

APPLICATION FOR SELF ASSESSMENT

Case Id : A00039042022

Employee Id : 2719

3 MONTHS COMPLETION

Whether 3 Months are complete for remarks of DISTRICT JUDGE?

Yes

SELF ASSESSMENT FORM PART I

1.	Self Assessment Period	01/04/2021 - 31/03/2022	
2.	Name of the Officer	SUSHRI DEEPIKA TIWARI	
3.	Designation	A.D.J. (FTC)	
4.	Date of Joining Service / Length of Service	01/06/2020 (1 Years 10 Months and 15 Days)	
5.	Place of Posting	Bulandshahar Posting Details During Self Assessment Period Not Available	
6.	Any other charge held during the financial year	Incharge of Statement Office for one month	
7.	Year wise break up of cases	Proforma Attached	Attachment Available
8.	Courts held during the financial year	ADJ/FTC, Court no.01, Bulandshahr (01-04-2021 to 31-03-2022)	
9.	In how many cases have you framed the issues	Nil	
10.	In how many cases have you framed the charge	08	
11.	Number of cases in which Judgment not delivered within 15 days of conclusions of argument	Nil	
12.	Percentage of appeals remanded by the officer	Nil	
13.	Inspections	Proforma Attached	Attachment Available
14.	Remarks if any	Total 13 Oldest cases were disposed of this year, details are as under: (i) More than 20 Year Old-02 ST (ii) 20 year old-01 ST (iii) 15 year old-01 ST (iv) 10 year old-01 ST (v) 05 year old- 07 ST, 08 Civil cases (vi) 27 year old one Execution decided in full satisfaction.	
15.	Details of the works by the officer	Proforma Attached	Attachment Available
16.	Performance in Lok Adalat	1-Cases referred to mediation centre -Nil 2-Cases disposed in Lok Adalat-10	

Validity unknown

Digitally signed by OM PRAKASH
Date: 2022.07.21 12:30:29 IST
Reason: Document Owner
Location: Allahabad High Court

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

SELF ASSESSMENT FORM PART II

1.	Brief description of duties	ADJ/FTC, Court no.01, Bulandshahr (01-04-2021 to 31-03-2022)
2.	Norms set and achieved in respect of disposal of cases.	
	Target	Achievements
	Calendar days-365 1197.20 Unit Actual working days-193 633.04 Units.	Calendar days 1436.41 Unit Actual working days 872.25 Units.
2A.	Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 5 years old.	
	Target	Achievements
	-	1. Strict & coercive processes were issued against the witnesses to ensure their presence. 2. Unnecessary Adjournments were avoided in old cases. 3. Evidence of prosecution witness concluded on the same day. 4- Letters & directions issued to SSP/DGP to ensure compliance of court order. 5- Short dates were fixed in such cases.
2A(i).	Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.	
	Target	Achievements
	220	-
2A(ii).	Number of such matters disposed of during the year.	
	Target	Achievements
	-	16
2B.	Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 10 years old.	
	Target	Achievements
	-	1. Strict & coercive processes were issued against the witnesses to ensure their presence. 2. Unnecessary Adjournments were avoided in old cases. 3. Evidence of prosecution witness concluded on the same day. 4- Letters & directions issued to SSP/DGP to ensure compliance of court order. 5- Short dates were fixed in such cases.

2B(i).	Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.	
	Target	Achievements
	23	-
2B(ii).	Number of such matters disposed of during the year.	
	Target	Achievements
	-	06
2C.	Steps taken to dispose of cases of persons with more than 65 years of age.	
	Target	Achievements
	-	<p>1. Strict & coercive processes were issued against the witnesses to ensure their presence.</p> <p>2. Unnecessary Adjournments were avoided in old cases.</p> <p>3. Evidence of prosecution witness concluded on the same day.</p> <p>4- Letters & directions issued to SSP/DGP to ensure compliance of court order.</p> <p>5- Short dates were fixed in such cases.</p>
2C(i).	Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.	
	Target	Achievements
	09	-
2C(ii).	Number of such matters disposed of during the year.	
	Target	Achievements
	-	09
3.	Please state briefly the shortfalls with reference to the targets / objectives referred to at S. No. 02 above. Please specify constraints, if any, in achieving the targets.	
	Target	Achievements
	Required Unit for actual working days (193*3.28)=633.04 Unit	Target achieved successfully. Unit Achieved for actual working days=872.25
4.	Academic and professional achievements during the year, including degree(s) obtained and/or books/articles published.	
	Target	Achievements
	Nil	Nil
5.	Whether attended any workshop, course, programme, etc., organized by Judicial Academy and/or any other organization during the period in question? If so, give details.	
	Target	Achievements
	Nil	Nil

6.	Whether visited Judicial Academy as Faculty Member. If so, give details about the nature of lecture(s) given/topic(s) discussed.		
	Target	Achievements	
	Nil	Nil	

Applying Date	
Date	16/04/2022

01-Remarks given by the District Judge regarding:

01 (a).	Integrity of the Officer- whether beyond doubt, doubtful or positively lacking	beyond doubt
	Note- If the officer's integrity is doubtful or positively lacking, it may be so stated with all relevant fact, reason(s) & supporting material.	
01 (b).	If he is fair and impartial in dealing with the public and Bar?	yes
01 (c).	If he is cool minded and does not lose temper in court.	yes
01 (d).	His private character is such as to lower him in the estimation of the public and adversely affects the discharge of his official duties?	no
1 (e).	CONTROL OVER THE FILES IN THE MATTER OF:	
01 (e)(i)(a).	Proper fixation of cause list:	yes
01 (e)(i)(b).	Whether sufficient number of cases are fixed by him to keep him engaged during full court full court hours?	yes
01 (e)(ii).	Avoidance of unnecessary adjournments:	yes
01 (e)(iii).	Disposal of old cases(Give number and year of old cases decided):	Criminal 1998-1 2000-1 2001-1 2006-1 2010-1 2012-1 2014-5 2015-1 Civil 2013-2 2015-6 2016-1
01 (e)(iv).	Progress and disposal of execution cases:	01
01 (e)(v).	Whether interim order, injunction being granted, refused or retained for sufficient reasons?	yes
01 (e)(vi).	Are cases remanded on substantial grounds?	no cases remanded

01 (e)(vii).	Performance with regard to decision of Motor Accident Claims related to death / injury	not applicable
01 (f).	Whether Judgment on facts and on law are on the whole sound, well-reasoned and expressed in good language?:	yes
Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in filling up this column:		
01 (f)(i).	Marshalling of facts;	good
01 (f)(ii).	Appreciation of evidences;	good
01 (f)(iii).	Application of law.	good
01 (g).	Whether disposal of work is adequate.(Give percentage and reasons for short disposal, if any)	disposal of work is adequate.
Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in filling up this column:		
01 (g)(i).	Number of cases decided after actual full contest;	Criminal-100 Civil-35
01 (g)(ii).	Number of cases decided wherein all witnesses of fact turned hostile and the case ended in acquittal.	nil
01 (g)(iii).	Number of civil cases decided on compromises / alternate dispute resolution.	nil
01 (g)(iv).	Number of cases wherein after conclusion of arguments and reserving them for judgment, rehearing was ordered.	Nil
01 (h).	Control over the Office and Administrative capacity and tact:	good
01 (i).	Relations with members of the Bar(mention incidents, if any):	good
01 (j).	Behavior in relation to brother Officers(mention incidents, if any):	good
01 (k).	Whether the officer has made regular inspections of his court and offices in his charge and whether such inspections were full and effective?	yes
01 (l).	His punctuality and regularity in sitting on the dais in court during court hours?	good
01 (m).	Whether amenable to the advice of the District Judge and other superior officers?	yes
01 (n).	Behaviour towards women(respect and sensitivity exhibited towards them)	good
2.	Over all assessment of the merit of the officer(Outstanding, Very Good, Good, Average, Poor)	'Very Good' <i>Asim</i> 21/11/2022
3.	State of Health, with remarks, if any?	good
4.	Other remarks, if any:	nil
5.	Name of the District Judge:	Yashwant Kumar Mishra, JO CODE No-UP5442 29.06.2022

Annual remarks recorded by the Administrative Judge/Reviewing and Accepting Authority

Om Prakash-VII(HON'BLE JUDGE)

During the year officer has achieved 872.25 against the target of 633.04 units. She is reported to be punctual and is amenable to the advice of the District Judge. Her judgments, on facts and law, are reported to be well reasoned and expressed in good language. She is also reported to have made regular inspections of the Court and office. It is also reported by the District Judge that the officer commands good control over the office. Relations with the Bar and brother officers are cordial and good.

Office has reported that no Vigilance Enquiry/Disciplinary proceeding is pending against the officer concerned.

District Judge has rated her as "Good" officer and has certified her integrity.

Having regard to all the relevant aspects and the quality of the judgments and orders passed by the officer and also the fact that representation moved by the officer against the remarks given by the District Judge has been allowed, overall assessment of the merits of the officer, in my opinion is "Very Good".

Integrity Certified.

Overall assessment	Very Good
Integrity	Certified

Overall assessment upgraded as "Very good" vide order dated 22-07-2022 of Hon'ble Mr. Justice Om Prakash-VII, the then Administrative Judge, Bulandshahr.

Asis
21/11/2022
Registrar General