HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAI

APPLICATION FOR SELF ASSESSMENT

Case Id: A00000572022	Employee Id: 6123
3 MONTHS COMPLETION	
Whether 3 Months are complete for remarks of DISTRICT JUDGE?	Yes

		SELF ASSESSMENT FORM PART I
Self A	Assessment Period	01/04/2021 - 31/03/2022
Name	of the Officer	YADAVENDRA SINGH
Desig	nation	Spl. J. (SC/ST, Pev.of Atroci Act)
Date Servi	of Joining Service / Length of ce	24/12/2003 (18 Years 3 Months and 10 Days)
Place	of Posting	Bulandshahar
		Posting Details During Self Assessment Period
		1. Farrukhabad-Spl. J. (SC/ST, Pev.of Atroci Act)
Any	other charge held during the financial	A-Period From 01-04-2021 to 11-04-2021 as Spl.J.(SC/ST,POA Act) Farrukhabad Judgeship.
		1.Officer Incharge- Record-room
		2.Officer Incharge- Litigation.
		3.Officer Incharge- Medical reimbursement Claims.
		4.Nodal officer- National Lok Adalat.
		5.Chairman- Auction Committee.
		6.Chairman- A.C.P. of employees Committee.
		7.Chairman- House Allotment for employees Committee.
		8.Chairman- Purchase Committee.
		9.Chairman- Process Serving Committee for National Lok Adalat.
		10.Chairman- Promotion of employees Committee.
		11.Chairman- Committee for Amicus Curiae for year 2020-21.
		12.Chairman- District Computer Committee.
		13.Member-Grievances and Redressal Committee.
		14.Member-Grievances and Problems of employees Committee.
		15.Member-Monitoring of Loss of Papers Committee.
		16.Member-Monitoring of illegal Constructions of employees houses Committee.
		17.Member-Renewal of ADGC Committee.
		18. Chairman- Appointment of Bundle liffter Committee.
√alidit	y u nkn own	B-Period From 13-04-2021 to 31-03-2022 as Spl.J.(SC/ST (POA) Act) Bulandshahar Judgeship.

Digitally signed by ON PRAKASH Date: 2022.07/21 12/28:44 IST Reason: Document Owner Location: Allahabad High Court

1.	Brief description of duties	1- Performed Judicial work of own Court as well Other
		Courts as Incharge as and when assigned as details given
		in Serial no.09(Annexure - 02) of Self Assement Form Part-I
		2.Performed Administrative work as details given in Serial no. 07 of Self Assement Form Part-I.
		3-Performed Quarterly inspections of Court/Office as

		perdetails given in Serial no. 14 of Self Assement Form Part- I.(Annexure-3).	
2.	Norms set and achieved in respect of disposal of cases.		
	Target	Achievements	
	Minimum - 1200 Units per year with stenographer - as per Sl.no.11 General Instructions of Annexure - F of General Letter no,11/IV-h-14/2019 dated 05 March 2019 in accordance with Modified Unit System.	Target Achieved. Total- 1546.55 Units i.e. 128.88 % Units- work done in Assessment- Period as details given in Serial no. 16(Annexure - 04) of Self Assement Form Part-I.	
2A.	Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 5 years old.		
	Target	Achievements	
	Target was to decide short listed 100 oldest cases every quarter.as per Sl.no.09 of General Instructions given in Annexure - F of General Letter no,11/TV-h-14/2019 dated 05 March 2019.	Total -20 case of more than 5 years old decided as per file attached (Annexure -07). in adverse COVID-19 conditions.	
2A(i).	Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
	(Annexure-06) attached Total-168 cases were pending	Total -20 case of more than 5 years old decided as per file attached (Annexure -07). in adverse COVID-19 conditions.	
2A(ii).	Number of such matters disposed of during the year.		
	Target	Achievements	
	(Annexure-07) attached Total -168 cases were pending. Target was to decide short listed 100 oldest cases every quarter.	Total -20 case of more than 5 years old decided as per file attached (Annexure -07). in adverse COVID-19 conditions.	
2B.	Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 10 years old.		
	Target	Achievements	
	Target-Disposal of Minimum One Old case of more than 10 years per month was to be decided.	Total -05 old cases more than 10 years decided as per Annexure- 09.	
	Steps taken as follows-		
	 More than 10 years old cases were marked separately. Personal attention was paid to such cases. 		
	- short dates were fixed.		
	- Short dates were more.		
	- Some cases were fixed on day to day basis.		
	- Unnecessary adjournment were not accepted.		

2B(i).	Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
	Annexure- 08 attached. Total - 41 cases were pending .Target was to decide short listed 100 old est cases every quarter.	Total -05 old cases more than 10 years decided as per Annexure- 09	
2B(ii).	Number of such matters disposed of during the year.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
	Annexure-09 attached. Total- 41 cases were pending. Target was to decide short listed 100 oldest cases every quarter.	Total -05 old cases more than 10 years decided as per Annexure- 09.	
2C.	Steps taken to dispose of cases of persons with more tha	n 65 years of age.	
	Target	Achievements	
	No such cases were pending, Hence not applicable.	Not applicable	
2C(i).	Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the y	year.	
	Target	Achievements	
	NIL	Not applicable	
2C(ii).	Number of such matters disposed of during the year.		
	Target	Achievements	
	NIL	Not applicable	
3.	Please state briefly the shortfalls with reference to the targets / objectives referred to at S. No. 02 above. Please specify constraints, if any, in achieving the targets.		
	Target	Achievements	
	 Lock down imposed during April, May and June 2021 and Jan 2022 along with Feb. 2022. adverse COVID-19 conditions. Many occassions Court remained closed for senitization. Guidelines for maintaining social distancing restricted normal functioning of Court. Witnesses not turned up due to restricted access. 		
4.	Academic and professional achievements during the year, including degree(s) obtained and/or books/articles published.		
	Target	Achievements	
	NIL	NIL	
5.	Whether attended any workshop, course, programme, etc., organized by Judicial Academy and/or any other organization during the period in question? If so, give details.		
	Target	Achievements	
	Actively participated as Nodal Officer, in Fourth Round	Attained more clearty on Topics included in Webinar	

	Training programme by way of Webinar conducted JTRI Lucknow on 09-10-22.	by	
6.	Whether visited Judicial Academy as Faculty Member. If so, give details about the nature of lecture(s) given/topic(s) discussed.		
	Target	Achievements	

Applying Date		
Date	03/04/2022	

01 (a).	Integrity of the Officer- whether beyond doubt, doubtful or positively lacking	Beyond Doubt
	Note- If the officer's integrity is doubtful or positively lamaterial.	cking, it may be so stated with all relevant fact, reason(s) & supporting
01 (b).	If he is fair and impartial in dealing with the public and Bar?	Yes
01 (с).	If he is cool minded and does not lose temper in court.	Yes
01 (d).	His private character is such as to lower him in the estimation of the public and adversely affects the discharge of his official duties?	No
1 (e).	CONTROL OVER THE FILES IN THE MATTER OF:	
01 (e)(i)(a).	Proper fixation of cause list:	Good
01 (e)(i)(b).	Whether sufficient number of cases are fixed by him to keep him engaged during full court full court hours?	Yes
01 (e)(ii).	Avoidance of unnecessary adjournments:	The Officer avoids unnecessary adjournments.
01 (e)(iii).	Disposal of old cases(Give number and year of old cases decided):	Criminal Disposal 2007-01 2008-01 2009-01 2010-01 2011-01 2013-01 2014-03 2015-01 2016-10
01 (e)(iv).	Progress and disposal of execution cases:	not applied
01 (e)(v).	Whether interim order, injunction being granted, refused or retained for sufficient reasons?	Yes

01 (e)(vi).	Are cases remanded on substantial grounds?	Not Applicable
01 (e)(vii).	Performance with regard to decision of Motor Accident Claims related to death / injury	· NA
01 (f).	Whether Judgment on facts and on law are on the whole sound, well-reasoned and expressed in good language?:	Yes
	Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in	filling up this column:
01 (f)(i).	Marshalling of facts;	Good
01 (f)(ii).	Appreciation of evidences;	Good
01 (f)(iii).	Application of law.	Good
01 (g).	Whether disposal of work is adequate. (Give percentage and reasons for short disposal, if any)	Adequate
	Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in	filling up this column:
01 (g)(i).	Number of cases decided after actual full contest;	24
01 (g)(ii).	Number of cases decided wherein all witnesses of fact turned hostile and the case ended in acquittal.	02
01 (g)(iii).	Number of civil cases decided on compromises / alternate dispute resolution.	Nil
01 (g)(iv).	Number of cases wherein after conclusion of arguments and reserving them for judgment, rehearing was ordered.	Nil
01 (h).	Control over the Office and Administrative capacity and tact:	Good
01 (i).	Relations with members of the Bar(mention incidents, if any):	Good
01 (j).	Behavior in relation to brother Officers(mention incidents, if any):	Cordial
01 (k).	Whether the officer has made regular inspections of his court and offices in his charge and whether such inspections were full and effective?	Yes
01 (1).	His punctuality and regularity in sitting on the dais in court during court hours?	Good
01 (m).	Whether amenable to the advice of the District Judge and other superior officers?	Yes
01 (n).	Behaviour towards women(respect and sensitivity exhibited towards them)	Exhibit respect and sensitivity towards women
2.	Over all assessment of the merit of the officer(Outstanding, Very Good, Good, Average, Poor)	"Very Good"
3.	State of Health, with remarks, if any?	Good
4.	Other remarks, if any:	Nil
5.	Name of the District Judge:	YASHWANT KUMAR MISHRA(UP5442) 29.06.2022

Annual remarks recorded by the Administrative Judge/Reviewing and Accepting Authority

Om Prakash-VII(HON'BLE JUDGE)

Out turn of the officer was more than the target prescribed. He is also reported to have disposed of 15 cases in Lok Adalat. Officer is also reported to be Chairman, Nodal Officer and Members of certain committees. He is reported to be punctual and is amenable to the advice of the District Judge. His judgments, on facts and law, are reported to be well reasoned and expressed in good language. He is also reported to have made regular inspections of the Court and office. It is also reported by the District Judge that the officer commands good control over the office. Relations with the Bar and brother officers are cordial and good.

Office has reported that no Vigilance Enquiry/Disciplinary proceeding is pending against the officer concerned.

District Judge has rated him as "Good" officer and has certified his integrity.

Having regard to all the relevant aspects and the quality of the judgments and orders passed by the officer and also the fact that representation moved by the officer against the remarks given by the District Judge has been allowed, overall assessment of the merits of the officer, in my opinion is "Very Good".

Integrity Certified.

Overall assessment	Very Good
Integrity	Certified

Vide order dated 22.07.2022 of Hon'ble Mr. Justice Om Prakash-VII, the then Administrative Judge, Bulandshahr, the Overall assessment upgraded as "Very Good".

Registrar General