

Recieved

		Employee No.:1985
<b>APPLICATION FOR REPRESENTATION AGAINST REMARK</b>		
1.	Representation Case ID	160
2.	JO Code	1985
3.	Officer Name	SMT. VINEETA SINGH
4.	Designation	Civil Judge (Senior Div.)
5.	Self Assessment	Case Id : A00032312021   Old Case Id : 6137
6.	Representation Type	Representation against remarks of District Judge
7.	Representation	Respected Sir, Please find the attachments

ATTACHMENT	
Attachment	Uploading Date
Representation application	03/08/2021
Annexure 1	03/08/2021
Annexure 2	03/08/2021
Annexure 3	03/08/2021
Annexure 4	03/08/2021
Annexure 5	03/08/2021
*Red background attachments are uploaded in return of objection.	

## Note for approval / remarks

District Judge Office(DISTRICT JUDGE)	Nill										
Confidential (CONFIDENTIAL SECTION REPRESENTATION)	Registrar (J)(Confidential) Smt. Vineeta Singh Civil Judge (Sr. Div.), Farrukhabad has submitted a representation dated 3-8-2021 on eServices module against the following adverse remarks occurring the Annual Confidential Remarks recorded by the District & Sessions Judge, Farrukhabad for the year 2020-21 as well as to upgrade her overall assessment of merit:-										
	<table border="1" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td style="width: 40%;"><b>01 (e)(iii). Disposal of old cases(Give number and year of cases decided):</b></td> <td><b>Total case—48</b> 1/1985,2/1989,1/1990,1/1991,2/1992,1/1993,3/1994,1/1999,1/2000,2/2001,1/2006,2/2007,1/2008,1/2009,1/2010,1/2012,4/2013,9/2014,13/2015. <b>Most of the oldest cases has been disposed as otherwise. A good number of very old cases are pending in this court.</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>01 (g). Whether disposal of work is adequate.(Give percentage and reasons for short disposal, if any)</b></td> <td><b>Yes, the disposal of work is adequate.</b> Total working days -178 days, the officer has achieved total 1413.77 Units. Percentage of work is 240.99%. <b>The officer has decided very few cases as contested ( 5 cases Out of more than 14000 cases.)</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>01 (g)(i). Number of cases decided after actual full contest;</b></td> <td><b>Only 5 cases has been decided as contested.</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>01 (g)(iii). Number of civil cases decided on compromises / alternate dispute resolution.</b></td> <td><b>No case was decided in this category as any criminal cases are pending u/j this court.</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>2. Over all assessment of the merit of the officer(outstanding, very good, good, fair, poor)</b></td> <td><b>Good</b></td> </tr> </table>	<b>01 (e)(iii). Disposal of old cases(Give number and year of cases decided):</b>	<b>Total case—48</b> 1/1985,2/1989,1/1990,1/1991,2/1992,1/1993,3/1994,1/1999,1/2000,2/2001,1/2006,2/2007,1/2008,1/2009,1/2010,1/2012,4/2013,9/2014,13/2015. <b>Most of the oldest cases has been disposed as otherwise. A good number of very old cases are pending in this court.</b>	<b>01 (g). Whether disposal of work is adequate.(Give percentage and reasons for short disposal, if any)</b>	<b>Yes, the disposal of work is adequate.</b> Total working days -178 days, the officer has achieved total 1413.77 Units. Percentage of work is 240.99%. <b>The officer has decided very few cases as contested ( 5 cases Out of more than 14000 cases.)</b>	<b>01 (g)(i). Number of cases decided after actual full contest;</b>	<b>Only 5 cases has been decided as contested.</b>	<b>01 (g)(iii). Number of civil cases decided on compromises / alternate dispute resolution.</b>	<b>No case was decided in this category as any criminal cases are pending u/j this court.</b>	<b>2. Over all assessment of the merit of the officer(outstanding, very good, good, fair, poor)</b>	<b>Good</b>
	<b>01 (e)(iii). Disposal of old cases(Give number and year of cases decided):</b>	<b>Total case—48</b> 1/1985,2/1989,1/1990,1/1991,2/1992,1/1993,3/1994,1/1999,1/2000,2/2001,1/2006,2/2007,1/2008,1/2009,1/2010,1/2012,4/2013,9/2014,13/2015. <b>Most of the oldest cases has been disposed as otherwise. A good number of very old cases are pending in this court.</b>									
	<b>01 (g). Whether disposal of work is adequate.(Give percentage and reasons for short disposal, if any)</b>	<b>Yes, the disposal of work is adequate.</b> Total working days -178 days, the officer has achieved total 1413.77 Units. Percentage of work is 240.99%. <b>The officer has decided very few cases as contested ( 5 cases Out of more than 14000 cases.)</b>									
	<b>01 (g)(i). Number of cases decided after actual full contest;</b>	<b>Only 5 cases has been decided as contested.</b>									
	<b>01 (g)(iii). Number of civil cases decided on compromises / alternate dispute resolution.</b>	<b>No case was decided in this category as any criminal cases are pending u/j this court.</b>									
<b>2. Over all assessment of the merit of the officer(outstanding, very good, good, fair, poor)</b>	<b>Good</b>										
The submissions made by the officer in the aforesaid representation are self-explanatory.											
In the end, the officer has requested that her representation may kindly be placed before the Hon'ble Court for expunging the adverse remarks as well as to upgrade her overall assessment of merit for the year 2020-21.											
Self assessment form submitted by the aforesaid officer alongwith her annual confidential remarks recorded by the District & Sessions Judge, Farrukhabad has been forwarded/submitted by Confidential 'C' Section to Hon'ble Mr. Justice Attau Rahman Masoodi, the then Administrative Judge, Farrukhabad, for recording Court remarks in respect of the officer for the year 2020-21.											
The said representation is to be placed before His Lordship in view of the following guidelines approved vide A.C. Resolution dated 22.03.2021:-											
<b>4. If the Judicial Officer makes/submits a representations against the adverse remarks recorded by the District Judge, it shall be placed before the Administrative Judge of the concerned district for consideration at the time of reviewing/accepting the Annual Confidential Remarks.</b>											
If approved, the said representation may be forwarded for placing before Hon'ble Mr. Justice Attau Rahman Masoodi, the then Administrative Judge, Farrukhabad, for His Lordship's kind perusal and orders.											
REGISTRAR (J) CONFIDENTIAL(REGISTRAR (J) CONFIDENTIAL)	Submitted for Your Lordship's kind perusal.  Regards										
Attau Rahman Masoodi(HON'BLE JUDGE)	Perused and considered. The entry is upgraded to 'Very Good' by an order passed on the self assessment file today.										

# APPLICATION FOR SELF ASSESSMENT

Case Id : 6137

Employee Id : 1985

## 3 MONTHS COMPLETION

Whether 3 Months are complete for remarks of DISTRICT JUDGE?

Yes

### SELF ASSESSMENT FORM PART I

1. Self Assessment Period	01/04/2020 - 31/03/2021	
2. Name of the Officer	SMT. VINEETA SINGH	
3. Designation	Civil Judge (Senior Div.)	
4. Date of Joining Service / Length of Service	03/06/2013 (8 Years 1 Months and 10 Days)	
5. Place of Posting	Farrukhabad	
	<b>Posting Details During Self Assessment Period</b>	
	Not Available	
6. Any other charge held during the financial year	1. Principal Magistrate Juvenile Justice Board 2. Officer incharge Police Copying.	
7. Year wise break up of cases	Proforma Attached	Attachment Available
8. Courts held during the financial year	Proforma Attached	Attachment Available
9. In how many cases have you framed the issues	N.A	
10. In how many cases have you framed the charge	83	
11. Number of cases in which Judgment not delivered within 15 days of conclusions of argument	Nil	
12. Percentage of appeals remanded by the officer	N.A	
13. Inspections	Proforma Attached	Attachment Available
14. Remarks if any	1. Addl. Chief Judicial Magistrate from 01.04.2020 to 31.03.2021 2. Principal Magistrate Juvenile Justice Board. 3. Officer Incharge Police Copying	
15. Details of the works by the officer	Proforma Attached	Attachment Available
16. Performance in Lok Adalat	267 cases decided in lok Adalat	

### SELF ASSESSMENT FORM PART II

1. Brief description of duties	1. Addl. Chief Judicial Magistrate from 01.04.2020 to 31.03.2021.
--------------------------------	---

Validity unknown

Digitally signed by ATTAU RAHMAN MASOODI  
Date: 2021.11.16 13:41:20 IST  
Reason: Document Owner  
Location: Allahabad High Court

2. Principal Magistrate Juvenile Justice Board.
3. Officer Incharge Police Copying.

**2. Norms set and achieved in respect of disposal of cases.**

Target	Achievements
1200-613.36=586.64 Units	1413.77 Units

**2A. Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 5 years old.**

Attachment Available

Target	Achievements
Criminal=128	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Strict and corcieve process were issued against the accused and witness to ensure their presence.</li> <li>2.Unnecessary adjournment were not considered in old criminal matters and in exeptional cases only with assining short date.</li> <li>3.Evidence of prosecution witness concluded in the same day.</li> <li>4.Priorities were Given old cases over new cases.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: center;">Criminal = 28</p>

**2A(i). Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.**

Attachment Available

Target	Achievements
Criminal=3340	Criminal=28

**2A(ii). Number of such matters disposed of during the year.**

Attachment Available

Target	Achievements
Criminal=128	Criminal=28

**2B. Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 10 years old.**

Target	Achievements
Criminal=123	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Strict and corcieve process were issued against the accused and witness to ensure their presence.</li> <li>2.Unnecessary adjournment were not considered in old criminal matters and in exeptional cases only with assining short date.</li> <li>3.Evidence of prosecution witness concluded in the same day.</li> <li>4.Priorities were Given old cases over new case</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: center;">Criminal=23</p>

**2B(i). Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.**

Attachment

	Target	Achievements	
	Criminal=2809	1. Strict and corcieve process were issued against the accused and witness to ensure their presence. 2.Unnecessary adjournment were not considered in old criminal matters and in exeptional cases only with assining short date. 3.Evidence of prosecution witness concluded in the same day. 4.Priorities were Given old cases over new case Criminal=23	
<b>2B(ii).</b>	<b>Number of such matters disposed of during the year.</b>		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
	Criminal=123	Criminal=23	
<b>2C.</b>	<b>Steps taken to dispose of cases of persons with more than 65 years of age.</b>		
	Target	Achievements	
	Criminal=60	1. Strict and corcieve process were issued against the accused and witness to ensure their presence. 2.Unnecessary adjournment were not considered in old criminal matters and in exeptional cases only with assining short date. 3.Evidence of prosecution witness concluded in the same day. 4.Priorities were Given old cases over new case Criminal=24	
<b>2C(i).</b>	<b>Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.</b>		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
	Criminal=60	Criminal=24	
<b>2C(ii).</b>	<b>Number of such matters disposed of during the year.</b>		
	Target	Achievements	
	Criminal=60	Criminal=24	
<b>3.</b>	<b>Please state briefly the shortfalls with reference to the targets / objectives referred to at S. No. 02 above. Please specify constraints, if any, in achieving the targets.</b>		
	Target	Achievements	
	Nil	Nil	
<b>4.</b>	<b>Acadmic and professional achievements during the year, including degree(s) obtained and/or books/articles published.</b>		

**Achievements**

Nil

Nil

5. Whether attended any workshop, course, programme, etc., organized by Judicial Academy and/or any other organization during the period in question? If so, give details.

**Target****Achievements**

1. Workshop 3rd Cluster training JTRI online.

Target Achieved

2. JJB Seminar at Hon'ble High Court Lucknow

3. 138 Ni Act Training online

6. Whether visited Judicial Academy as Faculty Member. If so, give details about the nature of lecture(s) given/topic(s) discussed.

**Target****Achievements**

Nil

Nil

**Attachments****Attachment****Uploading Date**

Judgement1

13/07/2021

Judgement2

13/07/2021

Judgement3

13/07/2021

\*Red background attachments are uploaded in return of objection.

**Applying Date****Date**

13/07/2021

**01-Remarks given by the District Judge regarding:**

- 01 (a). Integrity of the Officer- whether beyond doubt, doubtful or positively lacking

Beyond Doubt

Note- If the officer's integrity is doubtful or positively lacking, it may be so stated with all relevant fact, reason(s) & supporting material.

- 01 (b). If he is fair and impartial in dealing with the public and Bar?

Yes

- 01 (c). If he is cool minded and does not lose temper in court.

Yes

- 01 (d). His private character is such as to lower him in the estimation of the public and adversely affects the discharge of his official duties?

Nothing such was received during this period

1 (e). CONTROL OVER THE FILES IN THE MATTER OF:

<b>01</b> <b>(e)(i)(a).</b>	Proper fixation of cause list:	Yes
<b>01</b> <b>(e)(i)(b).</b>	Whether sufficient number of cases are fixed by him to keep him engaged during full court full court hours?	Yes
<b>01</b> <b>(e)(ii).</b>	Avoidance of unnecessary adjournments:	Yes
<b>01</b> <b>(e)(iii).</b>	Disposal of old cases(Give number and year of old cases decided):	Total case----48 1/1985,2/1989,1/1990,1/1991,2/1992,1/1993,3/1994,1/1999,1/2000,2/2001,1/2006,2/2007,1/2008,1/2009,1/2010,1/2011 Most of the oldest cases has been disposed as otherwise. A good number of very old cases are pending in this court.
<b>01</b> <b>(e)(iv).</b>	Progress and disposal of execution cases:	No execution case was decided as no such case was pending in the court.
<b>01</b> <b>(e)(v).</b>	Whether interim order, injunction being granted, refused or retained for sufficient reasons?	Not Applicable
<b>01</b> <b>(e)(vi).</b>	Are cases remanded on substantial grounds?	Nil
<b>01</b> <b>(e)(vii).</b>	Performance with regard to decision of Motor Accident Claims related to death / injury	Not Applicable
<b>01 (f).</b>	Whether Judgment on facts and on law are on the whole sound, well-reasoned and expressed in good language?:	Yes
Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in filling up this column:		
<b>01 (f)(i).</b>	Marshalling of facts;	Good
<b>01 (f)(ii).</b>	Appreciation of evidences;	Good
<b>01 (f)(iii).</b>	Application of law.	Good
<b>01 (g).</b>	Whether disposal of work is adequate.(Give percentage and reasons for short disposal, if any)	Yes, the disposal of work is adequate. Total working days -178 days, the officer has achieved total 1413.77 Units. Percentage of work is 240.99%. The officer has decided very few cases as contested ( 5 cases Out of more than 14000 cases.)

Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in filling up this column:

<b>01 (g)(i).</b>	Number of cases decided after actual full contest;	Only 5 cases has been decided as contested.
<b>01 (g)(ii).</b>	Number of cases decided wherein all witnesses of fact turned hostile and the case ended in acquittal.	4 cases
<b>01 (g)(iii).</b>	Number of civil cases decided on compromises / alternate dispute resolution.	No case was decided in this category as any criminal cases are pending in this court.
<b>01 (g)(iv).</b>	Number of cases wherein after conclusion of arguments and reserving them for judgment, rehearing was ordered.	Nil
<b>01 (h).</b>	Control over the Office and Administrative capacity and tact:	Good
<b>01 (i).</b>	Relations with members of the Bar(mention incidents, if any):	Good
<b>01 (j).</b>	Behavior in relation to brother Officers(mention incidents, if any):	Good
<b>01 (k).</b>	Whether the officer has made regular inspections of his court and offices in his charge and whether such inspections were full and effective?	Yes
<b>01 (l).</b>	His punctuality and regularity in sitting on the dais in court during court hours?	Yes
<b>01 (m).</b>	Whether amenable to the advice of the District Judge and other superior officers?	Yes
<b>01 (n).</b>	Behaviour towards women(respect and sensitivity exhibited towards them)	Good

2. Over all assessment of the merit of the officer (Outstanding, Very Good, Good, Average, Poor) **VERY GOOD** *Asim's* 05/16/2022
3. State of Health, with remarks, if any? GOOD
4. Other remarks, if any: NO
5. Name of the District Judge: Chawan Prakash (UP6536) 19.07.2021

### Annual remarks recorded by the Administrative Judge/Reviewing and Accepting Authority

Attau Rahman Masoodi ( HON'BLE JUDGE )

Disposal : 1413 Units, Much above the prescribed standard.

The officer remained posted as Additional District & Session Judge/ Special Judge, POCSO Act, Court No. 2, Farrukhabad during the period under assessment. She has disposed of a good number of civil and criminal cases. She has sound knowledge of law and her judgements are well discussed, exhaustive and expressed in good language. The officer has shown keen interest in deciding old cases.

It is a well known fact that during the entire period under assessment, working in all the establishments including the district courts has suffered a huge set back due to the spread of Pandemic Covid-19 and the discharge of work and output given by the officers has also been affected significantly. Therefore, the entries are recorded bearing this crucial fact in mind.

She has proper administrative control over her office and has maintained cordial relations with the members of the Bar.

She has also discharged her duties in the administrative capacity very efficiently.

She enjoys good reputation for her legal acumen and integrity.

Nothing adverse has been reported by the District Judge against the officer.

Overall assessment	Very Good
Integrity	Certified

The Overall assessment of merit upgraded to 'Very Good' vide order dated 16.11.2021 of Hon'ble Mr. Justice Attau Rahman Masoodi, the then Administrative Judge, Farrukhabad.

*Asim's*  
05/16/2022  
Registrar General



