

APPLICATION FOR SELF ASSESSMENT

Case Id : A00003872022

Employee Id : 2171

3 MONTHS COMPLETION

Whether 3 Months are complete for remarks of DISTRICT JUDGE?

Yes

SELF ASSESSMENT FORM PART I

1.	Self Assessment Period	01/04/2021 - 31/03/2022	
2.	Name of the Officer	SANTOSH KUMAR TIWARI	
3.	Designation	Addl. District & Sessions Judge	
4.	Date of Joining Service / Length of Service	02/07/2015 (6 Years 9 Months and 7 Days)	
5.	Place of Posting	Pratapgarh Posting Details During Self Assessment Period Not Available	
6.	Any other charge held during the financial year	Additional District & Sessions Judge Court No. 1, Pratapgarh 1. O/C Administration. 2. Chairman Sub Committee Infrastructure. 3. Central Information Officer. 4. Chairman Grievance/Redressal Committee. 5. Chairman Security Committee.	
7.	Year wise break up of cases	Yearwise Breakup	Attachment Available
8.	Courts held during the financial year	Courts Held During the financial Year	Attachment Available
9.	In how many cases have you framed the issues	No	
10.	In how many cases have you framed the charge	43	
11.	Number of cases in which Judgment not delivered within 15 days of conclusions of argument	No	
12.	Percentage of appeals remanded by the officer	No	
13.	Inspections	Inspections	Attachment Available
14.	Remarks if any	No	
15.	Details of the works by the officer	Deatails of the work done by the officer	Attachment Available
16.	Performance in Lok Adalat	Deatails of work done (Lokadalat dated on 11.09.2021) 01 Civil Appeal Decided (As Compromise)	

Validity unknown

Digitally signed by RAJESH CHATURVEDI
Date: 2022.09.21 15:13:20 IST
Reason: Document Owner
Location: Allahabad High Court

	<p>01 Misc.Civil Appeal Decided (As Compromise)</p> <p>01 Criminal Misc. Decided (Non Contested)</p> <p>Details of work done (Lokadalat dated on 11.12.2021)</p> <p>05 Criminal Misc. Decided (Non Contested)</p> <p>Details of work done (Lokadalat dated on 12.03.2022)</p> <p>02 Criminal Appeal Decided (As Compromise)</p>	
--	---	--

SELF ASSESSMENT FORM PART II

1.	Brief description of duties	<p>Additional District & Sessions Judge Court No. 1, Pratapgarh</p> <p>1. O/C Administration.</p> <p>2. Chairman Sub Committee Infrastructure.</p> <p>3. Central Information Officer.</p> <p>4. Chairman Grievance/Redressal Committee.</p> <p>5. Chairman Security Committee.</p>	
2.	Norms set and achieved in respect of disposal of cases.		
	Target	Achievements	
	Civil - 391 Criminal - 1252	Civil - 41 Criminal - 515	
2A.	Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 5 years old.		
	Target	Achievements	
	Processes were sent to parties and witnesses.	Target achieved.	
2A(i).	Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.		
	Target	Achievements	
	Civil - 250 Criminal - 395	Civil - 33 Criminal - 48	
2A(ii).	Number of such matters disposed of during the year.		
	Target	Achievements	
	Civil - 250 Criminal - 395	Civil - 33 Criminal - 48	

2B.	Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 10 years old.	
	Target	Achievements
	Processes were sent to parties and witnesses.	Target achieved.
2B(i).	Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.	
	Target	Achievements
	Civil -106 Criminal - 95	Civil - 28 Criminal - 15
2B(ii).	Number of such matters disposed of during the year.	
	Target	Achievements
	Civil - 106 Criminal - 95	Civil - 28 Criminal - 15
2C.	Steps taken to dispose of cases of persons with more than 65 years of age.	
	Target	Achievements
	Processes were sent to parties and witnesses.	Target achieved.
2C(i).	Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.	
	Target	Achievements
	Civil - 168 Criminal - 296	Civil - 16 Criminal - 38
2C(ii).	Number of such matters disposed of during the year.	
	Target	Achievements
	Civil - 168 Criminal - 296	Civil - 16 Criminal - 38
3.	Please state briefly the shortfalls with reference to the targets / objectives referred to at S. No. 02 above. Please specify constraints, if any, in achieving the targets.	
	Target	Achievements
	No	No shortfalls.
4.	Academic and professional achievements during the year, including degree(s) obtained and/or books/articles published.	
	Target	Achievements
	No.	No.
5.	Whether attended any workshop, course, programme, etc., organized by Judicial Academy and/or any other organization during the period in question? If so, give details.	
	Target	Achievements

	One cluster Programm organised by the JFRI Lucknow.	One cluster Programm organised by the JTRI Lucknow. on 25.09.2021	
6.	Whether visited Judicial Academy as Faculty Member. If so, give details about the nature of lecture(s) given/topic(s) discussed.		
	Target	Achievements	
	No.	No.	

Applying Date

Date 09/04/2022

01-Remarks given by the District Judge regarding:

01 (a).	Integrity of the Officer- whether beyond doubt, doubtful or positively lacking	There were some complaints with regard to the integrity of the officer but could not be supported by proof, hence integrity is certified.
	Note- If the officer's integrity is doubtful or positively lacking, it may be so stated with all relevant fact, reason(s) & supporting material.	
01 (b).	If he is fair and impartial in dealing with the public and Bar?	'EXPUNGED'
01 (c).	If he is cool minded and does not lose temper in court.	He is cool minded officer and does not lose temper in court
01 (d).	His private character is such as to lower him in the estimation of the public and adversely affects the discharge of his official duties?	Nothing adverse has come to my knowledge
1 (e).	CONTROL OVER THE FILES IN THE MATTER OF:	
01 (e)(i)(a).	Proper fixation of cause list:	Yes
01 (e)(i)(b).	Whether sufficient number of cases are fixed by him to keep him engaged during full court full court hours?	Yes, sufficient number of cases have been fixed to keep engaged during full court hours
01 (e)(ii).	Avoidance of unnecessary adjournments:	Unnecessary adjournments have been avoided
01 (e)(iii).	Disposal of old cases(Give number and year of old cases decided):	Contested- Year 1987 - 1 Year 1988 - 1 Year 1990 - 1 Year 1998 - 2 Year 2000 - 1 Year 2003 - 1 Year 2004 - 2 Year 2005 - 4 Year 2006 - 1 Year 2007 - 3 Year 2008 - 5 Year 2009 - 3 Year 2010 - 2

		<p>Year 2011 - 4</p> <p>Year 2012 - 5</p> <p>Year 2013 - 7</p> <p>Year 2014 - 6</p> <p>Year 2015 - 7</p> <p>Year 2016 - 10</p> <p>Un Contested-</p> <p>Year 1985 - 1</p> <p>Year 1986 - 1</p> <p>Year 1993 - 1</p> <p>Year 1996 - 1</p> <p>Year 2007 - 1</p> <p>Year 2008 - 2</p> <p>Year 2011 - 5</p> <p>Year 2015 - 2</p> <p>Year 2016 - 1</p>
01 (e)(iv).	Progress and disposal of execution cases:	NIL
01 (e)(v).	Whether interim order, injunction being granted, refused or retained for sufficient reasons?	Yes
01 (e)(vi).	Are cases remanded on substantial grounds?	NA
01 (e)(vii).	Performance with regard to decision of Motor Accident Claims related to death / injury	NA
01 (f).	Whether Judgment on facts and on law are on the whole sound, well-reasoned and expressed in good language?:	Judgement based on facts and law are on the whole sound, well reasoned and expressed in good language.
Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in filling up this column:		
01 (f)(i).	Marshalling of facts;	Good
01 (f)(ii).	Appreciation of evidences;	Good
01 (f)(iii).	Application of law.	Proper
01 (g).	Whether disposal of work is adequate.(Give percentage and reasons for short disposal, if any)	<p>Yes,</p> <p>The officer has given out turn of 1616.55 unit against the target of 734.24 unit in his self assessment</p>
Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in filling up this column:		
01 (g)(i).	Number of cases decided after actual full contest;	Civil-30, Criminal-474
01 (g)(ii).	Number of cases decided wherein all witnesses of fact turned hostile and the case ended in acquittal.	NIL
01 (g)(iii).	Number of civil cases decided on compromises / alternate dispute resolution.	NIL
01 (g)(iv).	Number of cases wherein after conclusion of arguments and reserving them for judgment, rehearing was ordered.	NIL

01 (h).	Control over the Office and Administrative capacity and tact:	Proper
01 (i).	Relations with members of the Bar(mention incidents, if any):	Cordial
01 (j).	Behavior in relation to brother Officers(mention incidents, if any):	Cordial
01 (k).	Whether the officer has made regular inspections of his court and offices in his charge and whether such inspections were full and effective?	The officer has made quarterly inspections regularly and the inspection is proper.
01 (l).	His punctuality and regularity in sitting on the dais in court during court hours?	Punctual & regular
01 (m).	Whether amenable to the advice of the District Judge and other superior officers?	Yes
01 (n).	Behaviour towards women(respect and sensitivity exhibited towards them)	Respectful and sensitive behaviour towards women
2.	Over all assessment of the merit of the officer(Outstanding, Very Good, Good, Average, Poor)	'Very Good' <i>Aswin</i> 15/10/2022
3.	State of Health, with remarks, if any?	Good
4.	Other remarks, if any:	'EXPUNGED' <i>Aswin</i> 15/10/2022
5.	Name of the District Judge:	SANJAY SHANKER PANDEY (UP5456) 05.07.2022

Annual remarks recorded by the Administrative Judge/Reviewing and Accepting Authority

Rahul Chaturvedi (Addl)(HON'BLE JUDGE)

While deciding the representation of the officer concerned, I have attributed certain reasons for the same. Relying upon those reasons the remarks is hereby upgraded from 'Average' to 'Very Good'.

Overall assessment	Very Good
Integrity	Certified

Vide order dated 24.09.2022 of Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rahul Chaturvedi, the then Administrative Judge, Pratapgarh, the representation dated 19.07.2022 made by the officer for expunction of the adverse remarks occurring in col. nos. 1(b) & 4 have been accepted and overall assessment of merit upgraded as 'Very Good'.

Aswin
Registrar General