

SELF ASSESSMENT APPLICATION

Case Id : A00021402020 | Old Case Id : 2249

Employee No.:6485

3 MONTHS COMPLETION

Whether 3 Months are complete for remarks of DISTRICT JUDGE? Yes

SELF ASSESSMENT FORM PART I

1. Self Assessment Period	01/04/2019 - 31/03/2020	
2. Name of the Officer	SANJAY KUMAR GOND	
3. Designation	Chief Judicial Magistrate	
4. Date of Joining Service / Length of Service	13/06/2006 (14 Years 1 Months and 18 Days)	
5. Place of Posting	Hardoi Posting Details During Self Assessment Period 1. Hardoi-Chief Judicial Magistrate 2. Hardoi-Secretary(District Legal Services Authority) 3. Hardoi-Addl. Chief Judicial Magistrate 4. Hardoi-Chief Judicial Magistrate	
6. Any other charge held during the financial year	1- Secretary, District Legal Service Authority, Hardoi. (From 16-04-2019 to 31-07-2020) 2- Juvenile Justice Board, Hardoi (From 01-08-2019 to 23-08-2019)	
7. Year wise break up of cases	Proforma Attached	Attachment Available
8. Courts held during the financial year	Proforma Attached	Attachment Available
9. In how many cases have you framed the issues	NIL. This Court is purely criminal Court. No Civil Work is allotted to this Court	
10. In how many cases have you framed the charge	AS CJM : 83, As ACJM I : 06 Total : 89 Cases	
11. Number of cases in which Judgment not delivered within 15 days of conclusions of argument	Nil	
12. Percentage of appeals remanded by the officer	Nil	
13. Inspections	Proforma Attached	Attachment Available
14. Remarks if any	N.A.	
15. Details of the works by the officer	Target =850, Excluded - 536.28 Unit, Required - 313.72, Achieved - 1178.36 Unit	Attachment Available
16. Performance in Lok Adalat	1548 Petty Offence Cases Disposed	

SELF ASSESSMENT FORM PART II

1. Brief description of duties	CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, HARDOI : 01-04-2019 to 15-04-2019 SECRETARY, DLSA, HARDOI : 16-04-2019 to 31-07-2019 Addl. CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, HARDOI : 01-08-2019 to 23-08-2019 CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, HARDOI : 24-08-2019 to 31-03-2020	
2. Norms set and achieved in respect of disposal of cases.	Target	Achievements
	Target : 850 Unit Excluded Unit (Holidays) : 163.5 X 3.28 = 536.28 Unit Actual target Unit : 850-536.28 Unit = 313.72 Unit	Achieved Unit : 1178.36 Unit
2A. Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 5 years old.	Target	Achievements
	1- Processes Issued 2- Short Dates Fixed 3- Adjourments Allowed in Rare cases	51 Cases Disposed
2A(i). Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.	Target	Achievements
	As CJM, Hardoi : 10,441 Cases As ACJM I, Hardoi : 4,857 cases As CJM, Hardoi : 11,812 Cases	

2A(i) Number of such matters disposed of during the year.
i).

Target	Achievements
As CJM, Hardoi : 10,441 Cases As ACJM I, Hardoi : 4,857 cases As CJM, Hardoi : 11,812 Cases	As CJM, Hardoi : 2 Cases As ACJM I, Hardoi : 0 cases As CJM, Hardoi : 49 Cases

2B. Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 10 years old.

Target	Achievements
1- Processes Issued 2- Short Dates Fixed 3- Adjudgments Allowed in Rare cases	16 Cases Disposed

2B(i) Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.
).

Target	Achievements
As CJM, Hardoi : 6,464 Cases As ACJM I, Hardoi : 2,880 cases As CJM, Hardoi : 7,028 Cases	

2B(i) Number of such matters disposed of during the year.
i).

Target	Achievements
As CJM, Hardoi : 6,464 Cases As ACJM I, Hardoi : 2,880 cases As CJM, Hardoi : 7,028 Cases	As CJM, Hardoi : 1 Cases As ACJM I, Hardoi : 0 cases As CJM, Hardoi : 15 Cases

2C. Steps taken to dispose of cases of persons with more than 65 years of age.

Target	Achievements
1- Processes Issued 2- Short Dates Fixed 3- Adjudgments Allowed in Rare cases	Nil

2C(i) Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.
).

Target	Achievements
As CJM, Hardoi : 12 Cases	

2C(i) Number of such matters disposed of during the year.
i).

Target	Achievements
As CJM, Hardoi : 12 Cases	Nil

3. Please state briefly the shortfalls with reference to the targets / objectives referred to at S. No. 02 above. Please specify constraints, if any, in achieving the targets.

Target	Achievements

4. Academic and professional achievements during the year, including degree(s) obtained and/or books/articles published.

Target	Achievements

5. Whether attended any workshop, course, programme, etc., organized by Judicial Academy and/or any other organization during the period in question? If so, give details.

Target	Achievements
1- Training at IIPA, Delhi : 10-06-2019 to 14-06-2019 2- First Cluster Training Programme at Lakhimpur Kheeri :04-08-2019 3- Second Cluster Training Programme at Sitapur : 20-10-2019 4- Training in Lucknow at UP 112 : 02-12-2019 to 04-12-2019	

6. Whether visited Judicial Academy as Faculty Member. If so, give details about the nature of lecture(s) given/topic(s) discussed.

Target	Achievements

Judgment Attachments

Judgment Attachment	Uploading Date
Criminal Complaint Case 1802190 of 2015	31/07/2020
Criminal Case 13444 of 2018	31/07/2020
Criminal Case 1818 of 2015	31/07/2020

Applying Date

Date

31/07/2020

01-Remarks given by the District Judge regarding:

- 01 (a).** Integrity of the Officer- whether beyond doubt, doubtful or positively lacking. Beyond doubt.
 Note- If the officer's integrity is doubtful or positively lacking, it may be so stated with all relevant fact, reason(s) & supporting material.
- 01 (b).** If he is fair and impartial in dealing with the public and Bar? Yes. He is fair and impartial in dealing with public and bar.
- 01 (c).** If he is cool minded and does not lose temper in court. Yes.
- 01 (d).** His private character is such as to lower him in the estimation of the public and adversely affects the discharge of his official duties? No. Nothing adverse has come to my knowledge.
- 1 (e).** CONTROL OVER THE FILES IN THE MATTER OF:
- 01 (e)(i)(a).** Proper fixation of cause list: Cause list has been fixed properly.
- 01 (e)(i)(b).** Whether sufficient number of cases are fixed by him to keep him engaged during full court full court hours? Yes.
- 01 (e)(ii).** Avoidance of unnecessary adjournments: Unnecessary adjournments have been avoided.
- 01 (e)(iii).** Disposal of old cases(Give number and year of old cases decided):
- | Year | 199 5 | 199 6 | 199 7 | 199 9 | 200 1 | 200 3 | 200 4 | 200 5 | 200 0 | 201 1 | 201 2 | 201 3 | 201 4 |
|------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| No.o f case s | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 2 |
| Total: 21 cases. | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
- 01 (e)(iv).** Progress and disposal of execution cases: Not applicable. (No case pending.)
- 01 (e)(v).** Whether interim order, injunction being granted, refused or retained for sufficient reasons? Yes.
- 01 (e)(vi).** Are cases remanded on substantial grounds? Not applicable.
- 01 (e)(vii).** Performance with regard to decision of Motor Accident Claims related to death / injury Not applicable.
- 01 (f).** Whether Judgment on facts and on law are on the whole sound, well-reasoned and expressed in good language?: Yes. judgements are sound and expressed in good language.
 Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in filling up this column:
- 01 (f)(i).** Marshalling of facts; Proper.
- 01 (f)(ii).** Appreciation of evidences; Proper.
- 01 (f)(iii).** Application of law. Proper.
- 01 (g).** Whether disposal of work is adequate.(Give percentage and reasons for short disposal, if any) Adequate.
 Target:- 850 Units (Worked as Secretary D.L.S.A. from 16.04.2019 to 31.07.2019.)
 Required units after deduction: 313.72 Units
 Achieved Units:- 1184.59 Units
- Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in filling up this column:
- 01 (g)(i).** Number of cases decided after actual full contest; 33 Warrant trial, 1 Summon trial. Total: 34 Cases.
- 01 (g)(ii).** Number of cases decided wherein all witnesses of fact turned hostile and the case ended in acquittal. Nil.
- 01 (g)(iii).** Number of civil cases decided on compromises / alternate dispute resolution. Not applicable.(No civil work assigned.)
- 01 (g)(iv).** Number of cases wherein after conclusion of arguments and reserving them for judgment, rehearing was ordered. Nil.
- 01 (h).** Control over the Office and Administrative capacity and tact: He has proper control over the office.
- 01 (i).** Relations with members of the Bar(mention incidents, if any): Good. (No incident.)
- 01 (j).** Behavior in relation to brother Officers(mention incidents, if any): Normal.
- 01 (k).** Whether the officer has made regular inspections of his court and offices in his charge and whether such inspections were full and effective? Yes.
- 01 (l).** His punctuality and regularity in sitting on the dais in court during court hours? Punctual and regular.
- 01 (m).** Whether amenable to the advice of the District Judge and other superior officers? Yes.
- 01 (n).** Behaviour towards women(respect and sensitivity exhibited towards them) Good.

2.	Over all assessment of the merit of the officer(Outstanding, Very Good, Good, Average, Poor)	Good
3.	State of Health, with remarks, if any?	Sound health.
4.	Other remarks, if any:	Nil.
5.	Name of the District Judge:	Syed Aftab Husain Rizvi. 29.09.2020


Overall assessment

Ritu Raj Awasthi(HON'BLE JUDGE)

As per the self assessment the Officer was posted as Chief Judicial Magistrate from 1.4.2019 to 15.4.2019 and 24.8.2019 to 31.3.2020. He was also posted as Secretary, DLSA for the period 16.4.2019 to 31.7.2019 and Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate for the period 1.8.2019 to 23.8.2019. The Officer has achieved 1178.38 units against the target of 850 units which is quite adequate. The judgments delivered by the Officer appear to be well-reasoned, sound and expressed in good language, appreciating proper evidence and principles of law. The Officer has decided sufficient number of civil and criminal cases on merits during the period under assessment. The Officer has also decided sufficient number of old cases during the period under assessment. He has made effective periodical, quarterly and annual, inspection of his Court and offices and other courts/offices. The Officer has good administrative skill and full control over the office in the administrative capacity. He has been fair and impartial in dealing with the public and Bar. There is nothing adverse or has come to my knowledge about his private character. The Officer has properly fixed the cause list to keep himself engaged during the full Court hours. He is a soft spoken, courteous and submissive Officer. He has cordial relations with members of Bar and Judicial Officers of the Judgeship.

Overall assessment	Very Good
Integrity	Certified

Self Attested


19/05/2022