

# APPLICATION FOR SELF ASSESSMENT

Case Id : 1183

Employee Id : 5809

## 3 MONTHS COMPLETION

Whether 3 Months are complete for remarks of DISTRICT JUDGE?

Yes

### SELF ASSESSMENT FORM PART I

1. Self Assessment Period	01/04/2019 - 31/03/2020	
2. Name of the Officer	RAM SUCHIT	
3. Designation	Addl. District & Sessions Judge	
4. Date of Joining Service / Length of Service	16/03/1996 (24 Years 3 Months and 29 Days)	
5. Place of Posting	Sitapur	
	<b>Posting Details During Self Assessment Period</b>	
	1. Sitapur-IVth A.D.J./Spl. J.(E.C. Act)	
6. Any other charge held during the financial year	1-Monitoring of Stayed & Old cases. 2-Inspection Samprekshan grih Hardoi & Barabanki From 1-4-2019 to 23-10-2019.	
7. Year wise break up of cases	Proforma Attached	Attachment Available
8. Courts held during the financial year	237 days	Attachment Available
9. In how many cases have you framed the issues	20 MACP Cases Issues Framed	
10. In how many cases have you framed the charge	71 Sessions Trial cases Charged Framed	
11. Number of cases in which Judgment not delivered within 15 days of conclusions of argument	Nil	
12. Percentage of appeals remanded by the officer	Nil	
13. Inspections	Proforma Attached	Attachment Available
14. Remarks if any	1- June 2019 Date of Inspection 27-6-2019 & 28-6-2019 2- September 2019 Date of Inspection 24-9-2019 3-December 2019 Date of Inspection 21-12-2019 4-March 2020 Date of Inspection 21-3-2020	
15. Details of the works by the officer	Proforma Attached	Attachment Available
16. Performance in Lok Adalat	Disposal of 28 Cases in lok adalat.	

### SELF ASSESSMENT FORM PART II

Validity unknown

Digitally signed by P.D. NAJ AWASTHI  
Date: 2021.09.13 12:03:49 IST  
Reason: Document Owner  
Location: Allahabad High Court

<b>1.</b>	<b>Brief description of duties</b>		Special Judge(E.C.)Act Sitapur Dated from 1-4-2019 to 15-4-2019 & Addl. District & Session Judge Court No 7 Sitapur Dated From 16-4-2019 to 31-3-2020.
<b>2.</b>	<b>Norms set and achieved in respect of disposal of cases.</b>		
	<b>Target</b>		<b>Achievements</b>
	1200	1812.82	
<b>2A.</b>	<b>Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 5 years old.</b>		Attachment Available
	<b>Target</b>		<b>Achievements</b>
	340	10	
<b>2A(i).</b>	<b>Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.</b>		Attachment Available
	<b>Target</b>		<b>Achievements</b>
	240	10	
<b>2A(ii).</b>	<b>Number of such matters disposed of during the year.</b>		Attachment Available
	<b>Target</b>		<b>Achievements</b>
	697	181	
<b>2B.</b>	<b>Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 10 years old.</b>		
	<b>Target</b>		<b>Achievements</b>
	110	8	
<b>2B(i).</b>	<b>Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.</b>		Attachment Available
	<b>Target</b>		<b>Achievements</b>
	94	8	
<b>2B(ii).</b>	<b>Number of such matters disposed of during the year.</b>		Attachment Available
	<b>Target</b>		<b>Achievements</b>
	110	15	
<b>2C.</b>	<b>Steps taken to dispose of cases of persons with more than 65 years of age.</b>		
	<b>Target</b>		<b>Achievements</b>
	8	3	
<b>2C(i).</b>	<b>Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.</b>		Attachment Available
	<b>Target</b>		<b>Achievements</b>

8	3		
<b>2C(ii). Number of such matters disposed of during the year.</b>			Attachment Available
	<b>Target</b>	<b>Achievements</b>	
8		3	
<b>3.</b>	<b>Please state briefly the shortfalls with reference to the targets / objectives referred to at S. No. 02 above. Please specify constraints, if any, in achieving the targets.</b>		Attachment Available
	<b>Target</b>	<b>Achievements</b>	
		Target already Achieved.	
<b>4.</b>	<b>Academic and professional achievements during the year, including degree(s) obtained and/or books/articles published.</b>		
	<b>Target</b>	<b>Achievements</b>	
	No	No	
<b>5.</b>	<b>Whether attended any workshop, course, programme, etc., organized by Judicial Academy and/or any other organization during the period in question? If so, give details.</b>		
	<b>Target</b>	<b>Achievements</b>	
		1-IIIPA dated from 2-9-2019 to 7-9-2019 2-Cluster training Program attended at District Lakhimpur Kheri on dated 4-8-2019 3-Cluster training Program attended at District Sitapur on dated 20-10-2019.	
<b>6.</b>	<b>Whether visited Judicial Academy as Faculty Member. If so, give details about the nature of lecture(s) given/topic(s) discussed.</b>		
	<b>Target</b>	<b>Achievements</b>	
		No	

<b>Attachments</b>	
<b>Attachment</b>	<b>Uploading Date</b>
Self Assessment	15/07/2020

\*Red background attachments are uploaded in return of objection.

<b>Judgment Attachments</b>	
<b>Judgment Attachment</b>	<b>Uploading Date</b>
Sessions Trial 599/2011	15/07/2020
Sessions Trial 708/09	15/07/2020
Sessions Trial 1027/10	15/07/2020
Criminal Appeal 50/2010	15/07/2020
Civil Misc. Appeal 50/2010	15/07/2020

**Applying Date**

**Date**

15/07/2020

**01-Remarks given by the District Judge regarding:**

<b>01 (a).</b>	Integrity of the Officer- whether beyond doubt, doubtful or positively lacking	Beyond Doubt
	Note- If the officer's integrity is doubtful or positively lacking, it may be so stated with all relevant fact, reason(s) & supporting material.	
<b>01 (b).</b>	If he is fair and impartial in dealing with the public and Bar?	Yes
<b>01 (c).</b>	If he is cool minded and does not lose temper in court.	Yes
<b>01 (d).</b>	His private character is such as to lower him in the estimation of the public and adversely affects the discharge of his official duties?	No
1 (e).	<b>CONTROL OVER THE FILES IN THE MATTER OF:</b>	
<b>01 (e)(i)(a).</b>	Proper fixation of cause list:	Good
<b>01 (e)(i)(b).</b>	Whether sufficient number of cases are fixed by him to keep him engaged during full court full court hours?	Yes
<b>01 (e)(ii).</b>	Avoidance of unnecessary adjournments:	The Officer avoids unnecessary adjournments
<b>01 (e)(iii).</b>	Disposal of old cases(Give number and year of old cases decided):	The officer has decided 01 Sessions Case of 2008, 02 Sessions Cases of 2009, and 01 Sessions Cases of 2010. He has also decided 01 Special Case of 2009 and 02 Special Cases of 2010. he has also decided 01 CrI Appeal of 2010.  The Officer has also decided 01 Civil Appeal of 2010 and 01 LAC case of 2011.  Thus he has decided total 10 old cases.
<b>01 (e)(iv).</b>	Progress and disposal of execution cases:	The Officer has decided 02 execution cases.
<b>01 (e)(v).</b>	Whether interim order, injunction being granted, refused or retained for sufficient reasons?	Yes
<b>01 (e)(vi).</b>	Are cases remanded on substantial grounds?	Not Applicable
<b>01 (e)(vii).</b>	Performance with regard to decision of Motor Accident Claims related to death / injury	The Officer has decided 05 MAC Petitions..
<b>01 (f).</b>	Whether Judgment on facts and on law are on the whole sound, well-reasoned and expressed in good language?:	Yes
	Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in filling up this column:	
<b>01 (f)(i).</b>	Marshalling of facts;	Good
<b>01 (f)(ii).</b>	Appreciation of evidences;	Good
<b>01 (f)(iii).</b>	Application of law.	Good

01 (g).	Whether disposal of work is adequate.(Give percentage and reasons for short disposal, if any)	The Officer has achieved total 1812.82 units against the targetted 1200 units which is adequate
Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in filling up this column:		
01 (g)(i).	Number of cases decided after actual full contest;	The Officer has decided 100 Cases after actual Full Contest.
01 (g)(ii).	Number of cases decided wherein all witnesses of fact turned hostile and the case ended in acquittal.	Nil
01 (g)(iii).	Number of civil cases decided on compromises / alternate dispute resolution.	31 Cases
01 (g)(iv).	Number of cases wherein after conclusion of arguments and reserving them for judgment, rehearing was ordered.	Nil
01 (h).	Control over the Office and Administrative capacity and tact:	Good
01 (i).	Relations with members of the Bar(mention incidents, if any):	Good
01 (j).	Behavior in relation to brother Officers(mention incidents, if any):	Cordial
01 (k).	Whether the officer has made regular inspections of his court and offices in his charge and whether such inspections were full and effective?	Yes
01 (l).	His punctuality and regularity in sitting on the dais in court during court hours?	Good
01 (m).	Whether amenable to the advice of the District Judge and other superior officers?	Yes
01 (n).	Behaviour towards women(respect and sensitivity exhibited towards them)	Exhibit respect and sensitivity towards women
2.	Over all assessment of the merit of the officer(Outstanding, Very Good, Good, Average, Poor)	<i>Awasthi</i> 12/09/2022 "Very Good"
3.	State of Health, with remarks, if any?	<i>Awasthi</i> 12/09/2022 Good
4.	Other remarks, if any:	Nil
5.	Name of the District Judge:	DR. AJAYA KRISHNA VISHVESHA (UP5329)

### Annual remarks recorded by the Administrative Judge/Reviewing and Accepting Authority

Ritu Raj Awasthi( HON'BLE JUDGE )

As per self assessment the Officer was posted as Additional District Judge from 1.4.2019 to 31.3.2020. The Officer has achieved 1812.82 units against the target of 1200 units, which is quite adequate. The judgments delivered by the Officer appear to be well-reasoned, sound and expressed in good language, appreciating proper evidence and principles of law. The Officer has decided sufficient number of civil and criminal cases on merits during the period under assessment. The Officer has also decided sufficient number of old cases during the period under assessment. He has made effective periodical, quarterly and annual, inspection of his Court and offices and other courts/offices. He has been fair and impartial in dealing with the public and Bar. There is nothing adverse or has come to my knowledge about his private character. The Officer has properly fixed the cause list to keep himself engaged during the full Court hours. He is a soft spoken, courteous and submissive Officer. He has cordial relations with members of Bar and Judicial Officers of the Judgeship.

Against the rating of 'Average Officer' by the District Judge, the officer has preferred a representation dated 26.7.2021, which is self explanatory. However, a perusal of self assessment form as well as the remarks given by the District Judge, there is nothing adverse which

The overall assessment of merit in col. no. 2 upgraded as "Very Good" vide order dated 18.09.2021 of Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ritu Raj Awasthi, the then Administrative Judge, Sitapur.

*Awasthi*  
12/09/2022  
Registrar General

may warrant him to be rated as an average officer. The overall output/achievement of the Officer is very good. The representation dated 26.7.2021, as such, is allowed. The Officer is rated to be a very good Officer instead of an average officer.

**Overall assessment**

Very Good

**Integrity**

Certified