

APPLICATION FOR SELF ASSESSMENT

Case Id : A00047972022

Employee Id : 2546

3 MONTHS COMPLETION

Whether 3 Months are complete for remarks of DISTRICT JUDGE?

Yes

SELF ASSESSMENT FORM PART I

1.	Self Assessment Period	01/04/2021 - 31/03/2022	
2.	Name of the Officer	SUSHRI LALITA YADAV	
3.	Designation	Civil Judge (Jr.Div.)/J.M.	
4.	Date of Joining Service / Length of Service	13/06/2018 (3 Years 10 Months and 13 Days)	
5.	Place of Posting	Pratapgarh Posting Details During Self Assessment Period 1. Pratapgarh-Addl. Civil Judge (Junior Div.)	
6.	Any other charge held during the financial year	1.Incharge Court No. 24 & Court No. 25, Pratapgarh from 01.04.2021 to 27.06.2021 2. Incharge of Central Inspection Office, Pratapgarh till 27.06.2021 3. Chairperson, Tehsil Legal Service Committee, Lalganj Ajhara, Pratapgarh. 4. Incharge, Judicial Magistrate, Kunda, Pratapgarh from 28.06.2021 to 31.03.2022.	
7.	Year wise break up of cases	File attached	Attachment Available
8.	Courts held during the financial year	File attached	Attachment Available
9.	In how many cases have you framed the issues	128	
10.	In how many cases have you framed the charge	65	
11.	Number of cases in which Judgment not delivered within 15 days of conclusions of argument	None	
12.	Percentage of appeals remanded by the officer	None	
13.	Inspections	File attached	Attachment Available
14.	Remarks if any	None	
15.	Details of the works by the officer	File attached	Attachment Available
16.	Performance in Lok Adalat	966 Cases Disposed.	

SELF ASSESSMENT FORM PART II

Validity unknown

Digitally signed by Ravi K.
CHATURVEDI
Date: 2022.09.24 13:13:47 IST
Reason: Document Owner
Location: Allahabad High Court

1.	Brief description of duties	<p>1. Worked as Addl. Civil Judge (Junior Div.) Court No. 19, Pratapgarh from 01.04.2021 to 27.06.2021. The basic nature of work included Remand, Trial, Cognizance and various other criminal work, and Disposal of Original suit and various other civil applications.</p> <p>2. Working as Civil Judge (Junior Division) Outlying Court, Lalganj Ajhara, Pratapgarh from 28/06/2021. The basic nature of work included Institution of Suits and Various other matters related to Civil nature. The pecuniary jurisdiction of the Court is Rs. 5,00,000.</p> <p>3. Working as Judicial Magistrate Outlying Court, Lalganj Ajhara, Pratapgarh from 28/06/2021. The Police Station of Udaipur and Lalganj in this Court. The basic nature of work includes remand, Taking Cognizance, Trial and various other miscellaneous criminal work.</p> <p>4- Working as Chairperson, Tehsil Legal Service Committee, Lalganj Ajhara, Pratapgarh.</p>					
2.	Norms set and achieved in respect of disposal of cases.						
	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="175 843 779 895">Target</th> <th data-bbox="779 843 1385 895">Achievements</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="175 895 779 1880">To dispose maximum number of cases with utmost priority given to those cases which are categorised as oldest cases pending in the court. For the assessment year target unit was 1200 units</td> <td data-bbox="779 895 1385 1880"> <p>Total units achieved during the assessment year- 1639.7 units.</p> <p>Category wise cases disposed during the year:-</p> <p>Criminal cases-</p> <p>Warrant Cases - 122</p> <p>Summon Cases- 63</p> <p>Complaint Cases-67</p> <p>Final reports disposed-34</p> <p>Domestic violence complaint case decided-42</p> <p>Applications under Section 156(3)- 218</p> <p>Criminal Petty Cases- 890</p> <p>Bail Application- 127</p> <p>Civil Cases-</p> <p>Original suits disposed- 330</p> <p>Miscellaneous suit disposed- 91</p> <p>M.N.R. Cases- 28</p> <p>Execution- 01</p> </td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Target	Achievements	To dispose maximum number of cases with utmost priority given to those cases which are categorised as oldest cases pending in the court. For the assessment year target unit was 1200 units	<p>Total units achieved during the assessment year- 1639.7 units.</p> <p>Category wise cases disposed during the year:-</p> <p>Criminal cases-</p> <p>Warrant Cases - 122</p> <p>Summon Cases- 63</p> <p>Complaint Cases-67</p> <p>Final reports disposed-34</p> <p>Domestic violence complaint case decided-42</p> <p>Applications under Section 156(3)- 218</p> <p>Criminal Petty Cases- 890</p> <p>Bail Application- 127</p> <p>Civil Cases-</p> <p>Original suits disposed- 330</p> <p>Miscellaneous suit disposed- 91</p> <p>M.N.R. Cases- 28</p> <p>Execution- 01</p>		
Target	Achievements						
To dispose maximum number of cases with utmost priority given to those cases which are categorised as oldest cases pending in the court. For the assessment year target unit was 1200 units	<p>Total units achieved during the assessment year- 1639.7 units.</p> <p>Category wise cases disposed during the year:-</p> <p>Criminal cases-</p> <p>Warrant Cases - 122</p> <p>Summon Cases- 63</p> <p>Complaint Cases-67</p> <p>Final reports disposed-34</p> <p>Domestic violence complaint case decided-42</p> <p>Applications under Section 156(3)- 218</p> <p>Criminal Petty Cases- 890</p> <p>Bail Application- 127</p> <p>Civil Cases-</p> <p>Original suits disposed- 330</p> <p>Miscellaneous suit disposed- 91</p> <p>M.N.R. Cases- 28</p> <p>Execution- 01</p>						
2A.	Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 5 years old.		Attachment Available				
	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="175 1963 779 2015">Target</th> <th data-bbox="779 1963 1385 2015">Achievements</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="175 2015 779 2169"> <p>1.Utmost priority was given to service of process.</p> <p>2. Statements of witnesses were recorded on fixed dates.</p> </td> <td data-bbox="779 2015 1385 2169">Speedy disposal of cases.</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Target	Achievements	<p>1.Utmost priority was given to service of process.</p> <p>2. Statements of witnesses were recorded on fixed dates.</p>	Speedy disposal of cases.		
Target	Achievements						
<p>1.Utmost priority was given to service of process.</p> <p>2. Statements of witnesses were recorded on fixed dates.</p>	Speedy disposal of cases.						

	<p>3. Miscellaneous applications were disposed on earliest possible opportunity.</p> <p>4. Arguments were completed in scheduled time.</p> <p>5. Parties were advised to opt for ADR method.</p> <p>6. Adjournments were allowed on rare occasions.</p>	
2A(i).	Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.	Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements
	<p>Pendency of Cases which are more than 5 years old is 2762. In the Court of the Civil Judge (Junior Division)/ Judicial Magistrate Outlying Court, Lalganj (As on 01 April 2021)</p> <p>Civil Cases- 1310</p> <p>Original Suit – 1263</p> <p>Civil Miscellaneous Case - 41</p> <p>Execution Cases – 06</p> <p>M.N.R. Cases- 00</p> <p>Criminal Cases - 1452</p> <p>Warrant Cases - 831</p> <p>Summon Cases - 366</p> <p>Complaint Cases - 218</p> <p>Domestic violence complaint Cases- 32</p> <p>Final reports - 05</p>	<p>Speedy disposal of cases.</p>
2A(ii).	Number of such matters disposed of during the year.	Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements
	<p>Maximum Possible disposal of such cases</p>	<p>Disposal of Cases which are more than 5 years old is 388. (From 1 April 2021 to 31 March 2022)</p> <p>Civil Cases- 172</p> <p>Original Suit- 163</p> <p>Civil Misc Cases- 09</p> <p>Execution Case-00</p> <p>M.N.R. Cases- 00</p> <p>Criminal Cases- 216</p> <p>Warrant Cases - 108</p> <p>Summon Cases- 42</p> <p>Complaint Cases-51</p>

		Domestic violence complaint Cases- 13 Final reports-02	
2B.	Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 10 years old.		
	Target	Achievements	
	1.Utmost priority was given to service of process. 2. Statements of witnesses were recorded on fixed dates. 3. Miscellaneous applications were disposed on earliest possible opportunity. 4. Arguments were completed in scheduled time. 5. Parties were advised to opt for ADR method. 6. Adjournments were allowed on rare occasions.	Speedy disposal of cases.	
2B(i).	Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
	Pendency of Cases which are more than 10 years old in the Court of Civil Judge (Junior Division)/ Judicial Magistrate Outlying Court, Lalganj Ajhara, Pratapgarh is 1,012 (As on 01 April 2021) Civil Cases- 187 Original Suit- 186 Civil Miscellaneous Case- 01 Execution Cases- 00 M.N.R. Cases- 00 Criminal Cases- 825 Warrant Cases - 486 Summon Cases- 195 Complaint Cases- 141 Domestic violence complaint Cases- 03 Final reports- 00	Speedy disposal of cases.	
2B(ii).	Number of such matters disposed of during the year.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
	Maximum Possible disposal of such cases.	Disposal of Cases which are more than 10 years old is 194 (From 1 April 2021 to 31 March 2022) Civil Cases- 74 Original Suit- 74 Civil Misc Cases- 00	

		<p>Execution Case-00</p> <p>Criminal Cases- 120</p> <p>Warrant Cases - 70</p> <p>Summon Cases- 20</p> <p>Complaint Cases-29</p> <p>Domestic violence complaint Cases- 01</p> <p>Final reports-00</p>	
2C.	Steps taken to dispose of cases of persons with more than 65 years of age.		
	Target	Achievements	
	<p>1. Statements of witnesses were recorded on fixed dates.</p> <p>2. Miscellaneous applications were disposed on earliest possible opportunity.</p> <p>3. Arguments were completed in scheduled time.</p> <p>4. Parties were advised to opt for ADR method.</p> <p>5. Adjournments were allowed on rare occasions.</p>	Speedy disposal of cases.	
2C(i).	Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
	<p>Pendency In the Court of the Civil Judge (Junior Division) / Judicial Magistrate, Lalganj , Pratapgarhis 125 (As on 01 April 2021)</p> <p>Civil Cases- 45</p> <p>Original Suit- 30</p> <p>Civil Miscellaneous Case- 06</p> <p>M.N.R. Cases- 05</p> <p>Execution Cases- 04</p> <p>Criminal Cases - 80</p> <p>Warrant Cases- 37</p> <p>Summon Cases- 14</p> <p>Complaint Cases- 27</p> <p>Domestic violence complaint Cases 00</p> <p>Final reports-02</p>	Speedy disposal of cases.	
2C(ii).	Number of such matters disposed of during the year.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
	Maximum Possible disposal of such cases.	Disposal (From 1 April 2021 to 31 March 2022)	

		<p>Civil Cases- 29</p> <p>Original Suit- 27</p> <p>Civil Misc Cases- 02</p> <p>Execution Case – 00</p> <p>M.N.R. Cases- 00</p> <p>Criminal Cases- 23</p> <p>Warrant Cases- 09</p> <p>Summon Cases- 03</p> <p>Complaint Cases - 09</p> <p>Domestic violence complaint Cases- 00</p> <p>Final reports- 02</p>	
3.	Please state briefly the shortfalls with reference to the targets / objectives referred to at S. No. 02 above. Please specify constraints, if any, in achieving the targets.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
	<p>There were several targets set for faster disposal of cases e.g. cases falling under action plan were to be given priority , evidences were to be recorded in scheduled time, least number of adjournments were to be given etc. Most of the judicial work suffered due to second wave of covid-19 pandemic, The court remained closed during the lockdown period and after reopening of the courts parties were also not present due to which proceedings of the files affected.</p>	<p>Most of the targets were achieved during the assessment year. All the work was conducted in accordance with the guidelines and directions issued by Hon'ble High court from time to time regarding modalities of functioning in the subordinate courts.</p> <p>Total cases disposed during the year are as follows:-</p> <p>Criminal Cases-</p> <p>Warrant Cases - 122</p> <p>Summon Cases- 63</p> <p>Complaint Cases-67</p> <p>Final reports disposed-34</p> <p>Domestic violence complaint case decided-42</p> <p>Applications under Section 156(3)- 218</p> <p>Criminal Petty Cases- 890</p> <p>Bail Application- 127</p> <p>Civil Cases-</p> <p>Original suits disposed- 330</p> <p>Miscellaneous suit disposed- 91</p> <p>M.N.R. Cases- 28</p> <p>Execution- 01</p>	
4.	Academic and professional achievements during the year, including degree(s) obtained and/or books/articles published.		
	Target	Achievements	

	None.	None.	
5.	Whether attended any workshop, course, programme, etc., organized by Judicial Academy and/or any other organization during the period in question? If so, give details.		
	Target	Achievements	
	Workshop, Course , Programme attended during Year 1. Online Sensitization programme/ seminar in compliance of the directions given by High Power Committee and Hon'ble Supreme Court in Re Contagion of Covid virus held on 06/06/2021. 2. Online training programme on " Court Mangement and Case Management for speedy dispensation of Justice" held on 27/06/2021. 3. One day online sensitization programme on " Timely Justice and Protection of Dignity of Women appearing in Courts in different capacities like Victims, Witness, Petitioner and Accused with special reference to Aparna Bhat Vs. The State of M.P. AIR 2021 SC 1492" held on 01/08/2021. 4. One day online orientation and sensitization programme on "Sociological and Legal Aspects of PCPNDT Act 1994 and The Sexual Harrassment of Women at Workplace (Prevention, Prohibition, and Redressal) Act 2013 held on 06/02/2022. 5. Attended cluster training program on 25/09/2021.	Successfully completed the scheduled training.	
6.	Whether visited Judicial Academy as Faculty Member. If so, give details about the nature of lecture(s) given/topic(s) discussed.		
	Target	Achievements	
	N/A	N/A	

Applying Date

Date 26/04/2022

01-Remarks given by the District Judge regarding:

01 (a).	Integrity of the Officer- whether beyond doubt, doubtful or positively lacking	Certified
	Note- If the officer's integrity is doubtful or positively lacking, it may be so stated with all relevant fact, reason(s) & supporting material.	
01 (b).	If he is fair and impartial in dealing with the public and Bar?	Fair and impartial
01 (c).	If he is cool minded and does not lose temper in court.	She is cool minded officer and does not lose temper in court.
01 (d).	His private character is such as to lower him in the estimation of the public and adversely affects the discharge of his official duties?	Nothing adverse has come to my knowledge
1 (e).	CONTROL OVER THE FILES IN THE MATTER OF:	

01 (e)(i)(a).	Proper fixation of cause list:	Yes
01 (e)(i)(b).	Whether sufficient number of cases are fixed by him to keep him engaged during full court full court hours?	Yes, sufficient number of cases have been fixed to keep engaged during full court hours
01 (e)(ii).	Avoidance of unnecessary adjournments:	Unnecessary adjournments have been avoided
01 (e)(iii).	Disposal of old cases(Give number and year of old cases decided):	<p>Contested-</p> <p>Year 1993 - 1</p> <p>Year 1994 - 1</p> <p>Year 1997 - 1</p> <p>Year 2012 - 1</p> <p>Year 2013 - 1</p> <p>Un Contested-</p> <p>Year 1985 - 1</p> <p>Year 2000 - 7</p> <p>Year 2001 - 5</p> <p>Year 2002 - 11</p> <p>Year 2003 - 8</p> <p>Year 2004 - 11</p> <p>Year 2005 - 9</p> <p>Year 2006 - 32</p> <p>Year 2007 - 27</p> <p>Year 2008 - 19</p> <p>Year 2009 - 21</p> <p>Year 2010 - 16</p> <p>Year 2011 - 24</p> <p>Year 2012 - 41</p> <p>Year 2013 - 40</p> <p>Year 2014 - 35</p> <p>Year 2015 - 27</p> <p>Year 2016 - 50</p>
01 (e)(iv).	Progress and disposal of execution cases:	23 Execution Cases were pending out of which Officer has decided 1 Case
01 (e)(v).	Whether interim order, injunction being granted, refused or retained for sufficient reasons?	Yes
01 (e)(vi).	Are cases remanded on substantial grounds?	Not Applicable
01 (e)(vii).	Performance with regard to decision of Motor Accident Claims related to death / injury	Not Applicable
01 (f).	Whether Judgment on facts and on law are on the whole sound; well-reasoned and expressed in good language?:	Judgement based on facts and law are on the whole sound, well reasoned and expressed in good language.
Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in filling up this column:		

01 (f)(i).	Marshalling of facts;	Good
01 (f)(ii).	Appreciation of evidences;	Good
01 (f)(iii).	Application of law.	Proper
01 (g).	Whether disposal of work is adequate.(Give percentage and reasons for short disposal, if any)	Yes The officer has given out turn of 1639.7 unit against the target of 1200 unit in her self assessment
Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in filling up this column:		
01 (g)(i).	Number of cases decided after actual full contest:	Civil-6 and Criminal-1, as per statement submitted by officer.
01 (g)(ii).	Number of cases decided wherein all witnesses of fact turned hostile and the case ended in acquittal.	NIL
01 (g)(iii).	Number of civil cases decided on compromises / alternate dispute resolution.	15, as per self assessment submitted by officer.
01 (g)(iv).	Number of cases wherein after conclusion of arguments and reserving them for judgment, rehearing was ordered.	NIL
01 (h).	Control over the Office and Administrative capacity and tact:	Proper
01 (i).	Relations with members of the Bar(mention incidents, if any):	Cordial
01 (j).	Behavior in relation to brother Officers(mention incidents, if any):	Cordial
01 (k).	Whether the officer has made regular inspections of his court and offices in his charge and whether such inspections were full and effective?	The officer has made quarterly inspections regularly and the inspection is proper.
01 (l).	His punctuality and regularity in sitting on the dais in court during court hours?	Punctual & regular
01 (m).	Whether amenable to the advice of the District Judge and other superior officers?	Yes
01 (n).	Behaviour towards women(respect and sensitivity exhibited towards them)	Respectful and sensitive behaviour
2.	Over all assessment of the merit of the officer(Outstanding, Very Good, Good, Average, Poor)	"Very Good" <i>Soni</i> 15/10/22
3.	State of Health, with remarks, if any?	Good
4.	Other remarks, if any:	NO
5.	Name of the District Judge:	SANJAY SHANKER PANDEY (UP5456) 30.06.2022

Annual remarks recorded by the Administrative Judge/Reviewing and Accepting Authority

Rahul Chaturvedi (Addl)(HON'BLE JUDGE)

While deciding the representation of the officer concerned, I have attributed certain reasons for the same. Relying upon those reasons the remarks is hereby **upgraded from 'Good' to 'Very Good'**.

Overall assessment Very Good

Vide order dated 24.09.2022 of Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rahul Chaturvedi, the then Administrative Judge, Pratapgarh, the overall assessment of the merit upgraded as 'Very Good'.

Aswini
24/09/2022
Registrar General