

APPLICATION FOR SELF ASSESSMENT

Case Id : A00003822022	Employee Id : 2281
3 MONTHS COMPLETION	
Whether 3 Months are complete for remarks of DISTRICT JUDGE?	Yes

SELF ASSESSMENT FORM PART I

1.	Self Assessment Period	01/04/2021 - 31/03/2022	
2.	Name of the Officer	SUVRAT PATHAK	
3.	Designation	Addl. Chief Judicial Magistrate	
4.	Date of Joining Service / Length of Service	07/02/2017 (5 Years 2 Months and 2 Days)	
5.	Place of Posting	Firozabad Posting Details During Self Assessment Period 1. Lucknow-Spl. Judicial Magistrate (C.B.I.) 2. Firozabad-Civil Judge (Senior Div.) 3. Firozabad-Secretary(District Legal Services Authority) 4. Shikohabad-Firozabad-Addl. Chief Judicial Magistrate	
6.	Any other charge held during the financial year	Nil	
7.	Year wise break up of cases	kindky see annexure	Attachment Available
8.	Courts held during the financial year	1- Special Judicial Magistrate C.B.I. ,Lucknow From (01-01-2021 To 12-04-2021) , 2- Civil Judge (Sr.div) F.T.C Firozabad , From (13-04-2021 To 23-05-2021) , 3- Sectery To D.L.S.A Firozabad, From (25-06-2021 To 04-08-2021), 4- Addl. Chief Judicial magistrate, Shikohabad, Firozabad (From 05-08-2021 to 31-03-2022)	
9.	In how many cases have you framed the issues	Nil	
10.	In how many cases have you framed the charge	103	
11.	Number of cases in which Judgment not delivered within 15 days of conclusions of argument	Nil	
12.	Percentage of appeals remanded by the officer	Nil	
13.	Inspections	kindly see annexure	Attachment Available
14.	Remarks if any		
15.	Details of the works by the officer	kindly see annexure	Attachment Available
16.	Performance in Lok Adalat	1.- One National Lok Adalat attended as Secretary DLSA Firozabad.	

Validity unknown

Digitally signed by ALOK MATHUR
Date: 2023.01.19 17:00:46 IST
Reason: Document Owner
Location: Allahabad High Court

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

2.- Three National Lok Adalat attended as ACJM, Shikohabad, Firozabad and total 2247 cases Decided in Three National Lok Adalat.

SELF ASSESSMENT FORM PART II

1.	Brief description of duties	1- Special Judicial Magistrate C.B.I Court Lucknow From (01-01-2021 To 12-04-2021) 2- Civil Judge (Sr.div) F.T.C Firozabad From (13-04-2021 To 23-05-2021) 3- Sectery, D.L.S.A Firozabad From (01-04-2021 to 04-08-2021) 4- Addl. Chief Judicial Magistrate Shikohabad ,Firozabad From (05-08-2021 to 31-03-2022)	
2.	Norms set and achieved in respect of disposal of cases.		
	Target	Achievements	
	Hon,ble Court has set the target of 1200 units to be achieved by everyone.	I have successfully achieved the target fixed by the Hon'ble Court and Achieved 1829.44 units in this financial year.	
2A.	Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 5 years old.		
	Target	Achievements	
	Fixed target to decide 5 years old cases on priority basis.	I have made best effort for early disposal of cases by fixing short dates and made special efforts for calling Evidence	
2A(i).	Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
	11590	136	
2A(ii).	Number of such matters disposed of during the year.		
	Target	Achievements	
	11590	136	
2B.	Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 10 years old.		
	Target	Achievements	
	Fixed target to decide 10 years old cases on priority basis.	I have made best effort for early disposal of cases by fixing short dates and made special efforts for calling Evidence	
2B(i).	Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	

	4226	50	
2B(ii).	Number of such matters disposed of during the year.		
	Target	Achievements	
	4226	50	
2C.	Steps taken to dispose of cases of persons with more than 65 years of age.		
	Target	Achievements	
	Fixed target to decide cases of persons with more than 65 years of age on priority basis.	I have made best effort for early disposal of cases by fixing short dates.	
2C(i).	Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
	75	disposed 49 cases	
2C(ii).	Number of such matters disposed of during the year.		
	Target	Achievements	
	49 cases disposed	49 cases disposed	
3.	Please state briefly the shortfalls with reference to the targets / objectives referred to at S. No. 02 above. Please specify constraints, if any, in achieving the targets.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
4.	Academic and professional achievements during the year, including degree(s) obtained and/or books/articles published.		
	Target	Achievements	
5.	Whether attended any workshop, course, programme, etc., organized by Judicial Academy and/or any other organization during the period in question? If so, give details.		
	Target	Achievements	
	Fixed by Hon'ble Court.	1. One day Online Sensitization Programme on "Timely Justice & Protection of Dignity of Woman appearing in the Courts in Different Capacities like Victims, Petitioner and Accused" organised by JTRI, Lucknow on 01-08-2021. 2. "Fourth Round of Training by way of Workshops, Refresher and Orientation Courses" organised by JTRI, Lucknow on 14-08-2021.	
6.	Whether visited Judicial Academy as Faculty Member. If so, give details about the nature of lecture(s) given/topic(s) discussed.		
	Target	Achievements	
Applying Date			
Date	09/04/2022		

01-Remarks given by the District Judge regarding:

01 (a).	Integrity of the Officer- whether beyond doubt, doubtful or positively lacking	Beyond doubt.
	Note- If the officer's integrity is doubtful or positively lacking, it may be so stated with all relevant fact, reason(s) & supporting material.	
01 (b).	If he is fair and impartial in dealing with the public and Bar?	The Officer is fair in dealing with the public and bar.
01 (c).	If he is cool minded and does not lose temper in court.	The Officer is cool minded and does not lose temper in Court.
01 (d).	His private character is such as to lower him in the estimation of the public and adversely affects the discharge of his official duties?	Private character of the Officer is not such as to lower him in the estimation of the public and adversely affects the discharge of his official duties.
1 (e).	CONTROL OVER THE FILES IN THE MATTER OF:	
01 (e)(i)(a).	Proper fixation of cause list:	Proper.
01 (e)(i)(b).	Whether sufficient number of cases are fixed by him to keep him engaged during full court full court hours?	The Officer has fixed sufficient number of cases to keep himself engaged during full Court hours.
01 (e)(ii).	Avoidance of unnecessary adjournments:	The Officer has avoided unnecessary adjournments.
01 (e)(iii).	Disposal of old cases(Give number and year of old cases decided):	<p>Old cases decided by the Officer:</p> <p>(i) Criminal Cases: 92 cases, out of them 02 cases of 1989, 01 case of 1991, 02 cases of 1992, 01 case of 1993, 02 cases of 1994, 02 cases of 1995, 01 case of 1997, 02 cases of 1998, 02 cases of 1999, 01 case of 2000, 01 case of 2002, 01 case of 2004, 03 cases of 2005, 03 cases of 2006, 03 cases of 2007, 03 cases of 2008, 04 cases of 2009, 08 cases of 2010, 02 cases of 2011, 03 cases of 2012, 05 cases of 2014, 15 cases of 2015, 20 cases of 2016.</p> <p>(ii) Complaint cases: 08 cases, out of them 01 case of 2008, 02 cases of 2012, 01 case of 2013, 04 cases of 2016</p>
01 (e)(iv).	Progress and disposal of execution cases:	No execution case is pending.
01 (e)(v).	Whether interim order, injunction being granted, refused or retained for sufficient reasons?	Yes
01 (e)(vi).	Are cases remanded on substantial grounds?	No case is shown to have been remanded by the Officer
01 (e)(vii).	Performance with regard to decision of Motor Accident Claims related to death / injury	Nil.
01 (f).	Whether Judgment on facts and on law are on the whole sound, well-reasoned and expressed in good language?:	Average
	Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in filling up this column:	
01 (f)(i).	Marshalling of facts;	Average
01 (f)(ii).	Appreciation of evidences;	Average
01 (f)(iii).	Application of law.	Average
01 (g).	Whether disposal of work is adequate.(Give percentage and reasons for short disposal, if any)	Adequate, 1829.44 Units
	Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in filling up this column:	
01 (g)(i).	Number of cases decided after actual full contest;	41 full contested cases have been decided by the Officer.
01 (g)(ii).	Number of cases decided wherein all witnesses of fact turned hostile and the case ended in acquittal.	3185 cases
01 (g)(iii).	Number of civil cases decided on compromises /	Nil

	alternate dispute resolution.	
01 (g)(iv).	Number of cases wherein after conclusion of arguments and reserving them for judgment, rehearing was ordered.	Nil
01 (h).	Control over the Office and Administrative capacity and tact:	The Officer has good control over the office and owns good administrative capacity.
01 (i).	Relations with members of the Bar(mention incidents, if any):	Cordial.
01 (j).	Behavior in relation to brother Officers(mention incidents, if any):	Proper and accommodating. Nothing adverse has been heard against the behavior of the Officer.
01 (k).	Whether the officer has made regular inspections of his court and offices in his charge and whether such inspections were full and effective?	The Officer has made regular inspections of his Court and Offices in his charge and inspections are full and effective.
01 (l).	His punctuality and regularity in sitting on the dais in court during court hours?	The Officer is punctual and regular in sitting on the dais in Court during court hours.
01 (m).	Whether amenable to the advice of the District Judge and other superior officers?	Yes.
01 (n).	Behaviour towards women(respect and sensitivity exhibited towards them)	Decent.
2.	Over all assessment of the merit of the officer(Outstanding, Very Good, Good, Average, Poor)	'Very Good' <i>Asim</i> <i>20/21/2023</i>
3.	State of Health, with remarks, if any?	Sound.
4.	Other remarks, if any:	Some improvement is required in Judgment writing.
5.	Name of the District Judge:	Sanjeev Fauzdar (UP6520) 28.05.2022

Annual remarks recorded by the Administrative Judge/Reviewing and Accepting Authority

Alok Mathur(HON'BLE JUDGE)

Considered the representation of the officer concerned and other remarks of the District Judge.

2. It is found that the disposal of the cases of the officer is much higher than the target fixed.
3. A perusal of some of the orders passed by the officer indicates that they are legally sound and have been expressed in good and impressive language.
4. The officer has decided very good number of old as well as contested cases.
5. Even on other criteria the remarks of the District Judge are very positive.
6. In my view the officer deserves to be assessed as very good.

Overall assessment	Very Good
Integrity	Certified

Vide Court remarks dated 19.01.2023 recorded by Hon'ble Mr. Justice Alok Mathur, the then Administrative Judge, Ferozabad, the overall assessment of merit of the officer upgraded as 'Very Good'.

Asim
20/21/2023
Registrar General