

APPLICATION FOR SELF ASSESSMENT

Case ID : 481

Employee No.:-6240

3 MONTHS COMPLETION

Whether 3 Months are complete for remarks of DISTRICT JUDGE?

Yes

SELF ASSESSMENT FORM PART I

1. Self Assessment Period	01/04/2019 - 31/03/2020	
2. Name of the Officer	AMIT VEER SINGH	
3. Designation	Judge, Spl Court, Anti Corr CBI	
4. Date of Joining Service / Length of Service	15/06/2006 (13 Years 11 Months and 25 Days)	
5. Place of Posting	Ghaziabad	
	Posting Details During Self Assessment Period	
	Not Available	
6. Any other charge held during the financial year	1- CHAIRMAN COMPUTER COMMITTEE TILL 11.12.2019. 2- NODAL OFFICER FOR MONTHLY VISIT TO CHILD CARE INSTITUTION IN VIEW OF MEMBER SECRETARY, UPSLSA LETTER NO. 610/SLSA-103A/2011 DATED 12.03.2018.	
7. Year wise break up of cases	PERFORMA ATTACHED(ANNEXTURE-C)	Attachment Available
8. Courts held during the financial year	SPECIAL JUDGE ANTI CORRUPTION, CBI, GHAZIABAD	Attachment Available
9. In how many cases have you framed the issues	NIL(NO CIVIL CASE WAS PENDING DURING THE YEAR)	
10. In how many cases have you framed the charge	17	
11. Number of cases in which Judgment not delivered within 15 days of conclusions of argument	NIL	
12. Percentage of appeals remanded by the officer	NIL	
13. Inspections	QUARTERLY INSPECTION-4, SURPRISE INSPECTION-2, CHILD CARE INSTITUTE INSPECTION-12	Attachment Available
14. Remarks if any	NIL	
15. Details of the works by the officer	PERFORMA ATTACHED(ANNEXTURE-A)	Attachment Available
16. Performance in Lok Adalat	NO CASE SUITABLE FOR DISPOSAL IN LOK ADALAT WAS PENDING AS CASES ARE VERY SERIOUS INVESTIGATED BY CBI.	

SELF ASSESSMENT FORM PART II

Validity unknown

Digitally signed by Justice Suneet Kumar
Date: 2021.03.10 18:42:29 IST
Reason: Document Owner
Location: Allahabad High Court

1.	Brief description of duties	SPECIAL JUDGE ANTI CORRUPTION, CBI, GHAZIABAD	
2.	Norms set and achieved in respect of disposal of cases.		
	Target	Achievements	
	1200 UNITS	1566.07 UNITS	
2A.	Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 5 years old.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
	MATTERS WERE TAKEN ON PRIORITY, TIME TO TIME DIRECTIONS/ LETTERS WERE ISSUED TO DIFFERENT STAKE HOLDERS LIKE CONCERNED SSP AND OTHER HIGHER CBI OFFICERS TO SUMMON WITNESSES ETC.		
2A(i).	Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
	93		
2A(ii).	Number of such matters disposed of during the year.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
	3		
2B.	Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 10 years old.		
	Target	Achievements	
	MATTERS WERE TAKEN ON PRIORITY, TIME TO TIME DIRECTIONS/ LETTERS WERE ISSUED TO DIFFERENT STAKE HOLDERS LIKE CONCERNED SSP AND OTHER HIGHER CBI OFFICERS TO SUMMON WITNESSES ETC.		
2B(i).	Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.		
	Target	Achievements	
	15		
2B(ii).	Number of such matters disposed of during the year.		
	Target	Achievements	
	4		
2C.	Steps taken to dispose of cases of persons with more than 65 years of age.		
	Target	Achievements	
	NO SUCH CASE WAS PENDING.		

2C(i). Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.

Target

Achievements

NO SUCH CASE WAS PENDING.

2C(ii). Number of such matters disposed of during the year.

Target

Achievements

NO SUCH CASE WAS PENDING.

3. Please state briefly the shortfalls with reference to the targets / objectives referred to at S. No. 02 above. Please specify constraints, if any, in achieving the targets.

Attachment Available

Target

Achievements

TARGET WAS ACHIEVED WITH 1566.07 UNITS.

4. Academic and professional achievements during the year, including degree(s) obtained and/or books/articles published.

Target

Achievements

NIL

5. Whether attended any workshop, course, programme, etc., organized by Judicial Academy and/or any other organization during the period in question? If so, give details.

Target

Achievements

PARTICIPATED IN THE 01 DAY MEDIATION TRAINING FOR REFERRAL JUDGES CLUBBED WITH 02 DAYS ADVANCE TRAINING ON CIS 3.1 ORAGANIZED BY JTRI LUCKNOW FROM 02.03.2020.

6. Whether visited Judicial Academy as Faculty Member. If so, give details about the nature of lecture(s) given/topic(s) discussed.

Target

Achievements

NO

Attachments

Attachment

Uploading Date

self Assessment 2019-2020

12/06/2020

self Assessment part II

12/06/2020

Annexure-A

16/06/2020

Annexure-B

16/06/2020

Annexure-C

16/06/2020

Annexure-E

16/06/2020

*Red background attachments are uploaded in return of objection.

Judgment Attachments

Judgment Attachment

Uploading Date

G. Hassan judgement
surender koli judgement

12/06/2020
12/06/2020

Applying Date

Date 09/06/2020

01-Remarks given by the District Judge regarding:

01 (a).	Integrity of the Officer- whether beyond doubt, doubtful or positively lacking	Beyond doubt
	Note- If the officer's integrity is doubtful or positively lacking, it may be so stated with all relevant fact, reason(s) & supporting material.	
01 (b).	If he is fair and impartial in dealing with the public and Bar?	Yes. Fair & impartial in dealing with the public & Bar.
01 (c).	If he is cool minded and does not lose temper in court.	Yes, he does not loose temper in court
01 (d).	His private character is such as to lower him in the estimation of the public and adversely affects the discharge of his official duties?	Nothing adverse has come to my knowledge.
1 (e).	CONTROL OVER THE FILES IN THE MATTER OF:	
01 (e)(i)(a).	Proper fixation of cause list:	Proper
01 (e)(i)(b).	Whether sufficient number of cases are fixed by him to keep him engaged during full court full court hours?	Yes. Sufficient number of cases have been fixed engaging full court hours.
01 (e)(ii).	Avoidance of unnecessary adjournments:	Unnecessary adjournments have been avoided.
01 (e)(iii).	Disposal of old cases(Give number and year of old cases decided):	Decided 7 old criminal cases.
01 (e)(iv).	Progress and disposal of execution cases:	Not applicable
01 (e)(v).	Whether interim order, injunction being granted, refused or retained for sufficient reasons?	Not applicable
01 (e)(vi).	Are cases remanded on substantial grounds?	Not applicable
01 (e)(vii).	Performance with regard to decision of Motor Accident Claims related to death / injury	Not applicable
01 (f).	Whether Judgment on facts and on law are on the whole sound, well-reasoned and expressed in good language?:	Yes
	Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in filling up this column:	
01 (f)(i).	Marshalling of facts;	Proper
01 (f)(ii).	Appreciation of evidences;	As per legal norms
01 (f)(iii).	Application of law.	Application of law is proper.
01 (g).	Whether disposal of work is adequate.(Give percentage and reasons for short disposal, if any)	During the year (1.4.2019 to 31.3.2020), as against 242 working days and against target of 1200 units, the Officer has given out-turn of 1566.07 units which is above the prescribed target.
	Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in filling up this column:	
01 (g)(i).	Number of cases decided after actual full contest;	Decided 14 criminal cases after full contest.

01 (g)(ii).	Number of cases decided wherein all witnesses of fact turned hostile and the case ended in acquittal.	Nil
01 (g)(iii).	Number of civil cases decided on compromises / alternate dispute resolution.	"Not Applicable"
01 (g)(iv).	Number of cases wherein after conclusion of arguments and reserving them for judgment, rehearing was ordered.	Nil
01 (h).	Control over the Office and Administrative capacity and tact:	Good
01 (i).	Relations with members of the Bar(mention incidents, if any):	Cordial
01 (j).	Behavior in relation to brother Officers(mention incidents, if any):	Cordial
01 (k).	Whether the officer has made regular inspections of his court and offices in his charge and whether such inspections were full and effective?	Yes
01 (l).	His punctuality and regularity in sitting on the dais in court during court hours?	Punctual
01 (m).	Whether amenable to the advice of the District Judge and other superior officers?	Yes
01 (n).	Behaviour towards women(respect and sensitivity exhibited towards them)	No oral or written complaint was received. The Officer's behaviour towards women is good.
2.	Over all assessment of the merit of the officer(Outstanding, Very Good, Good, Average, Poor)	Good
3.	State of Health, with remarks, if any?	Good
4.	Other remarks, if any:	As Chairman, Computer Committee till 11.12.2019 & Nodal Officer monthly visit to childcare institutions, performance of the Officer was satisfactory.
5.	Name of the District Judge:	Dinesh Kumar Sharma-III 15.08.2020

Adm's
29/9/2022

Annual remarks recorded by the Administrative Judge/Reviewing and Accepting Authority

Suneet Kumar (HON'BLE JUDGE)

Out-turn of the officer is adequate. He has disposed of good number of cases. His judgments on facts and law are sound. The District Judge has assessed the officer 'Good'. He is having cordial relation with the members of the Bar and his colleagues. He is punctual in sitting in the Court and making regular inspection. There is nothing adverse against the officer.

Overall assessment	Good
Integrity	Certified

Vide order dated nil of Hon'ble Mr. Justice Suneet Kumar, the then Administrative Judge, Ghaziabad for the year 2019-20 the remark given by the District Judge in column 1(g)(iii) of the ACR stands expunged and it shall be read as "Not Applicable".

Adm's
29/9/2022
Registrar General