

APPLICATION FOR SELF ASSESSMENT

Case Id : A00051002022

Employee Id : 2370

3 MONTHS COMPLETION

Whether 3 Months are complete for remarks of DISTRICT JUDGE?

Yes

SELF ASSESSMENT FORM PART I

1.	Self Assessment Period	01/04/2021 - 31/03/2022	
2.	Name of the Officer	ARUN KRANTI YASHODAS	
3.	Designation	Civil Judge (Junior Div.)	
4.	Date of Joining Service / Length of Service	30/03/2018 (4 Years 0 Months and 29 Days)	
5.	Place of Posting	Pratapgarh Posting Details During Self Assessment Period 1. Hardoi-Judicial Magistrate 2. Pratapgarh-Civil Judge (Junior Div.)	
6.	Any other charge held during the financial year	O/C Statement district court, pratapgarh.	
7.	Year wise break up of cases	Yearwise breakup of assessment year 2021-2022	Attachment Available
8.	Courts held during the financial year	Judicial Magistrate Ist, Hardoi and Civil Judge Junior Divison, Sadar, Pratapgarh	Attachment Available
9.	In how many cases have you framed the issues	51	
10.	In how many cases have you framed the charge	Nil	
11.	Number of cases in which Judgment not delivered within 15 days of conclusions of argument	Nil	
12.	Percentage of appeals remanded by the officer	N/A	
13.	Inspections	4 quarterly inspections during the assessment year 2021-2022	Attachment Available
14.	Remarks if any	NONE	
15.	Details of the works by the officer	Work-Done during the assessment year 2021-2022	Attachment Available
16.	Performance in Lok Adalat	Total 4 Lok Adalat attended during the assessment year and total 69 cases were decided by the court.	

SELF ASSESSMENT FORM PART II

1.	Brief description of duties	Presiding Officer Judicial Magistrate Ist, Hardoi 01.04.2021 to 12.04.2021 Presiding Officer Civil Judge Junior Divison, Sadar, Pratapgarh 14.04.2021 to 31.03.2022	
Validity		Not set and achieved in respect of disposal of cases.	

Digitally signed by RAJESH CHATURVEDI
Date: 2022.09.24 13:14 IST
Reason: Document Owner
Location: Allahabad High Court

	Target	Achievements
	Target 1200 units - total no. of leaves, holidays and training period (551.04 units) = 648.96 units	Achievements- 1161.50 units
2A.	Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 5 years old.	
	Target	Achievements
	Give priority to the disposal of cases which are 5 year old.	1. Adjournalment was strictly avoided in such cases. 2. Shortest possible dates were given. 3. Priority was given in hearing for such cases.
2A(i).	Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.	
	Target	Achievements
	PENDENCY AS JM - 1 AT HARDOI JUDGESHIP CRIMINAL CASES : 9332 PENDENCY AS CIVIL JUDGE JUNIOR DIVISON SADAR AT PRATAPGARH JUDGESHIP CIVIL CASES : 4412	DISPOSAL AS JM - 1 AT HARDOI JUDGESHIP CRIMINAL CASES : 01 DISPOSAL AS CIVIL JUDGE JUNIOR DIVISON SADAR AT PRATAPGARH JUDGESHIP CIVIL CASES : 41
2A(ii).	Number of such matters disposed of during the year.	
	Target	Achievements
	PENDENCY AS JM - 1 AT HARDOI JUDGESHIP CRIMINAL CASES : 9332 PENDENCY AS CIVIL JUDGE JUNIOR DIVISON SADAR AT PRATAPGARH JUDGESHIP CIVIL CASES : 4412	DISPOSAL AS JM - 1 AT HARDOI JUDGESHIP CRIMINAL CASES : 01 DISPOSAL AS CIVIL JUDGE JUNIOR DIVISON SADAR AT PRATAPGARH JUDGESHIP CIVIL CASES : 41
2B.	Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 10 years old.	
	Target	Achievements
	Give priority to the disposal of cases which are 10 year old.	1. Adjournalment was strictly avoided in such cases. 2. Shortest possible dates were given. 3. Priority was given in hearing for such cases.
2B(i).	Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.	
	Target	Achievements
	PENDENCY AS JM - 1 AT HARDOI JUDGESHIP CRIMINAL CASES : 4960 PENDENCY AS CIVIL JUDGE JUNIOR DIVISON SADAR AT PRATAPGARH JUDGESHIP	DISPOSAL AS JM - 1 AT HARDOI JUDGESHIP CRIMINAL CASES : 01 DISPOSAL AS CIVIL JUDGE JUNIOR DIVISON SADAR

	CIVIL CASES : 1898	AT PRATAPGARH JUDGESHIP CIVIL CASES : 28
2B(ii).	Number of such matters disposed of during the year.	
	Target	Achievements
	PENDENCY AS JM - 1 AT HARDOI JUDGESHIP CRIMINAL CASES : 4960 PENDENCY AS CIVIL JUDGE JUNIOR DIVISON SADAR AT PRATAPGARH JUDGESHIP CIVIL CASES : 1898	DISPOSAL AS JM - 1 AT HARDOI JUDGESHIP CRIMINAL CASES : 01 DISPOSAL AS CIVIL JUDGE JUNIOR DIVISON SADAR AT PRATAPGARH JUDGESHIP CIVIL CASES : 28
2C.	Steps taken to dispose of cases of persons with more than 65 years of age.	
	Target	Achievements
	1. Dates were given considering convenience of senior citizen as far as possible. 3. Such cases were heard on priority basis.	Target Achieved.
2C(i).	Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.	
	Target	Achievements
	Total 121 such cases were pending in the court.	Total 121 such cases were taken up by the court on priority basis.
2C(ii).	Number of such matters disposed of during the year.	
	Target	Achievements
	Total 121 such cases were pending in the court.	Total 6 such cases were decided by the court.
3.	Please state briefly the shortfalls with reference to the targets / objectives referred to at S. No. 02 above. Please specify constraints, if any, in achieving the targets.	
	Target	Achievements
	No Shortfalls.	No Shortfalls.
4.	Academic and professional achievements during the year, including degree(s) obtained and/or books/articles published.	
	Target	Achievements
	NONE	NONE
5.	Whether attended any workshop, course, programme, etc., organized by Judicial Academy and/or any other	

organization during the period in question? If so, give details.

	Target	Achievements
	<p>1. One day online sensitization webinar on timely justice and protection of dignity of women dated on 01/08/21.</p> <p>2. Fourth round cluster training dated on 25/09/21.</p> <p>3. Three days online training organised by central detective training institute, Ghaziabad dated on 14/06/2021 to 16/06/2021.</p>	Enhanced practical knowledge of Law including Cyber Law and benefited from the experience of faculty, seniors and colleagues.
6.	Whether visited Judicial Academy as Faculty Member. If so, give details about the nature of lecture(s) given/topic(s) discussed.	
	Target	Achievements
	NO	NO

Applying Date

Date 28/04/2022

01-Remarks given by the District Judge regarding:

01 (a).	Integrity of the Officer- whether beyond doubt, doubtful or positively lacking	Beyond doubt
	Note- If the officer's integrity is doubtful or positively lacking, it may be so stated with all relevant fact, reason(s) & supporting material.	
01 (b).	If he is fair and impartial in dealing with the public and Bar?	Fair and impartial
01 (c).	If he is cool minded and does not lose temper in court.	He is cool minded officer and does not lose temper in court
01 (d).	His private character is such as to lower him in the estimation of the public and adversely affects the discharge of his official duties?	Nothing adverse has come to my knowledge
1 (e).	CONTROL OVER THE FILES IN THE MATTER OF:	
01 (e)(i)(a).	Proper fixation of cause list:	Yes
01 (e)(i)(b).	Whether sufficient number of cases are fixed by him to keep him engaged during full court full court hours?	Yes, sufficient number of cases have been fixed to keep engaged during full court hours .
01 (e)(ii).	Avoidance of unnecessary adjournments:	Unnecessary adjournments have been avoided
01 (e)(iii).	Disposal of old cases(Give number and year of old cases decided):	Contested- Year 2007 - 1 UnContested- Year 1981 - 1 Year 1982 - 1 Year 1984 - 1 Year 1986 - 1 Year 1987 - 1

		<p>Year 1988 - 1</p> <p>Year 1992 - 2</p> <p>Year 1993 - 1</p> <p>Year 1994 - 1</p> <p>Year 1995 - 1</p> <p>Year 1996 - 1</p> <p>Year 1997 - 2</p> <p>Year 1998 - 2</p> <p>Year 1999 - 3</p> <p>Year 2000 - 2</p> <p>Year 2003 - 1</p> <p>Year 2007 - 1</p> <p>Year 2008 - 2</p> <p>Year 2010 - 1</p> <p>Year 2011 - 1</p> <p>Year 2014 - 1</p> <p>Year 2015 - 2</p> <p>Year 2016 - 10</p>
01 (e)(iv).	Progress and disposal of execution cases:	194 Execution Cases were pending out of which Officer has decided 7 Cases
01 (e)(v).	Whether interim order, injunction being granted, refused or retained for sufficient reasons?	Yes
01 (e)(vi).	Are cases remanded on substantial grounds?	Not Applicable
01 (e)(vii).	Performance with regard to decision of Motor Accident Claims related to death / injury	Not Applicable
01 (f).	Whether Judgment on facts and on law are on the whole sound, well-reasoned and expressed in good language?:	Judgement based on facts and law are on the whole sound, well reasoned and expressed in good language.
	Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in filling up this column:	
01 (f)(i).	Marshalling of facts;	Good
01 (f)(ii).	Appreciation of evidences;	Good
01 (f)(iii).	Application of law.	Proper
01 (g).	Whether disposal of work is adequate.(Give percentage and reasons for short disposal, if any)	Yes , The officer has given out turn of 1161.50 unit against the target of 648.96 unit in his self assessment
	Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in filling up this column:	
01 (g)(i).	Number of cases decided after actual full contest;	Civil -3
01 (g)(ii).	Number of cases decided wherein all witnesses of fact turned hostile and the case ended in acquittal.	NIL
01 (g)(iii).	Number of civil cases decided on compromises / alternate dispute resolution.	114, as per self assessment submitted by officer.

01 (g)(iv).	Number of cases wherein after conclusion of arguments and reserving them for judgment, rehearing was ordered.	Nil
01 (h).	Control over the Office and Administrative capacity and tact:	Proper
01 (i).	Relations with members of the Bar(mention incidents, if any):	Cordial
01 (j).	Behavior in relation to brother Officers(mention incidents, if any):	Cordial
01 (k).	Whether the officer has made regular inspections of his court and offices in his charge and whether such inspections were full and effective?	The officer has made quarterly inspections regularly and the inspection is proper.
01 (l).	His punctuality and regularity in sitting on the dais in court during court hours?	Punctual & regular
01 (m).	Whether amenable to the advice of the District Judge and other superior officers?	Yes
01 (n).	Behaviour towards women(respect and sensitivity exhibited towards them)	Respectful and sensitive behaviour towards women
2.	Over all assessment of the merit of the officer(Outstanding, Very Good, Good, Average, Poor)	'Very Good'
3.	State of Health, with remarks, if any?	Good
4.	Other remarks, if any:	'EXPUNGED'
5.	Name of the District Judge:	SANJAY SHANKER PANDEY (UP5456) 30.06.2022

Asin's
15/10/2022

Asin's
15/10/2022

Annual remarks recorded by the Administrative Judge/Reviewing and Accepting Authority

Rahul Chaturvedi (Addl)(HON'BLE JUDGE)

While deciding the representation of the officer concerned, I have attributed certain reasons for the same. Relying upon those reasons the remarks is hereby upgraded from 'Good' to 'Very Good'.

Overall assessment	Very Good
Integrity	Certified

Vide order dated 24.09.2022 of Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rahul Chaturvedi, the then Administrative Judge, Pratapgarh, the representation dated 23.07.2022 made by the officer for expunction of the adverse remarks occurring in col. no. 4 has been accepted and overall assessment of merit upgraded as 'Very Good'..

Asin's
15/10/2022
Registrar General