

APPLICATION FOR SELF ASSESSMENT

Case Id : A00012122021 | Old Case Id : 4096

Employee Id : 2185

3 MONTHS COMPLETION

Whether 3 Months are complete for remarks of DISTRICT JUDGE?

Yes

SELF ASSESSMENT FORM PART I

1.	Self Assessment Period	01/04/2020 - 31/03/2021	
2.	Name of the Officer	SANJEEV KUMAR TIWARI	
3.	Designation	A.D.J. (POCSO)	
4.	Date of Joining Service / Length of Service	02/07/2015 (5 Years 9 Months and 7 Days)	
5.	Place of Posting	Muzaffar Nagar Posting Details During Self Assessment Period Not Available	
6.	Any other charge held during the financial year	Incharge copying & Photostate Section	
7.	Year wise break up of cases	Annexure B Attached	Attachment Available
8.	Courts held during the financial year	Annexure A Attached	Attachment Available
9.	In how many cases have you framed the issues	Nil (No civil case is pending)	
10.	In how many cases have you framed the charge	53 Charge framed	
11.	Number of cases in which Judgment not delivered within 15 days of conclusions of argument	Nil	
12.	Percentage of appeals remanded by the officer	23 Criminal Appeal Decided, but no Appeal remanded.	
13.	Inspections	Annexure C Attached	Attachment Available
14.	Remarks if any	Nil	
15.	Details of the works by the officer	Proforma A	Attachment Available
16.	Performance in Lok Adalat	05 Final Report decided.	

SELF ASSESSMENT FORM PART II

1.	Brief description of duties	1. Additional District & Sessions Judge/ Special Judge (POCSO Act) 2. Incharge of Civil Copying 3. Incharge Photo-state Section	
----	-----------------------------	---	--

Validity unknown

Digitally signed by SUNEET KUMAR
Date: 2022.03.08 15:35:23 IST
Reason: Document Owner
Location: Allahabad High Court

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

2.	Norms set and achieved in respect of disposal of cases.		
	Target	Achievements	
	(i)- To dispose of maximum pending cases at the earliest. (ii)- To dispose of maximum old cases on the priority basis. (iii)- To complete the work criteria of units. (iv)- To dispose of cases having direction from Hon'ble High Court or any other Superior court.	(i)- 93 Criminal cases decided. (ii)- 3 SST 5 year old decided. (iii) units completed and exceeded the prescribed limit that is 1433.91 units (iv) 02 SST & 01 Criminal appeal having direction from Hon'ble High Court decided.	
2A.	Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 5 years old.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
	1. Identify the cases more than 5 year old. 2. To dispose of maximum 5 year old cases.	(i)- Cases were listed with short dates. (ii)- Witnesses were summoned. (iii)- Requested Advocates to work during Strikes.	
2A(i).	Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
	123 cases in all categories more than 5 year old were pending on 01.04.2020.	In old cases evidence recorded and reached at the stage of arguments.	
2A(ii).	Number of such matters disposed of during the year.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
	To dispose of maximum 5 year old cases.	1. 2 STT & 01 Criminal Appeal decided more than 5 years old. 2. In other some cases evidence recorded and reached at the stage of arguments.	
2B.	Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 10 years old.		
	Target	Achievements	
		(i)- Case were listed with short dates.	

	1. Identify the cases more than 10 year old. 2. To dispose of maximum 10 year old cases.	(ii)- Requested Advocates to work during Strikes.	
2B(i).	Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
	Maximum disposal in this categories	Only 01 Crl. Misc. is pending	
2B(ii).	Number of such matters disposed of during the year.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
	To dispose of all 10 year old cases.	Only 01 Crl. Misc. u/s 446 Cr.P.C. is pending in which recovery warrant issued but not executed by police, hence pending	
2C.	Steps taken to dispose of cases of persons with more than 65 years of age.		
	Target	Achievements	
	No such cases was pending during the period.	N/A	
2C(i).	Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
	Nil	N/A	
2C(ii).	Number of such matters disposed of during the year.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
	Nil	N/A	
3.	Please state briefly the shortfalls with reference to the targets / objectives referred to at S. No. 02 above. Please specify constraints, if any, in achieving the targets.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
	(i)-Witnesses and accused were not appearing in the court due to COVID-19. (ii) Due to COVID-19 court work effected badly / adversely. It was big constraints. (iii)- Non-turning of witnesses despite processes. (iv)- No effective service of summons on witnesses. (v)- Reluctant attitude of Advocates for cross examination in contested cases.	Despite COVID-19 target units completed and exceeded with the prescribed limit (that is 1433.91)	

4.	Academic and professional achievements during the year, including degree(s) obtained and/or books/articles published.	
	Target	Achievements
	Nil	Nil
5.	Whether attended any workshop, course, programme, etc., organized by Judicial Academy and/or any other organization during the period in question? If so, give details.	
	Target	Achievements
	To Attend workshop	1. Attended one cluster training workshop online on 01.11.2020. 2. Attended one online training for POCSO Court on 21.03.2021
6.	Whether visited Judicial Academy as Faculty Member. If so, give details about the nature of lecture(s) given/topic(s) discussed.	
	Target	Achievements
	Nil	Nil

Applying Date

Date 09/04/2021

01-Remarks given by the District Judge regarding:

01 (a).	Integrity of the Officer- whether beyond doubt, doubtful or positively lacking	Integrity Certified (As no adverse supporting material was brought to my knowledge)
	Note- If the officer's integrity is doubtful or positively lacking, it may be so stated with all relevant fact, reason(s) & supporting material.	
01 (b).	If he is fair and impartial in dealing with the public and Bar?	Impartial
01 (c).	If he is cool minded and does not lose temper in court.	Cool Mind
01 (d).	His private character is such as to lower him in the estimation of the public and adversely affects the discharge of his official duties?	No
1 (e).	CONTROL OVER THE FILES IN THE MATTER OF:	
01 (e)(i)(a).	Proper fixation of cause list:	Proper Fixation
01 (e)(i)(b).	Whether sufficient number of cases are fixed by him to keep him engaged during full court full court hours?	Yes
01 (e)(ii).	Avoidance of unnecessary adjournments:	Yes
01 (e)(iii).	Disposal of old cases(Give number and year of old cases decided):	Year No. Of Cases

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

		2015	1
01 (e)(iv).	Progress and disposal of execution cases:	"NOT APPLICABLE" <i>Aswin</i> 29/9/2022	
01 (e)(v).	Whether interim order, injunction being granted, refused or retained for sufficient reasons?	Yes	
01 (e)(vi).	Are cases remanded on substantial grounds?	Officer has reported that he has not remanded any case	
01 (e)(vii).	Performance with regard to decision of Motor Accident Claims related to death / injury	"NOT APPLICABLE" <i>Aswin</i> 29/9/2022	
01 (f).	Whether Judgment on facts and on law are on the whole sound, well-reasoned and expressed in good language?:	Yes	
Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in filling up this column:			
01 (f)(i).	Marshalling of facts;	Good	
01 (f)(ii).	Appreciation of evidences;	Proper	
01 (f)(iii).	Application of law.	Proper	
01 (g).	Whether disposal of work is adequate.(Give percentage and reasons for short disposal, if any)	119.49%	
Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in filling up this column:			
01 (g)(i).	Number of cases decided after actual full contest;	Criminal-44	
01 (g)(ii).	Number of cases decided wherein all witnesses of fact turned hostile and the case ended in acquittal.	Nil	
01 (g)(iii).	Number of civil cases decided on compromises / alternate dispute resolution.	"NOT APPLICABLE" <i>Aswin</i> 29/9/2022	
01 (g)(iv).	Number of cases wherein after conclusion of arguments and reserving them for judgment, rehearing was ordered.	Nil	
01 (h).	Control over the Office and Administrative capacity and tact:	Good	
01 (i).	Relations with members of the Bar(mention incidents, if any):	Good	
01 (j).	Behavior in relation to brother Officers(mention incidents, if any):	Good	
01 (k).	Whether the officer has made regular inspections of his court and offices in his charge and whether such inspections were full and effective?	Yes (4 Inspections of Court & Office, 4 Inspections of Central Copying, 4 Inspections of Photostat Section)	
01 (l).	His punctuality and regularity in sitting on the dais in court during court hours?	Punctual	
01 (m).	Whether amenable to the advice of the District Judge and other superior officers?	Yes	
01 (n).	Behaviour towards women(respect and sensitivity exhibited towards them)	Good	
2.	Over all assessment of the merit of the officer(Outstanding, Very Good, Good, Average, Poor)	"Very Good" <i>Aswin</i> 29/9/2022	
3.	State of Health, with remarks, if any?	No Grievous was reported by the officer	
4.	Other remarks, if any:	Nil	

		Steno Provided
5.	Name of the District Judge:	RAJIV SHARMA (UP 5340) 27.07.2021

Annual remarks recorded by the Administrative Judge/Reviewing and Accepting Authority

Suneet Kumar(HON'BLE JUDGE)

Out-turn of the officer is adequate. He has disposed of good number of cases. The judgments on facts and law are sound. The District Judge has assessed the officer 'Good'. He is having cordial relation with the members of the Bar and his colleagues. He is punctual in sitting in the Court and making regular inspection. There is nothing adverse against the officer except remarks noted in column Nos. 01(e)(iv), 01(e)(vii) and 1(g)(iii) of the ACR, which has been expunged vide order dated 2 February 2022, passed on the representation of the Officer.

In view thereof, over all assessment of the Officer is upgraded.

Overall assessment	Very Good
Integrity	Certified

AT ALLAHABAD

Vide order dated 08.03.2022 of Hon'ble Mr. Justice Suneet Kumar, the col. nos. 01(e)(iv), 01(e)(vii), 01(g)(iii), expunged & it shall be read as "NOT APPLICABLE" and over all assessment of the merit upgraded as "Very Good".

Amin
29/9/2022
Registrar General

HIGH COURT O