

15

**Mr. Pradeep Kumar Jayant,  
Judicial Magistrate-II (J.D.) Mahoba**

--

I have perused the adverse remarks recorded by the District Judge in the Annual Confidential Remarks of Mr. Pradeep Kumar Jayant, Judicial Magistrate-II (J.D.), Mahoba for the year 2006-07 in the column no. 1(g) - whether disposal of work is adequate and in the column no. 2 - Over all assessment of the merit. I have also perused the representation of the officer concerned and the report of the present District Judge dated 16<sup>th</sup> November, 2007.

The officer is reported to have given inadequate work being 81.62% and over all assessment he was rated 'Poor'. The District Judge concerned himself has reported in the remarks that the officer is a new entrant in the Judgeship and he avoided unnecessary adjournment. The officer concerned in his representation has stated that on account of being new entrant in judicial service on 17.06.2006, he was sent to the training thereafter and on returning from the training he was posted to a court which had been vacant for the last five years, and he could not clear up the lot of ripe case. The officer has thus prayed that if any short fall has occurred in the standard of disposal, and if on that basis over all assessment has been made by the District Judge in the annual confidential remarks, in question, and he has been rated as 'Poor' then the same may be expunged.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the matter, particularly that the District Judge concerned himself has reported that the officer was a new entrant in the Judgeship and he avoids unnecessary adjournments and has been punctual in sitting in court, the adverse remarks made by the District Judge in column no. 1 (g) are expunged and substituted by the words "Adequate" and the adverse remark made in column no. 2 as "Poor" is also expunged and substituted by the words "Satisfactory".

Thus, the representation stands disposed of accordingly.

  
**Administrative Judge, Mahoba.**

18 -01-2008

**Annual Remarks**

Sri Pradeep Kumar Jayant,  
Judicial Magistrate First Class-II, Mahoba  
Year: 2007-2008

The officer is a new entrant in service and he has put in about 1 year, 7 months service. The District Judge has opined that the integrity of the officer is beyond doubt and the officer has given priority in disposal of old cases. His disposal during the period, in question was adequate being 175.81%. District Judge has also opined that the officer has made regular and effective Inspections and the judgments of the officer are well-reasoned & discussed. I fully agree with the opinion of the District Judge.

Integrity certified.



**(V.C.Misra)**  
Administrative Judge, Mahoba.  
29 -01-2008

**Annual Remarks**  
**2008-09**

**Sri Pradeep Kumar Jayant**  
**Judicial Magistrate/Civil Judge(J.D.)**  
**Mahoba**

\*\*\*\*\*

Out-turn is adequate being 176.11 % which is adjudged to be adequate. Relation with the Bar are on the whole normal. Work and conduct good. Punctual in sitting in the court. Control over the office satisfactory. Amenable to the advice of District Judge. He made regular full and effective inspections of his court and offices. He has reportedly took active part in the legal literacy camps. He is well behaved and submissive officer. He took interest in disposal of old cases. He is fair and impartial in dealing with the public and Bar. Relations with other officers cordial. I have no opportunity to evaluate his judicial work and as reported by District Judge, judgements delivered by him are well reasoned and discussed on the law points.

I have not heard anything which may reflect upon his reputation and therefore, his integrity and moral character is certified.

I adjudge the officer as very good.

  
ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE  
Mahoba

## ANNUAL REMARKS

2009-10

( Sri Pradeep Kumar Jayant, Civil Judge ( Jr. Div.) / Judicial Magistrate,  
Sadabad District Hathras)

His out turn is 208.93% in the year in question. District Judge has noted that he has taken pains in disposal of old cases , avoided unnecessary adjournments, judgments sound and well reasoned, punctual in Court, relations with the members of Bar and brother judicial officers of the District are cordial. District Judge certified his integrity and has rated him as a **Very Good** officer. I agree with the remarks given to him by the District Judge.



Administrative Judge,  
Hathras

## ANNUAL REMARKS

2010-11

( Sri Pradeep Kumar Jayant, Addl. Civil Judge ( Jr. Div.) Sadabad District  
Hathras)

His out turn is 269.24% in the year in question. District Judge has noted that he has taken pains in disposal of old cases, avoided unnecessary adjournments, judgments sound and well reasoned, punctual in Court, relations with the members of Bar and brother judicial officers of the District are cordial. District Judge certified his integrity and has rated him as a **Very Good** officer. I agree with the remarks given to him by the District Judge.



Administrative Judge,  
Hathras

Sri Pradeep Kumar Jayant,  
Metropolitan Magistrate,  
Kanpur Nagar


2011-2012

In view of the resolution of the Administrative Committee dated 27.09.2013, the matter is placed before me for recording annual confidential remark for the period 2011-2012.

Disposal of work 184.97% adequate. Judgments average on law and facts. Control over office and administrative capacity and tact proper. Relations with members of Bar and behaviour in relation to brother officers satisfactory. Amenable to advice of District Judge and other superior officers. On over- all assessment, he is rated to be a good officer.

Integrity certified.

Dt. 14.03.2014.

  
(Krishna Murari)  
Administrative Judge,  
Kanpur Nagar

Shree Prakash, HJS,

Chamber of the District Judge  
Kanpur Nagar  
D.O. No. 14 /2015  
Dated: February 28, 2015

Dear Sri Jayant,

With reference to D.O. No. C-196 Cf.(A)/2015 dated Alld. February 18, 2015 of Sri Mohd. Faiz Alam Khan, Registrar (Judl.) (Confidential), Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Allahabad by which direction has been issued to me to inform you about the order passed on your representation against the adverse remarks occurring in column no. 1(e)(iv) in the Annual Confidential Remarks recorded by the then District & Sessions Judge, Kanpur Nagar for the year 2011-12, I have to inform you that your representation has been allowed and the adverse remarks has been expunged by the Hon'ble Court.

Please acknowledge receipt.

Yours sincerely,

  
(Shree Prakash)

Sri P.K. Jayant,  
Civil Judge (Jr. Div.),  
Kanpur Nagar.


Annual Remarks for the year 2012-2013

Sri Pradeep Kumar Jayant, Civil Judge (J.D.), City, Kanpur Nagar  
-----

Disposal of work being 202.23% is adequate. The officer has been fair and impartial in dealing with public and bar, cool minded, fixed sufficient number of cases so as to engage himself through out the day, avoided unnecessary adjournments, made efforts to dispose of old cases. Judgments on facts and on law are sound.

Over all assessment of the officer is rated as **'very good'**.

The integrity is certified.



**(Justice Prakash Krishna)**

Then Administrative Judge, Kanpur Nagar

Date:08.08.2013



**KANPUR NAGAR JUDGESHIP**  
**ANNUAL CONFIDENTIAL REMARKS YEAR 2013-2014**

Name of the officer **,SRI PRADEEP KUMAR JAYANT,**  
 Length of Service **07 yrs. 09 months 14 days.**  
 Post(s)/Office(s) held during the year under report **(1) Civil Judge (J.D.), Kanpur Nagar. w.c.d. 01.04.2013 to 31.03.2014.  
 (2) Member Infrastructure Committee, District Court,  
 (3) I/c Telephone, District Court.**

**(1) Remarks by the District Judge,**

- (a) Integrity of the Officer whether beyond doubt, doubtful or positively lacking: **Integrity is certified.**
- (b) If he is fair and impartial in dealing with the Public and Bar ? **Yes**
- (c) If he is cool minded and does not loose temper in Court ? **Yes**
- (d) His Private character is such as to lower his in the estimation of public and adversely affects the discharge of his official duties ? **No specific complaint against the private character of the Officer has been received.**
- (e) Control over the files in the matter of :
- (i)(a) Proper fixation of cause list: **Yes, cause list is properly fixed.**
- (b) Whether sufficient number of cases are fixed by him to keep him engaged during full Court hours **Yes**
- (ii) Avoidance of unnecessary adjournments **Unnecessary adjournments have been avoided.**
- (iii) Disposal of Old cases,: **1/78, 1/83, 1/86, 1/89, 1/92, 1/93, 1/94, 2/95, 1/96, 3/97, 7/98, 6/99, 18/00, 28/01, 30/02, 39/03, 25/04, 66/05, 73/06, 72/07, 53/08.**
- (iv) Progress and disposal of execution cases. **19 Ex.Cases.**
- (v) Whether interim orders, injunctions granted, refused or retained for sufficient reasons: **Normally yes.**
- (vi) Are cases remanded on substantial grounds ? **Nil**
- (vii) Performance with regard to decision of Motor Accident Claims related to death/injury **Not applicable.**
- (f) Whether judgments on facts and law are on the whole sound, well reasoned and expressed in good language: **Judgment of the Officer on facts and law are well reasoned.**

N

- (i) Marshalling of facts: Good
- (ii) Appreciation of evidence: & Good
- (iii) Application of Law: Good
- (g) Whether disposal of work is adequate? (Give percentage and reasons for short disposal, if any) The Officer has given 447 days work out of 172 days i.e.259.88%. Stenographer has been provided to the officer.
- (i) Number of cases decided after actual full contest: Civil Cases = 204
- (ii) Number of cases decided wherein all witnesses of fact turned hostile and the cases ended in acquittal: --
- (iii) Number of Civil cases decided on compromise/alternate dispute resolution: 113 Cases .
- (iv) Number of cases wherein after conclusion of arguments and reserving them for judgment, rehearing was ordered: Nil
- (h) Control over the office and administrative capacity and tact: Officer has generally good administrative control over his office
- (i) Relations with members of the Bar (mention incidents if any) Good
- (j) Behaviour in relation to brother officers (Mention incidents if any) Good.
- (k) Whether the officer has made regular inspections of his court and offices in his charge and whether such inspections were full and effective : The officer has made regular inspection of his Court and office. Inspection notes were found satisfactory.
- (l) His punctuality and regularity in sitting on dais in court during Court hours: Generally punctual.
- (m) Whether amenable to the advice of the District Judge and other Superior Officers : Yes.
- (2) Over all assessment of the merit of the Officer : Very Good.  
(Outstanding, Very Good, Good, Average, Poor).
- (3) State of health with remarks, if any, --
- (4) other remarks if any. --

(Ranjana Pandya)  
Judge,  
High Court, Allahabad. •  
the then District & Sessions Judge,  
Kanpur Nagar.

**KANPUR NAGAR JUDGESHIP**  
**ANNUAL CONFIDENTIAL REMARKS YEAR 2014-2015.**

Name of the officer **Sri Pradeep Kumar Jayant.**

Length of Service **08 yrs. 09 months, 15 days.**

Post(s)/Office(s) held during the year under report **Civil Judge (J.D.), Kanpur Nagar w.e.f. 01.04.2014 to 31.03.2015.**  
**(i) Member, Infra-structure Sub-committee, District Court.**  
**(ii) Incharge Telephone, District Court.**

**(1) Remarks by the District Judge:-**

- (a) Integrity of the Officer whether beyond doubt, doubtful or positively lacking: **Beyond doubt.**
- (b) If he is fair and impartial in dealing with the Public and Bar ? **Yes**
- (c) If he is cool minded and does not loose temper in Court ? **Yes**
- (d) His Private character is such as to lower his in the estimation of public and adversely affects the discharge of his official duties ? **No specific complaint against the private character of the Officer has been received.**
- (e) **Control over the files in the matter of :**
- (i)(a) Proper fixation of cause list: **Yes, cause list is properly fixed.**
- (b) Whether sufficient number of cases are fixed by him to keep him engaged during full Court hours **Yes**
- (ii) Avoidance of unnecessary adjournments **Unnecessary adjournments have been avoided.**
- (iii) Disposal of Old cases,; **As per enclosed Statement of Old Warrant cases : 1/82,1/83, 2/91, 2/93, 1/95, 3/97, 2/99, 7/0010/01, 16/02, 9/03, 9/04, 25/05, 39/06, 24/07, 41/08=192 Cases.**
- (iv) Progress and disposal of execution cases. **26 Execution cases.Appreciable efforts are taken in deciding old execution cases.**
- (v) Whether interim orders, injunctions granted, refused or retained for sufficient reasons: **Generally on sufficient reasons.**
- (vi) Are cases remanded on substantial **Nil**

grounds ?

- (vii) Performance with regard to decision of Motor Accident Claims related to death/injury: Not applicable.
- (f) Whether judgments on facts and law are on the whole sound, well reasoned and expressed in good language: Judgment of the Officer on facts and law are well reasoned.
- (i) Marshalling of facts: Very Good
- (ii) Appreciation of evidence: & Very Good
- (iii) Application of Law: Very Good
- (g) Whether disposal of work is adequate? (Give percentage and reasons for short disposal, if any) The Officer has given 325.505 days work out of 150 days i.e.217%. Stenographer has been provided to the officer.
- (i) Number of cases decided after actual full contest: Criminal Cases -192
- (ii) Number of cases decided wherein all witnesses of fact turned hostile and the cases ended in acquittal: 01 Case.
- (iii) Number of Civil cases decided on compromise/alternate dispute resolution : 160 cases decided in Lok Adalat.
- (iv) Number of cases wherein after conclusion of arguments and reserving them for judgment, rehearing was ordered: Nil
- (h) Control over the office and administrative capacity and tact: Officer has generally good administrative control over his office
- (i) Relations with members of the Bar (mention incidents if any) Good
- (j) Behavior in relation to brother officers (Mention incidents if any) Good.
- (k) Whether the officer has made regular inspections of his court and offices in his charge and whether such inspections were full and effective : The officer has made regular inspection of his Court and office. Inspection notes were found satisfactory.
- (l) His punctuality and regularity in sitting on dais in court during Court hours: Generally punctual.
- (m) Whether amenable to the advice of the District Judge and other Superior Officers : Yes.

(2) Over all assessment of the merit of the Officer : --Outstanding

(Outstanding, Very Good, Good, Average, Poor).

(3). State of health with remarks, if any, --Good.

(4) other remarks if any.

--Well behaved and submissive, takes much interest in Lok Adalat and legal literacy camps



(Mukhtar Ahmad)

Judge,

High Court, Allahabad.

the then District & Sessions Judge,

Kanpur Nagar.

Annual Confidential Remarks for the year 2015-2016

3

Sri Pradeep Kumar Jayant,

Civil Judge (J. D.) / A. C. M. M., Court No. 1, Kanpur Nagar.

.....

Out turn of the officer is 196.85%, as reported. He is amenable to the advice of the District Judge. Relations with Bar and brother officers are good. He has made regular and effective inspections of Court and offices in his charge. Cause list is properly fixed by the Officer. He is cool minded and does not loose temper in the court. He is punctual in sitting in the Court and avoids unnecessary adjournments. He has decided 302 old civil cases as well as 54 warrant trials and eight summons trials as A. C. M. M. He has decided 346 civil and 133 criminal cases after actual contest, which is exemplary. He possesses all administrative capacity and managerial tact. The District Judge has reported the judgements of the officer to be sound, well reasoned and expressed in good language. No complaint having substance has ever been received against the officer from any quarter. I have evaluated the judicial acumen by scrutinising the judgements and various orders passed by the Officer, which are well reasoned and couched in very good language.

Upon overall assessment, I adjudge him an 'Outstanding' officer.

His integrity is beyond shadow of doubt, therefore certified.




(Bala Krishna Narayana)  
Administrative Judge,  
Kanpur Nagar.

ANNUAL CONFIDENTIAL REMARKS FOR THE YEAR 2016-17

Name of the Officer : Sri Pradeep Kumar Jayant  
Designation : Additional. Chief Judicial Magistrate, Kushinagar.

As per annual confidential remarks given by the District Judge, Kushinagar, the integrity of the officer is beyond doubt. He has decided sufficient number of old and execution cases. His disposal of work is adequate being 159.02%, his judgments are reasoned and sound in law. He is punctual in sitting on dais in Court during the Court hours and is amenable to the advice of the District Judge and other superior officers. The District Judge, Kushinagar, has assessed him as "Very Good". No material is available on record to disagree with the view expressed by the District Judge, Kushinagar about the officer.

In view of the aforesaid, the integrity of the officer is certified and his overall assessment is made as " Very Good."



(S.P.Kesarwani)  
Administrative Judge,  
Kushinagar.

**ANNUAL CONFIDENTIAL REPORT**

Name of Officer : SRI PRADEEP KUMAR JAYANT

Designation : JOINT REGISTRAR (J),  
State Public Services Tribunal

**ACR FOR THE PERIOD 2017-2018**

**SRI P.K.Jayant**, Joint Registrar, State Public Services Tribunal joined his duties on 09.05.2017.

He is an officer of the rank of Civil Judge (Senior Division). His main responsibility is listing of the cases before different Benches of the Tribunal. He has ensured smooth functioning of the Registry. Cases are listed strictly in accordance with the distribution of work.

He has made periodical inspections of his office. The inspections were quite objective and effective. He exercises effective control over his subordinate. He is sincere and disciplined officer. His knowledge of computer is commendable. He has good relations with employees and bar.

His integrity is beyond doubt.

I rate him as an **OUTSTANDING** Officer.

**( JUSTICE SRI. SAXENA  
CHAIRMAN**

(Hon'ble Justice Sudhir Kumar Saxena)  
Chairman  
State Public Services Tribunal,  
Indira Bhawan, Lucknow



ACR FOR THE YEAR : 2018-2019  
: ( 01.04.2018 to 15. 04.2019)  
NAME OF OFFICER : Shri Pradeep Kumar Jayant  
DESIGNATION : JOINT REGISTRAR(JUDICIAL)

Sri Pradeep Kumar Jayant, Joint Registrar(Judicial) of this Tribunal is working on this post since 09.05.2017. He has ensured smooth functioning of the section of the Registry. Cases are listed strictly in accordance with the distribution of work and norms fixed for listing. This has ensured transparency in the working of the most important branch of the Registry. Sri Jayant has made periodical inspections of his office. Inspections were quite objective and effective. He has effective control over his subordinates. He was amenable to advice. As enquiry officer for departmental enquiries and as Public Information Officer, his performance has been upto mark. He was an asset for the administration.


His integrity is beyond doubt.

I rate him an outstanding officer.

Approved ..

(sd/-)

by the Hon'ble Committee headed  
by Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar  
dated 30/10/2019.

  
(Justice Sudhir Kumar Saxena)

Chairman