SELF ASSESSMENT APPLICATION		
Case Id : A00019242021 Old Case Id : 4814		
3 MONTHS COMPLETION		
Whether 3 Months are complete for remarks of DISTRICT JUDGE? Yes		

		SELF ASSESSMENT FORM PART I	
1.	Self Assessment Period	01/04/2020 - 31/03/2021	
2.	Name of the Officer	PRADEEP KUMAR JAYANT	
3.	Designation	A.D.J. (FTC)	
4.	Date of Joining Service / Length of Service	17/06/2006 (14 Years 9 Months and 30 Days)	
5.	Place of Posting	Sultanpur Posting Details During Self Assessment Period Not Available	
6.	Any other charge held during the financial year	 Special Judge(MP/MLA Court) Sultanpur Session Division. Officer Incharge, Record Room District Court, Sultanpur. 	
7.	Year wise break up of cases	Statements enclosed as annexure-1	Attachment Available
3.	Courts held during the financial year	Addl.Distt.and Sessions Judge (FTC-1)/Sps Judge (MP/MLA Court), Sultanpur.	
9.	In how many cases have you framed the issues	N/A	
10.	In how many cases have you framed the charge	67 cases	
11.	Number of cases in which Judgment not delivered within 15 days of conclusions of argument	NII	
12.	Percentage of appeals remanded by the officer	Zero	
13.	Inspections	(Seven Inspactions) 4 quarterly inspections for my office/court,2 quarterly inspections for Record room as officer Inchage and one annual inspection for Magistrate court on behalf of District Judge.	
14.	Remarks if any	During assessment Period court was completely closed from 01/04/2020 to 07/05/2020, thereafter only urgent work/bail work started by parent/special court due to which court's normal working was paralysed due to pandemic of covid-19/ nationwide/local lockdown and as per directions of Hon'ble Court. Only identified matters/cases were decided with the consent of parties upto september 2020 due to Covid-19. After December 2020 Judicial work again came into track and all the targets were achieved therafter for whole year. Even though within nationwide lockdown I have performed my duty in jail premises to disposal of bail of under trial prisoners during complete lock down on behalf of my Distt. and Sessions judge as directed.	
15.	Details of the works by the officer	Target 655.52 units, Achievement 1118.55 units (170.55 %)(details enclosed as annexure-2)	Attachment Available
16.	Performance in Lok Adalat	Due to Pandemic of Covid-19/Lockdown only one Lok Adalat was organised during assesment year and I have decided 21 civil cases regarding bank recovery on compromise basis.	

SELF ASSESSMENT FORM PART II		
1.	Brief description of duties	 Trail to be conducted under chapter 18 of Cr.P.C./special or local act as well as disposal of appeal/revision/civil cases as per Cr.P.C./C.P.C. which was assigned by my District and Sessions Judge by transfer order. Special Trial/Sessions Trial to be conducted against elected member of parliament/state legislative assembly as designated by Hon'ble High Court. Any others administrative and judicial work which was assigned by my respacted District and Sessions Judge as well as Hon'ble High Court by standing or Special order from time to time.
2.	Norms set and achieved in respect of disposal of cases.	
	Target	Achievements

	Sessions Trial - 60 Criminal Appeal - 24 Criminal Revision - 48 Civil Appeal - 24 Civil Revision - 48 Civil/Criminal Miscellan Total = 304	eous - 100	Sessions Trial - 38 Criminal Appeal - 14 Criminal Revision - 47 Civil Appeal - 13 Civil Revision - 01 Civil/Criminal Miscelland Total = 333	eous - 220	
2A.	Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 5 years old.			Atta chm ent Avail able	
		Target	Ac	chievements	
	as well as office work ar	ld cases during court proceedings and avoid unnecessary adjournments spose all interim application(if any) week.	Sessions Trial/Special Tr Criminal Appeal - 05 Criminal Revision - 08 Civil Revision - 01 Total = 38	rial - 24	
2A(i).	Pendency of such mat	ters at the commencement of the	e year.		
		Target	Ac	chievements	
	Sessions Trial - 206 Criminal Appeal - 08 Criminal Revision - 0 Civil Appeal - 13 Civil Revision - 0 Civil/Criminal Miscellan Total = 227	eous - 0	As Above Given in Colum	nn No. 2A	
2A(i i).	Number of such matte	ers disposed of during the year.	FJUDIO		
		Target	Ac	chievements	
	As Above Given in Colur	nn No. 2A	As Above Given in Colum	nn No. 2A	
2B.	Steps taken to dispose	e of old matters which are more	than 10 years old.		
		Target	Ac	chievements	
	Sessions Trial - 25 Civil Appeal - 05 Total = 30		Sessions Trial - 05 Civil Appeal - 02 Total = 07		
2B(i).	Pendency of such mat	ters at the commencement of the	e year.		Atta chm ent Avail able
		Target	Ac	chievements	
	Sessions Trial - 25 Civil Appeal - 05 Total = 30	ATALLA	Sessions Trial - 09 Civil Appeal - 02 Cri.revision-08 Total = 19		
2B(i i).				Atta chm ent Avail able	
		Target	Ac	chievements	
	Sessions Trial - 25 Civil Appeal - 05 Total = 30		Sessions Trial - 09 Civil Appeal - 02 Cri.revision-08 Total = 19		
2C.	Steps taken to dispose	e of cases of persons with more t	han 65 years of age.		
		Target	Ac	chievements	
	Top priority was given to dispose case relating to Sr. citizens/Female and avoid unnessary ajournments by either parties. Priority also given to take up his/her case in fornoon.		20		
2C(i).	Pendency of such mat	ters at the commencement of the	e year.		
		Target	Ac	chievements	
	50		20		
2C(i i).	Number of such matte	ers disposed of during the year.	1		
		Target	Ac	chievements	
	50		20		

3.	Please state briefly the shortfalls with reference to the targets / objectives referred to at S. No. 02 above. Please specify constraints, if any, in achieving the targets.		
	Target	Achievements	
	Due to pandemic of covid-19/nationwide lockdown/local lockdown started from march 2020 no target could be fixed for disposal of cases	Inspite of these circumstances, I have decided 158 cases after full trial/contest including 37 old cases more than 5 and 10 years, besides 13 application under 227 Cr.P.C., 3 under section 319 CR.P.C., 67 charge against accused framed for trial, 1 application under section 156(3) Cr.P.C. including 417 page oral evidence recorded and also 164 bail application disposed off and achieved target 1118.55 units(170.55%) against my target 655.52 units during whole assessment year and most of the work has been done during last quarter of assessment year after restrictions on recording of evidence and trial was withdrawn by Hon'ble High Court and normal Judicial work resumed.	
4.	Academic and professional achievements during the year, including degree(s) obtained and/or books/articles published.		
	Target	Achievements	
	Nil	Nil	
5.	Whether attended any workshop, course, programme, etc., organized by Judicial Academy and/or any other organization during the period in question? If so, give details.		
	Target	Achievements	
	Nil	one online workshop organised by Hon'ble court/JTRI attended on 22/11/2020.	
6.	Whether visited Judicial Academy as Faculty Member. If so, give details about the nature of lecture(s) given/topic(s) discussed.		
	Target	Achievements	
	Nil	Nil	

Judgment Attachments		
Judgment Attachment	Uploading Date	
CA No. 13 of 18 Kummu and Others vs Santosh and Others	16/04/2021	
CR. Appeal 75 of 19 Devraj vs <mark>U</mark> P State	16/04/2021	
ST No. 85 of 2006 Ram Khel vs <mark>.</mark> State 302, <mark>201</mark>	16/04/2021	
State vs Sachin 376,394	16/04/2021	

	Applying Date	
Date	16/04/2021	

01-Remarks given by the District Judge regarding:			
01 (a).	Integrity of the Officer- whether beyond doubt, doubtful or positively lacking	No adverse information received regarding integrity, hence certified.	
	Note- If the officer's integrity is doubtful or positively lacking, it may be so stated with		
01 (b).	If he is fair and impartial in dealing with the public and Bar?	Yes	
	If he is cool minded and does not lose temper in court.		
01 (d).	His private character is such as to lower him in the estimation of the public and adversely affects the discharge of his official duties?	No	
1 (e).	CONTROL OVER THE FILES IN THE MATTER OF:		
01 (e)(i)(a).	Proper fixation of cause list:	Proper	
01 (e)(i)(b).	Whether sufficient number of cases are fixed by him to keep him engaged during full court full court hours?	Yes	
01 (e)(ii).	Avoidance of unnecessary adjournments:	Yes, the officer has avoided unnecessary adjournments.	
01 (e)(iii).	Disposal of old cases(Give number and year of old cases decided):	ST. 134/2013, 458/2014, 277/2012, 333/2015, 202/2012, 212/2014, 94/2015, 343/2013, 346/2011, 462/2014, 498/2012, 314/2014, 311/2012, 308/2010, 85/2006, 10A/2003, 289/2002, 313/2009, Ctrl. Appeals/2012, 158/2012, 264/2014, 2012/2013, 374	
01 (e)(iv).	Progress and disposal of execution cases:	The officer has decided 1 Execution case after full satisfaction and 4 execution cases otherwise.	
01 (e)(v).	Whether interim order, injunction being granted, refused or retained for sufficient reasons?	Yes	
01 (e)(vi).	Are cases remanded on substantial grounds?	Yes	
01 (e)(vii).	Performance with regard to decision of Motor Accident Claims related to death / injury	N/A	
01 (f).	Whether Judgment on facts and on law are on the whole sound, well-reasoned and expressed in good language?:	Judgments passed by the officer are whole sound, well-reasoned and expressed in good language	
	Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in filling up this column:		
01 (f)(i).	Marshalling of facts;	Good	
01 (f)(ii).	Appreciation of evidences;	Good	
01 (f)(iii).	Application of law.	Good	
01 (g).	Whether disposal of work is adequate. (Give percentage and reasons for short disposal, if any)	Adequate as the officer has achieved 1118.55 Units out of 655.52 actual target units, percentage of work is 170.55%	
	Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in filling up this column:		
01 (g)(i).	Number of cases decided after actual full contest;	The officer has decided 160 cases after full contest.	
01 (g)(ii).	Number of cases decided wherein all witnesses of fact turned hostile and the case ended in acquittal.	Nil	
01 (g)(iii).	Number of civil cases decided on compromises / alternate dispute resolution.	Nii	
01 (g)(iv).	Number of cases wherein after conclusion of arguments and reserving them for judgment, rehearing was ordered.	NI	
01 (h).	Control over the Office and Administrative capacity and tact:	The officer has proper control over his office, he has good administrative capacity and he is tactful as well.	
01 (i).	Relations with members of the Bar(mention incidents, if any):	Cordial	
01 (j).	Behavior in relation to brother Officers(mention incidents, if any):	Cordial and supportive	
01 (k).	Whether the officer has made regular inspections of his court and offices in his charge and whether such inspections were full and effective?	Yes	
01 (l).	His punctuality and regularity in sitting on the dais in court during court hours?	Punctual & regular	
01 (m).	Whether amenable to the advice of the District Judge and other superior officers?	Yes	
01 (n).	Behaviour towards women(respect and sensitivity exhibited towards them)	Behaviour of the officer towards women is good. Respect and sensitivity exhibited towards women by the officer is also proper.	
2.	Over all assessment of the merit of the officer(Outstanding, Very Good, Good, Average, Poor)	Coed	
3.	State of Health, with remarks, if any?		
4.	Other remarks, if any:		
5.	Name of the District Judge:	Sastonih Rei (J. C. Code UP 6523) 17.08.2021	

Overall assessment

Rakesh Srivastava(HON'BLE JUDGE)	The officer has decided 160 cases after full contest. Percentage of work is 170.55 percent. His judgments are well reasoned. The officer has decided execution case. As Special Court of MP / MLA his performance has been impressive. Members of the bar spoke very high of him. There is no complaint regarding his integrity. In administrative matters he has strictly followed the rules and circulars. I rate him an outstanding officer.
Overall assessment Outstanding	
Integrity	Certified

