APPLICATION FOR SELF ASSESSMENT

Case Id: A00043092022	Employee Id: 2749			
3 MONTHS COMPLETION				
Whether 3 Months are complete for remarks of DISTRICT JUDGE?	Yes			

1.	Self Assessment Period	01/04/2021 - 31/03/2022	
2.	Name of the Officer	SMT. RENU MISHRA	
3.	Designation	A.D.J. (FTC)	
4.	Date of Joining Service / Length of Service	24/03/2021 (1 Years 0 Months and 28 Days)	
5.	Place of Posting	Bulandshahar Posting Details During Self Assessment Period 1. Bulandshahar-Addl. District & Sessions Judge 2. Bulandshahar-A.D.J. (FTC)	
6.	Any other charge held during the financial year	No charge held during the year.	
7.	Year wise break up of cases	Proforma Attached	Attachment Available
8.	Courts held during the financial year	01 A.D.J 9th Bulandshahr 01.04.2021 to 12.04.2021 02 ADJ - F.T.C-4 Bulandshahr 13.04.2021 to 31.03.2022	
9.	In how many cases have you framed the issues	Nil	
10.	In how many cases have you framed the charge 18		
11.	Number of cases in which Judgment not delivered within 15 days of conclusions of argument	Nil	
12.	Percentage of appeals remanded by the officer	00.00% (00 Civil appeal remanded)	
13.	Inspections	Proforma Attached	Attachment Available
14.	Remarks if any	Nil	ļ
15.	Details of the works by the officer	Proforma Attached	Attachment Available
16.	Performance in Lok Adalat	04 Cases disposed in Lok Adalat	

Brief description of duties	 Addl. District & Session Judge Court No 09, Bulandshahr (From - 31.03.2021 to 12.04.2021) Addl. District & Session Judge / Fast Track Court No 04, Bulandshahr (From 13-04-2021 to Till date)
-----------------------------	--

Digitally signed by ON PRAKASH Date: 2022.07(2) 12 29:22 IST Reason: Document Owner Location: Allahabad High Court

	Target	Achievements	
	1197.20 Units	1201.14 units	
2A.	Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 5 years old.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
	99	17 Csaes Short date were given Unnecessary adjournment were avoided.	
2A(i).	Pendency of such matters at the commencem	ent of the year.	Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
	99 Cases	17 cases	
2A(ii).	Number of such matters disposed of during the year.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
	99	17 Cases	
2B.	Steps taken to dispose of old matters which a		
	Target		
	10 Cases	01 Csaes Short date were given Unnecessary adjournment were avoided.	
2B(i).	Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
	10 Cases	01 case	
2B(ii).). Number of such matters disposed of during the year.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
	10	01 Cases	
2C.	Steps taken to dispose of cases of persons with more than 65 years of age.		
	Target	Achievements	
	04	03 cases Short date were given	
		Unnecessary adjournment were avoided	

	Target	Achievements	
	04	03 cases	
	04	OS Cases	
C(ii).	Number of such matters disposed of during t	he year.	
	Target	Achievements	
	04	03 cases	
3.	Please state briefly the shortfalls with reference to the targets / objectives referred to at S. No. 02 above. Please specify constraints, if any, in achieving the targets.		
	Target	Achievements	
	1197.20 Units	1201.14 units Shortfalls reason Covid 19 Strick of advocate Training	
4.	Academic and professional achievements du	absent of patries uring the year, including degree(s) obtained and/or books/articles	
	published.		
	Target	Achievements	
	NO	NO	3
5.	Whether attended any workshop, course, programme, etc., organized by Judicial Academy and/or any other organization during the period in question? If so, give details.		
	Target	Achievements	
	85 day	85 days Refersher Training Programfor AdditionalDistrict & Session Judge, JTRI LucknowWorkshop on Aprna Bhatt case Workshop - MACT Act	
6.	Whether visited Judicial Academy as Faculty Member. If so, give details about the nature of lecture(s) given/topic(s) discussed.		
	Target	Achievements	

Applying Date		
Date	21/04/2022	

01-Rei	marks given by the District Judge r	egarding:
01 (a).	Integrity of the Officer- whether beyond doubt, doubtful or positively lacking	beyond doubt
	Note- If the officer's integrity is doubtful or positive material.	ely lacking, it may be so stated with all relevant fact, reason(s) & supporting

1 (b).	If he is fair and impartial in dealing with the public and Bar?	yes	
1 (c).	If he is cool minded and does not lose temper in court.	yes	
1 (d).	His private character is such as to lower him in the estimation of the public and adversely affects the discharge of his official duties?	no	
(e).	CONTROL OVER THE FILES IN THE MATTER OF:		
1 (e)(i)(a).	Proper fixation of cause list:	yes	
1 (e)(i)(b).	Whether sufficient number of cases are fixed by him to keep him engaged during full court full court hours?	yes	
01 (e)(ii).	Avoidance of unnecessary adjournments:	yes	
01 (e)(iii).	Disposal of old cases(Give number and year of old cases decided):	Criminal cases:- 01 case S.T. decide of 2012 01 case S.T. decide of 2013 03 cases S.T decide of 2014	
		02 cases S.T. decide of 2015 01 cases Crl. Appl decide of 2016	
		Civil cases:- 01 Misc. Civil decide of 1989 01 Misc. Civil decide of 2016 02 civil Appl. decide of 2016 02 SCC SCC Suit decided of 2016	
01 (e)(iv).	Progress and disposal of execution cases:	no execution case decided	
01 (e)(v).	Whether interim order, injunction being granted, refused or retained for sufficient reasons?	yes	
01 (e)(vi).	Are cases remanded on substantial grounds?	no cases remanded	
01 (e)(vii).	Performance with regard to decision of Motor Accident Claims related to death / injury	not applied	
01 (f).	Whether Judgment on facts and on law are on the whole sound, well-reasoned and expressed in good language?:	yes	
	Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in	n filling up this column:	
01 (f)(i).	Marshalling of facts;	good	
01 (f)(ii).	Appreciation of evidences;	good	
01 (f)(iii).	Application of law.	good	
01 (g).	Whether disposal of work is adequate. (Give percentage and reasons for short disposal, if any)	disposal of work is adequate.	
	Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in filling up this column:		
01 (g)(i).	Number of cases decided after actual full contest;	97	
01 (g)(ii).	Number of cases decided wherein all witnesses of fact turned hostile and the case ended in acquittal.	Nil	

11 (g)(iii).	Number of civil cases decided on compromises / alternate dispute resolution.	02
01 (g)(iv).	Number of cases wherein after conclusion of arguments and reserving them for judgment, rehearing was ordered.	Nil
01 (h).	Control over the Office and Administrative capacity and tact:	good
01 (i).	Relations with members of the Bar(mention incidents, if any):	good
01 (j).	Behavior in relation to brother Officers(mention incidents, if any):	good
01 (k).	Whether the officer has made regular inspections of his court and offices in his charge and whether such inspections were full and effective?	yes
01 (1).	His punctuality and regularity in sitting on the dais in court during court hours?	good
01 (m).	Whether amenable to the advice of the District Judge and other superior officers?	yes
01 (n).	Behaviour towards women(respect and sensitivity exhibited towards them)	gcod
2.	Over all assessment of the merit of the officer(Outstanding, Very Good, Good, Average, Poor)	'Very Good'
3.	State of Health, with remarks, if any?	good
4.	Other remarks, if any:	nil
5.	Name of the District Judge:	Yashwant Kumar Mishra, JO CODE No-UP5442 02.06.2022

Annual remarks recorded by the Administrative Judge/Reviewing and Accepting Authority

Om Prakash-VII(HON'BLE JUDGE)

Out turn of the officer during the period under scrutiny was 338.77 units against the target of 334.56 units. She is reported to be punctual and is amenable to the advice of the District Judge. Her judgments, on facts and law, are reported to be well reasoned. She is also reported to have made regular inspections of the Court and office under her control. It is also reported by the District Judge that the officer commands good control over the office. Relations with the Bar and brother officers are cordial and good.

Office has reported that no Vigilance Enquiry/Disciplinary proceeding is pending against the officer concerned.

District Judge has rated her as "Good" and has certified integrity.

Having regard to all the relevant aspects and the quality of the judgments and orders passed by the officer and also the fact that representation moved by the officer against the remarks given by the District Judge has been allowed, overall assessment of the merits of the officer, in my opinion is "Very Good".

Integrity Certified.

Overall assessment	Very Good	
Integrity	Certified	52

Overall assessment upgraded as "Very good" vide order dated 22-07-2022 of Hon'ble Mr. Justice Om Prakash-VII, the then Administrative Judge, Bulandshahr.

Registrar General