

SELF ASSESSMENT APPLICATION

Case Id : A00020642020 | Old Case Id : 2171

Employee No.:-2564

3 MONTHS COMPLETION

Whether 3 Months are complete for remarks of DISTRICT JUDGE?

Yes

SELF ASSESSMENT FORM PART I

1.	Self Assessment Period	01/04/2019 - 31/03/2020																																					
2.	Name of the Officer	VINOD KUMAR YADAV																																					
3.	Designation	Civil Judge (Junior Div.)																																					
4.	Date of Joining Service / Length of Service	13/06/2018 (2 Years 1 Months and 17 Days)																																					
5.	Place of Posting	Hardoi Posting Details During Self Assessment Period 1. Hardoi-Addl. Civil Judge (Junior Div.)																																					
6.	Any other charge held during the financial year	NO																																					
7.	Year wise break up of cases	File Attached	Attachment Available																																				
8.	Courts held during the financial year	1. Addl. Civil Judge (J.D.) Court No 3 Hardoi(01/04/2019 to 15/04/2019) 2. Civil Judge(J.D.)/F.T.C.(15/04/2019 to 31/03/2020)	Attachment Available																																				
9.	In how many cases have you framed the issues	18																																					
10.	In how many cases have you framed the charge	NIL																																					
11.	Number of cases in which Judgment not delivered within 15 days of conclusions of argument	NIL																																					
12.	Percentage of appeals remanded by the officer	NOT APPLICABLE																																					
13.	Inspections	Quarterly - 03, Surprise - Nil	Attachment Available																																				
14.	Remarks if any																																						
15.	Details of the works by the officer	File Attached	Attachment Available																																				
16.	Performance in Lok Adalat	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>S.N.</th> <th>Dates of lok Adalat</th> <th>Number of cases decided/disposed</th> <th colspan="3">कुल याग</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td colspan="6">सिविल फौजदारी</td> </tr> <tr> <td>चालानी</td> <td>60 Ex. Act</td> <td>4/10 F.A.</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td>14-09-2019</td> <td>-</td> <td>30</td> <td>-</td> <td>30</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2.</td> <td>14-12-2019</td> <td>09</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>09</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3.</td> <td>08-02-2020</td> <td>08</td> <td>64</td> <td>03</td> <td>76</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	S.N.	Dates of lok Adalat	Number of cases decided/disposed	कुल याग			सिविल फौजदारी						चालानी	60 Ex. Act	4/10 F.A.				1.	14-09-2019	-	30	-	30	2.	14-12-2019	09	-	-	09	3.	08-02-2020	08	64	03	76	
S.N.	Dates of lok Adalat	Number of cases decided/disposed	कुल याग																																				
सिविल फौजदारी																																							
चालानी	60 Ex. Act	4/10 F.A.																																					
1.	14-09-2019	-	30	-	30																																		
2.	14-12-2019	09	-	-	09																																		
3.	08-02-2020	08	64	03	76																																		

SELF ASSESSMENT FORM PART II

1.	Brief description of duties	From 01-04-2019 to 15-04-2019 was holding the court of Additional Civil Judge (J.D.) Court No. - 03, Hardoi . From 15-04-2019 to 31-03-2020 was holding the Court of Civil Judge (J.D.)/F.T.C. Hardoi.
2.	Norms set and achieved in respect of disposal of cases.	
	Target	Achievements
	576.80 Units after permissible deduction from the yearly target of 1200 units.	1421.20 units

2A.	Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 5 years old.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Fixing short dates • Hearing such matters on priority basis • Monitoring of process • Unnecessary adjournments were avoided 	Total 275 such type of cases decided.	
2A(i).	Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
	Addl.Civil Judge(J.D.)Court No 3 Hardoi (01.04.2019 to 15.04.2019) - 171 Cases Civil Judge(J.D.)/F.T.C.Hardoi (15.04.2019 to 31.03.2020) - 1307 Cases	----- Total 275 such type of cases disposed in the court of Civil Judge(J.D.)/F.T.C.	
2A(ii).	Number of such matters disposed of during the year.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
	1478 cases	Total 275 such type of cases disposed.	
2B.	Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 10 years old.		
	Target	Achievements	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Fixing short dates • Hearing such matters on priority basis • Monitoring of process • Unnecessary adjournments were avoided 	Total 116 such type of cases disposed.	
2B(i).	Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
	Addl.Civil Judge(J.D.)Court No 3 Hardoi (01.04.2019 to 15.04.2019) - Nil Civil Judge(J.D.)/F.T.C.Hardoi (15.04.2019 to 31.03.2020) - 597 Cases	NIL Total 116 such type of cases disposed in the court of Civil Judge(J.D.)/F.T.C.	
2B(ii).	Number of such matters disposed of during the year.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
	597 Cases	Total 116 such type of cases disposed.	
2C.	Steps taken to dispose of cases of persons with more than 65 years of age.		
	Target	Achievements	

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Fixing short dates Hearing such matters on priority basis Monitoring of process Unnecessary adjournments were avoided 	Total 194 such type of cases disposed.	
2C(i).	Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
	Addl.Civil Judge(J.D.)Court No 3 Hardoi (01.04.2019 to 15.04.2019) - 27 Cases Civil Judge(J.D.)/F.T.C.Hardoi (15.04.2019 to 31.03.2020) - 503 Cases	NIL Total 194 such type of cases disposed in the court of Civil Judge(J.D.)/F.T.C.	
2C(i).	Number of such matters disposed of during the year.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
	530 Cases	Total 194 such type of cases disposed.	
3.	Please state briefly the shortfalls with reference to the targets / objectives referred to at S. No. 02 above. Please specify constraints, if any, in achieving the targets.		
	Target	Achievements	
	NOT APPLICABLE	NIL	
4.	Academic and professional achievements during the year, including degree(s) obtained and/or books/articles published.		
	Target	Achievements	
	NONE	NONE	
5.	Whether attended any workshop, course, programme, etc., organized by Judicial Academy and/or any other organization during the period in question? If so, give details.		
	Target	Achievements	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Final Phase of Induction Training at I.J.T.R, Lucknow. (from 01/05/2019 to 31/07/2019) Management Development Programme at IIPA, New Delhi. (from 16/09/2019 to 20/09/2019) Cluster training programme by way of Workshop at Lakhimpur kheri.(Held on 04/08/2019) 	All training programme attended.	
6.	Whether visited Judicial Academy as Faculty Member. If so, give details about the nature of lecture(s) given/topic(s) discussed.		
	Target	Achievements	
	NO	NO	

Attachments	
Attachment	Uploading Date
EXECUTION WORK IN FINANCIAL YEAR 2019-2020	30/07/2020
INFORMATION & STATEMENT TO BE SUBMITTED FOR INCORPORATION IN THE ANNUAL REMARK	30/07/2020

*Red background attachments are uploaded in return of objection.

Judgment Attachments	
Judgment Attachment	Uploading Date
CIVIL SUIT O.S. 379/1994 LAKHAN V CHOTTE	30/07/2020
CIVIL SUIT O.S. 65/2009 SMT. RAMVATI V. NANHE	30/07/2020
CIVIL SUIT O.S. 481/2003 SHIVNATH V. SMT MUNNI	30/07/2020
CIVIL SUIT O.S. 422/2001 HEERA V. HARISHCHANDRA	30/07/2020

Applying Date

Date 30/07/2020

01-Remarks given by the District Judge regarding:

01 (a).	Integrity of the Officer- whether beyond doubt, doubtful or positively lacking	Beyond doubt.																																																																						
	Note- If the officer's integrity is doubtful or positively lacking, it may be so stated with all relevant fact, reason(s) & supporting material.																																																																							
01 (b).	If he is fair and impartial in dealing with the public and Bar?	Yes.																																																																						
01 (c).	If he is cool minded and does not lose temper in court.	Yes.																																																																						
01 (d).	His private character is such as to lower him in the estimation of the public and adversely affects the discharge of his official duties?	No. Nothing adverse has come to my knowledge.																																																																						
1 (e).	CONTROL OVER THE FILES IN THE MATTER OF:																																																																							
01 (e)(i)(a).	Proper fixation of cause list:	Cause list has been fixed properly.																																																																						
01 (e)(i)(b).	Whether sufficient number of cases are fixed by him to keep him engaged during full court full court hours?	Yes.																																																																						
01 (e)(ii).	Avoidance of unnecessary adjournments:	Unnecessary adjournments have been avoided.																																																																						
01 (e)(iii).	Disposal of old cases(Give number and year of old cases decided):	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Year</td> <td>1975</td> <td>1979</td> <td>1980</td> <td>1981</td> <td>1983</td> <td>1984</td> <td>1985</td> <td>1988</td> <td>1988</td> <td>1990</td> <td>1992</td> <td>1993</td> <td>1994</td> <td>1995</td> <td>1996</td> <td>1997</td> <td>1998</td> </tr> <tr> <td>No.o of cases</td> <td>1</td> <td>2</td> <td>3</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td>2</td> <td>4</td> <td>4</td> <td>3</td> <td>6</td> <td>3</td> </tr> </table> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>Year</td> <td>1999</td> <td>2000</td> <td>2001</td> <td>2002</td> <td>2003</td> <td>2004</td> <td>2005</td> <td>2006</td> <td>2007</td> <td>2008</td> <td>2009</td> <td>2010</td> <td>2011</td> <td>2012</td> <td>2013</td> <td>2014</td> </tr> <tr> <td>No.o of cases</td> <td>2</td> <td>7</td> <td>7</td> <td>1</td> <td>5</td> <td>3</td> <td>4</td> <td>5</td> <td>11</td> <td>15</td> <td>19</td> <td>14</td> <td>15</td> <td>20</td> <td>35</td> <td>75</td> </tr> </table> <p>Total: 273 cases.</p>	Year	1975	1979	1980	1981	1983	1984	1985	1988	1988	1990	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	No.o of cases	1	2	3	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	4	4	3	6	3	Year	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	No.o of cases	2	7	7	1	5	3	4	5	11	15	19	14	15	20	35	75
Year	1975	1979	1980	1981	1983	1984	1985	1988	1988	1990	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998																																																							
No.o of cases	1	2	3	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	4	4	3	6	3																																																							
Year	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014																																																								
No.o of cases	2	7	7	1	5	3	4	5	11	15	19	14	15	20	35	75																																																								
01 (e)(iv).	Progress and disposal of execution cases:	4 execution cases decided otherwise.																																																																						
01 (e)(v).	Whether interim order, injunction being granted, refused or retained for sufficient reasons?	Yes.																																																																						
01 (e)(vi).	Are cases remanded on substantial grounds?	Not applicable.																																																																						
01 (e)(vii).	Performance with regard to decision of Motor Accident Claims related to death / injury	Not applicable.																																																																						
01 (f).	Whether Judgment on facts and on law are on the whole sound, well-reasoned and expressed in good language?:	Yes.																																																																						
	Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in filling up this column:																																																																							
01 (f)(i).	Marshalling of facts;	Proper.																																																																						
01 (f)(ii).	Appreciation of evidences;	Proper.																																																																						
01 (f)(iii).	Application of law.	Proper.																																																																						
01 (g).	Whether disposal of work is adequate.(Give percentage and reasons for short disposal, if any)	Adequate. Target:- 1200 Units Required units after deduction: 576.8 Units Achieved Units:- 1421.20 Units																																																																						
	Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in filling up this column:																																																																							
01 (g)(i).	Number of cases decided after actual full contest;	4 O.S.																																																																						
01 (g)(ii).	Number of cases decided wherein all witnesses of fact turned hostile and the case ended in acquittal.	Nil.																																																																						
01 (g)(iii).	Number of civil cases decided on compromises / alternate dispute resolution.	12.																																																																						
01 (g)(iv).	Number of cases wherein after conclusion of arguments and reserving them for judgment, rehearing was ordered.	Nil.																																																																						
01 (h).	Control over the Office and Administrative capacity and tact:	He has proper control over the office and has good Administrative capacity and tact.																																																																						
01 (i).	Relations with members of the Bar(mention incidents, if any):	Good. (No incident.)																																																																						
01 (j).	Behavior in relation to brother Officers(mention incidents, if any):	Normal. (No incident.)																																																																						
01 (k).	Whether the officer has made regular inspections of his court and offices in his charge and whether such inspections were full and effective?	Yes.																																																																						
01 (l).	His punctuality and regularity in sitting on the dais in court during court hours?	Punctual and regular.																																																																						
01 (m).	Whether amenable to the advice of the District Judge and other superior officers?	Yes.																																																																						
01 (n).	Behaviour towards women(respect and sensitivity exhibited towards them)	Good.																																																																						
2.	Over all assessment of the merit of the officer(Outstanding, Very Good, Good, Average, Poor)	Good																																																																						
3.	State of Health, with remarks, if any?	Sound health.																																																																						
4.	Other remarks, if any:	The officer is new entrant in the service and was under induction training at J.T.R.I. Lucknow. (from 01.05.2019 to 31.07.2019).																																																																						
5.	Name of the District Judge:	Syed Aftab Husain Rizvi. 29.09.2020																																																																						

Overall assessment	
Ritu Raj Awasthi(HON'BLE JUDGE)	As per the self assessment the Officer was posted as Civil Judge (Junior Division) from 1.4.2019 to 31.3.2020. The Officer has achieved 1421.20 units against the target of 1200 units which is quite adequate. The judgments delivered by the Officer appear to be well-reasoned, sound and expressed in good language, appreciating proper evidence and principles of law. The Officer has decided sufficient number of civil and criminal cases on merits during the period under assessment. The Officer has also decided sufficient number of old cases during the period under assessment. He has made effective periodical, quarterly and annual, inspection of his Court and offices and other courts/offices. He has been fair and impartial in dealing with the public and Bar. There is nothing adverse or has come to my knowledge about his private character. The Officer has property fixed the cause list to keep himself engaged during the full Court hours. He is a soft spoken, courteous and submissive Officer. He has cordial relations with members of Bar and Judicial Officers of the Judgeship.
Overall assessment	Good
Integrity	Certified

