

APPLICATION FOR SELF ASSESSMENT

Case Id : A00016972021 | Old Case Id : 4582

Employee Id : 2274

3 MONTHS COMPLETION

Whether 3 Months are complete for remarks of DISTRICT JUDGE?

Yes

SELF ASSESSMENT FORM PART I

1. Self Assessment Period	01/04/2020 - 31/03/2021	
2. Name of the Officer	SUSHRI MUKTA TYAGI	
3. Designation	Civil Judge (Junior Div.)	
4. Date of Joining Service / Length of Service	11/01/2017 (4 Years 3 Months and 2 Days)	
5. Place of Posting	Shamli	
	Posting Details During Self Assessment Period	
	Not Available	
6. Any other charge held during the financial year	Copying Section from 01-04-2020 to 30.06.2020. Juvenile Justice Board from 09-11-2020 to 31-03-2021.	
7. Year wise break up of cases	File Attached	Attachment Available
8. Courts held during the financial year	Civil Judge Junior Division Shamli (01-04-2020 to 31-03-2021)	
9. In how many cases have you framed the issues	20	
10. In how many cases have you framed the charge	12	
11. Number of cases in which Judgment not delivered within 15 days of conclusions of argument	Nil	
12. Percentage of appeals remanded by the officer	Nil	
13. Inspections	7 (File Attached)	Attachment Available
14. Remarks if any		
15. Details of the works by the officer	File Attached	Attachment Available
16. Performance in Lok Adalat	Civil Cases- 0 Criminal Cases- 5	

SELF ASSESSMENT FORM PART II

1. Brief description of duties	Civil Judge (Junior Division)/ J.M. Shamli at Kairana from 01-04-2020 to 31-03-2021.	
2. Norms set and achieved in respect of disposal of cases.		
Validity unknown	Target	Achievements

Digitally signed by SUNEET KUMAR
Date: 2022.03.08 15:36:18 IST
Reason: Document Owner
Location: Allahabad High Court

	Target - 1200 units Required unit after exclusion of leave, holidays and training @ 3.28 unit per day- 610.08 unit	Achievement -1324.01 unit	
2A.	Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 5 years old.		
	Target	Achievements	
		Short date fixed, restricted adjournments, taking of cases on day to day basis in hearing, letter to Police Officers for production of witness etc.	
2A(i).	Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
	477	25	
2A(ii).	Number of such matters disposed of during the year.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
2B.	Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 10 years old.		
	Target	Achievements	
		Short date fixed, restricted adjournments, taking of cases on day to day basis in hearing, letter to Police Officers for production of witness etc.	
2B(i).	Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
2B(ii).	Number of such matters disposed of during the year.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
2C.	Steps taken to dispose of cases of persons with more than 65 years of age.		
	Target	Achievements	
		Short date fixed, restricted adjournments, taking of cases on day to day basis in hearing, letter to Police Officers for production of witness etc.	
2C(i).	Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.		
	Target	Achievements	
2C(ii).	Number of such matters disposed of during the year.		
	Target	Achievements	
3.	Please state briefly the shortfalls with reference to the targets / objectives referred to at S. No. 02 above. Please specify constraints, if any, in achieving the targets.		

	Target	Achievements
		No Shortfalls, Target achieved.
4.	Academic and professional achievements during the year, including degree(s) obtained and/or books/articles published.	
	Target	Achievements
	Nil	Nil
5.	Whether attended any workshop, course, programme, etc., organized by Judicial Academy and/or any other organization during the period in question? If so, give details.	
	Target	Achievements
		1- Cluster Training 2- One day seminar on Juvenile Justice Act. 3- Training by NICFS.
6.	Whether visited Judicial Academy as Faculty Member. If so, give details about the nature of lecture(s) given/topic(s) discussed.	
	Target	Achievements
	Nil	Nil

Applying Date

Date

13/04/2021

01-Remarks given by the District Judge regarding:

01 (a).	Integrity of the Officer- whether beyond doubt, doubtful or positively lacking	Certified
	Note- If the officer's integrity is doubtful or positively lacking, it may be so stated with all relevant fact, reason(s) & supporting material.	
01 (b).	If he is fair and impartial in dealing with the public and Bar?	Yes
01 (c).	If he is cool minded and does not lose temper in court.	Yes
01 (d).	His private character is such as to lower him in the estimation of the public and adversely affects the discharge of his official duties?	No
1 (e).	CONTROL OVER THE FILES IN THE MATTER OF:	
01 (e)(i)(a).	Proper fixation of cause list:	Yes
01 (e)(i)(b).	Whether sufficient number of cases are fixed by him to keep him engaged during full court full court hours?	Yes
01 (e)(ii).	Avoidance of unnecessary adjournments:	Yes
01 (e)(iii).	Disposal of old cases(Give number and year of old cases decided):	

"EXPUNGED"

Ashu
29/9/2022

Ashu
29/9/2022.

"EXPUNGED"

Adms
29/9/2022

Adms
29/9/2022

01 (e)(iv). Progress and disposal of execution cases:

"EXPUNGED"

Adms
29/9/2022

01 (e)(v). Whether interim order, injunction being granted, refused or retained for sufficient reasons? Yes

01 (e)(vi). Are cases remanded on substantial grounds? Not Applicable

01 (e)(vii). Performance with regard to decision of Motor Accident Claims related to death / injury Not Applicable

01 (f). Whether Judgment on facts and on law are on the whole sound, well-reasoned and expressed in good language?

"GOOD"

Adms
29/9/2022

Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in filling up this column:

01 (f)(i). Marshalling of facts:

"GOOD"

Adms
29/9/2022

01 (f)(ii). Appreciation of evidences: Good

01 (f)(iii). Application of law.

"GOOD"

Adms
29/9/2022

01 (g). Whether disposal of work is adequate. (Give percentage and reasons for short disposal, if any)

Adms
29/9/2022

Yes, the work is more than adequate

Actual number of working days (after exclusion of Leave, Holidays, Covid closure etc.)	- 186 days
Target to be achieved	- 610.08 Units
Target achieved	- 1324.01 Units
Percentage of workdone	- 217.02 %

The disposal of the work by the Officer is adequate.

The officer has decided very few cases as contested cases keep in view huge pendency of Criminal & Civil cases in her Court.

Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in filling up this column:

01 (g)(i). Number of cases decided after actual full contest:

"EXPUNGED"

Asst. 29/9/2022

Asst. 29/9/2022

01 (g)(ii). Number of cases decided wherein all witnesses of fact turned hostile and the case ended in acquittal. No such case is reported.

01 (g)(iii). Number of civil cases decided on compromises / alternate dispute resolution. As per statement, 13 Civil Cases & 1 Criminal Case have been disposed on compromise basis.

01 (g)(iv). Number of cases wherein after conclusion of arguments and reserving them for judgment, rehearing was ordered. Nil is reported.

01 (h). Control over the Office and Administrative capacity and tact: Good

01 (i). Relations with members of the Bar(mention incidents, if any):

"GOOD" Asst. 29/9/2022

01 (j). Behavior in relation to brother Officers(mention incidents, if any):

"GOOD" Asst. 29/9/2022

01 (k). Whether the officer has made regular inspections of his court and offices in his charge and whether such inspections were full and effective? Yes

01 (l). His punctuality and regularity in sitting on the dais in court during court hours? Punctual & Regular,

"DELETED"

Asst. 29/9/2022

01 (m). Whether amenable to the advice of the District Judge and other superior officers?

"Yes" Asst. 29/9/2022

01 (n). Behaviour towards women(respect and sensitivity exhibited towards them)

"GOOD" Asst. 29/9/2022

2. Over all assessment of the merit of the officer(Outstanding, Very Good, Good, Average, Poor)

"Very Good" Asst. 29/9/2022

3. State of Health, with remarks, if any?

Good

4. Other remarks, if any:

"EXPUNGED"

Asst. 29/9/22

'EXPUNGED'

Aswini
29/11/2022

5.

Name of the District Judge:

Dr. Ajay Kumar-II (UP-6535)
18.10.2021

Annual remarks recorded by the Administrative Judge/Reviewing and Accepting Authority

Suneet Kumar(HON'BLE JUDGE)

In view of the order dated 2 February 2022, passed on the representation of the Officer, over all assessment of the Officer is upgraded.

Overall assessment Very Good

Integrity Certified

Vide order dated 08.03.2022 of Hon'ble Mr. Justice Suneet Kumar, the then Administrative Judge, Shamli, the adverse remarks in col. nos. 01(e)(iii), 01(e)(iv), 01(f), 01(f)(i), 01(f)(iii), 01(g)(i), 01(i), 01(j), 01(l), 01(m), 01(n) & 4 expunged and the adverse remarks in col. nos. 01(f), 01(f)(i), 01(f)(iii), 01(i), 01(j), 01(n) recorded as good & col. no. 01(g) expunged and recorded as 'Yes, the disposal of the work by the Officer is more than adequate' & col. no. 01(l) deleted and overall assessment of the merit upgraded as 'Very Good'.

Aswini
29/11/2022
Registrar General