicial Officers of the Judgeship. The District Judge has rated him to be a good officer.

Against the remark awarded by the District Judge at Column No. 1(e)(vii) that "The officer has not decided any MAC petition", the Officer has moved representation dated 14.4.2021, stating therein that he decided 01 MACP of 2014 during the period under assessment, coupled with the fact that the District Judge himself has mentioned at column no.1(e)(iii), that "The Officer has decided 01 MACP of 2014. A perusal of the judgment annexed with the self assessment form, it reveals that the Officer has decided one M.A.C. No.202 of 2014 on 15.11.2019. Accordingly the representation preferred by the officer is allowed. The remark given by the District Judge at Column No. 1(e)(vii) that "The officer has not decided any MAC petition", is hereby expunged.

Overall assessment	Good
Integrity	Certified

Representation		
1.	Representation Case ID	96
2.	JO Code	2002
3.	Officer Name	DHARMENDRA KUMAR PANDEY
4.	Designation Name	Presiding Officer
5.	Self Assessment Case ID	659
6.	Self Assessment Period	01/04/2019 - 31/03/2020
7.	Representation Type	Representation against remarks of District Judge
8.	Representation Remark	<p>Respected sir,</p> <p>with due respect i beg to say that i have decided several types of cases during the financial year 2019-2020 and given 1511.85 units I have also decided 09 contested M.A.C petitions and 08 M.A.C petitions in Lok Adalat and 01 M.A.C petition on compromise basis, but my respected district judge in column number 01(e) (vii) has written that "The officer has not decided any M.A.C petition" although in column number 01 (e) (iii) he has written the officer has decided 01 M.A.C.P of 2014 which includes in old cases. I have attached an M.A.C.P judgement M.A.C.P no 202 of 2014 with self assessment . I ha wive also attached year wise break-up for the period of 16-04-2019 to 04-01-2020 with self assessment in which it has mentioned that i have decided 18 M.A.C petition but, my respected district judge has not considered it</p> <p style="text-align: right;">It is, therefore requested that hon'ble court may kindly be requested to consider it and expunge the remark against me recorded by respected district judge regarding not deciding any M.A.C petition</p>
9.	Representation Applying Date	14/04/2021

Attachments

Attachment	Uploading Date
year wise break-up & work done	14/04/2021
*Red background attachments are uploaded in return of objection.	

Order by Hon'ble Judge

Ritu Raj Awasthi(HON'BLE JUDGE)
<p>Against the remark awarded by the District Judge at Column No. 1(e)(vii) that "The officer has not decided any MAC petition", the Officer has moved representation dated 14.4.2021, stating therein that he decided 01 MACP of 2014 during the period under assessment, coupled with the fact that the District Judge himself has mentioned at column no.1(e)(iii), that "The Officer has decided 01 MACP of 2014. A perusal of the judgment annexed with the self assessment form, it reveals that the Officer has decided one M.A.C. No.202 of 2014 on 15.11.2019. Accordingly the representation preferred by the officer is allowed. The remark given by the District Judge at Column No. 1(e)(vii) that "The officer has not decided any MAC petition", is hereby expunged.</p> <p>Dated : 18/09/2021</p>