HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

APPLICATION FOR SELF ASSESSMENT

Case Id : A00044292022	
3 MONTHS COMPLETION	
Whether 3 Months are complete for remarks of DISTRICT JUDGE?	Yes

		SELF ASSESSMENT FORM PART I	
ι.	Self Assessment Period	01/04/2021 - 31/03/2022	
2.	Name of the Officer	SHOBIT BANSAL	
3.	Designation	Addl. Civil Judge (Sr.Div.)/ACJM.	
4.	Date of Joining Service / Length of Service	07/02/2017 (5 Years 2 Months and 15 Days)	
5.	Place of Posting	Posting Details During Self Assessment Period 1. Baraut-Baghpat-Nyayadhikari, Gram Nyayalaya 2. Bijnor-Addl. Civil Judge (Sr.Div.)/ACJM.	
6.	Any other charge held during the financial year	1.NYAYADHIKARI, GRAM NYAYALAYA TEHSIL BARAUT DISTRICT BAGHPAT (01/04/2021 TO FORENOON 12/04/2021) 2. PRINCIPAL MAGISTRATE, JUVENILE JUSTICE BOARD, DISTRICT BIJNOR (20/04/2021 TO TILL PRESENT) 3. JUDGE MEDIATOR	
7.	Year wise break up of cases	PERFORMA ATTACHED	Attachment Available
8.	Courts held during the financial year	1. NYAYADHIKARI, GRAM NYAYALAYA TEHSIL BARAUT DISTRICT BAGHPAT (FROM 01/04/2021 TO 12/04/2021 FORENOON). 2. ADDITIONAL CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, COURT NO. 2 DISTRICT BIJNOR (FROM 12/04/2021 AFTERNOON TO TILL PRESENT). 3. PRINCIPAL MAGISTRATE, JUVENILE JUSTICE BOARD, DISTRICT BIJNOR (FROM 20/04/2021 TO TILL PRESENT).	
9.	In how many cases have you framed the issues	NIL(AS NO CIVIL WORK ASSIGNED DURING FINANCIAL YEAR 2021-22)	
10.	In how many cases have you framed the charge	79 SUBSTANCE OF ACCUSATION HAS BEEN RECORDED AS PER PROVISION ONLY SUMMON TRAIL&SUMMARY TRAIL PROCEDURE IS BEING ADOPTED IN JUVENILE JUSTICE BOARD.	
11.	Number of cases in which Judgment not delivered within 15 days of conclusions of argument	NIL	
12.	Percentage of appeals remanded by the officer	NOT APPLICABLE	
13.	. Inspections	PERFORMA ATTACHED	Attachment Available
14	. Remarks if any	IN COMPLIANCE OF LETTER NO. 4776/JJC/2021 DATED 13/07/2021 OF HONBLE ALLAHABAD HIGH COURT, UNDERSIGNED IS BEING SITTING IN JUVENILE JUSTICE BOARD, BIJNOR ON ALL WORKING DAYS TILL THE TIME PENDENCY OF ENQUIRES OF JUVENILE JUSTICE BOARD IS REDUCED TO 300.	

Digitally signed by SDDHARTH Date: 2022.1 3 16:00:28 IST Reason: Doctinent Owner

15.	Details of the works by the officer	PERFORMA ATTACHED	Attachment Available
16.	Performance in Lok Adalat	TOTAL CASES DECIDED IN LOK ADALAT - 319 CASES.	

	SELF ASSESSME	NT FORM PART II
]		1. NYAYADHIKARI, GRAM NYAYALAYA TEHSIL BARAUT DISTRICT BAGHPAT (FROM 01/04/2021 TO 12/04/2021 FORENOON). 2. ADDITIONAL CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, COURT NO. 2 DISTRICT BIJNOR (FROM 12/04/2021 AFTERNOON TO TILL PRESENT). 3. PRINCIPAL MAGISTRATE, JUVENILE JUSTICE BOARD, DISTRICT BIJNOR (FROM 20/04/2021 TO TILL PRESENT).
	Norms set and achieved in respect of disposal of cases.	
Ì	Target	Achievements
	TOTAL PENDENCIES OF CASES WERE TARGETED.FOCUS HAS BEEN ON OLDEST CASE ACCORDING TO ACTION PLAN DATED 16/09/2017 OF HON'BLE HIGH COURT. KEEPING IN VIEW, THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC UNDERSIGNED TRIED TO DISPOSE AS MUCH CASES AS I CAN BY FOLLOWING THE GUIDELINES OF HON'BLE HIGH COURT FOR SUCH PANDEMIC CONDITION. TARGET-630 UNITS	I HAVE MADE EFFICACIOUS EFFORTS FOR DISPOSAL OF THE CASES BY MAINTAINING CHECK AND BALANCE ON PRESENT PANDEMIC SITUATION AND DISPENSATION OF JUSTICE BY FOLLOWING THE GUIDELINES OF HON'BLE HIGH COURT FOR COVID-19 PANDEMIC AND SPEED UP DISPOSAL OF OLD PENDENCY BY FOLLOWING THE ACTION PLAN DATED 16/09/2017 OF HON'BLE HIGH COURT. ACHIEVEMENTS-1041 UNITS
	Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more tha	n 5 years old.
	Target	Achievements
	1. SHORT DATES WERE FIXED AND NO UNNECESSARY ADJOURNMENT DONE UNLESS THERE IS EXCEPTIONAL SITUATION. 2. OLD FILES WERE TAKEN UP ON PRIORITY BASIS. 3. PROCESS AND OTHER SERVICE DONE URGENTLY KEEPING IN VIEW THE ACTION PLAN OF HON'BLE HIGH COURT DATED 16.09.2017 AND BY FOLLOWING THE GUIDELINES OF HON'BLE HIGH COURT FOR COVID-19 PANDEMIC ETC.	CHECK-POINTS MENTION IN THE TARGET WERE TRIED FOR DISPOSAL OF CASES.

2C.	Steps taken to dispose of cases of persons with more that	an 65 years of age.	
	TARGET - 338 CASES	ACHIEVEMENT- 12 CASES	
	Target	Achievements	
2B(ii)	. Number of such matters disposed of during the year.		
	TARGET - 338 CASES	ACHIEVEMENT- 12 CASES	
	Target	Achievements	
2B(i).	Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.		
	1. SHORT DATES WERE FIXED AND NO UNNECESSARY ADJOURNMENT DONE UNLESS THERE IS EXCEPTIONA SITUATION. 2. OLD FILES WERE TAKEN UP ON PRIORITY BASIS. 3. PROCESS AND OTHER SERVICE DONE URGENTLY KEEPING IN VIEW THE ACTION PLAN OF HON'BLE HIGH COURT DATED 16.09.2017 AND BY FOLLOWING THE GUIDELINES OF HON'BLE HIGH COURT FOR COVID-19 PANDEMIC ETC. 4. TAKEN UP THOSE CASES IN EARLY MORNING TIME IN THE COURT SITTING. 5. SPECIAL MARKING ON FILES DONE REGARDING 10 YEAR OLD CASES ETC.	CHECK-POINTS MENTION IN THE TARGET WERE TRIEDL FOR MAXIMUM DISPOSAL OF OLD CASES.	
	Target	Achievements	
2B.	Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more tha	n 10 years old.	
	TARGET- 629 CASES	ACHIEVEMENTS- 30 CASES	
	Target	Achievements	
2A(ii).	Number of such matters disposed of during the year.		
	TARGET- 629 CASES	ACHIEVEMENTS- 30 CASES	
	Target	Achievements	
2A(i).	Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.		
	4. TAKEN UP THOSE CASES IN EARLY MORNING TIME IN THE COURT SITTING. 5. SPECIAL MARKING ON FILES DONE REGARDING 5 YEAR OLD CASES ETC.		

Target

Achievements

	NO FILE PENDING RELATED TO PERSONS WITH		
	MORE THAN 65 YEAR OF AGE AS UNDERSIGNED IS BEING		
	SITTING IN JUVENILE JUSTICE BOARD, BIJNOR ON ALL		
	WORKING DAYS TILL THE TIME PENDENCY OF ENQUIRES OF JUVENILE JUSTICE BOARD IS REDUCED TO 300.		
2C(i).	Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year	ear.	
20(1).	Target	Achievements	
	N/A	N/A	
2C(ii).	Number of such matters disposed of during the year.		
)	Target	Achievements	
	N/A	N/A	
3.	Please state briefly the shortfalls with reference to the ta specify constraints, if any, in achieving the targets.	argets / objectives referred to at S. No. 02 above. Please	Attachmer Available
1	Target	Achievements	
3. 4.	Academic and professional achievements during the year published.	nr, including degree(s) obtained and/or books/articles	
(Target	Achievements	
5.	Whether attended any workshop, course, programme, organization during the period in question? If so, give d	etc., organized by Judicial Academy and/or any other letails.	
1	Target	Achievements	
6.		 Online Training On "Court Management" on dated 27/06/2021. One day sensitization webinar on "timely justice &protection of dignity of women appearing in the courts in different capacities like victims, witnesses, petitioner and accused" on dated 01/08/2021. 	
		 3.Fourth Round Cluster Training by way of workshops, refresher and orientation courses on dated 26/09/2021. 4.Online Orientation and sensitization programme on "Sociological and legal Aaspect of PCPNDT act,1994 and The Sexual harrssment of women at workplace 	
5		(Prevention, Prohibition and Redressal) act,2013 on dated 06/02/2022.	
) 6.	Whether visited Judicial Academy as Faculty Member given/topic(s) discussed.	. If so, give details about the nature of lecture(s)	
=	Target	Achievements	
.)			

	Applying Date
Date	22/04/2022

1 (a).	Integrity of the Officer- whether beyond doubt,	Beyond doubt
	doubtful or positively lacking	
	Note- If the officer's integrity is doubtful or positively lac material.	cking, it may be so stated with all relevant fact, reason(s) & supporting
)1 (b).	If he is fair and impartial in dealing with the public and Bar?	YES
)1 (c).	If he is cool minded and does not lose temper in court.	Yes
01 (d).	His private character is such as to lower him in the estimation of the public and adversely affects the discharge of his official duties?	Not applicable
l (e).	CONTROL OVER THE FILES IN THE MATTER OF:	
01 (e)(i)(a).	Proper fixation of cause list:	Yes
01 (e)(i)(b).	Whether sufficient number of cases are fixed by him to keep him engaged during full court full court hours?	Yes
01 (e)(ii).	Avoidance of unnecessary adjournments:	Yes
01 (e)(iii).	Disposal of old cases(Give number and year of old cases decided):	Officer has shown to have decided 24 old cases as per data submitted by officer.
01 (e)(iv).	Progress and disposal of execution cases:	Not applicable
01 (e)(v).	Whether interim order, injunction being granted, refused or retained for sufficient reasons?	Not applicable
01 (e)(vi).	Are cases remanded on substantial grounds?	Not applicable
01 (e)(vii).	Performance with regard to decision of Motor Accident Claims related to death / injury	Not applicable
01 (f).	Whether Judgment on facts and on law are on the whole sound, well-reasoned and expressed in good language?:	Yes
	Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in	filling up this column:
01 (f)(i).	Marshalling of facts;	Good
01 (f)(ii).	Appreciation of evidences;	Good
01 (f)(iii).	Application of law.	Good
01 (g).	Whether disposal of work is adequate.(Give percentage and reasons for short disposal, if any)	Officer has shown to have done 165.25% work which is adequate.
	Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in	filling up this column:
01 (g)(i).	Number of cases decided after actual full contest;	10
01 (g)(ii).	Number of cases decided wherein all witnesses of fact turned hostile and the case ended in acquittal.	EXPUNGED And
01 (g)(iii).	Number of civil cases decided on compromises / alternate dispute resolution.	Nil
01 (g)(iv).	Number of cases wherein after conclusion of arguments and reserving them for judgment, rehearing was	Nil

01 (h).	Control over the Office and Administrative capacity and tact:	Expunged Assures
01 (i).	Relations with members of the Bar(mention incidents, if any):	Good
01 (j).	Behavior in relation to brother Officers(mention incidents, if any):	Good
01 (k).	Whether the officer has made regular inspections of his court and offices in his charge and whether such inspections were full and effective?	Yes
01 (1).	His punctuality and regularity in sitting on the dais in court during court hours?	Puntual
01 (m).	Whether amenable to the advice of the District Judge and other superior officers?	Yes
01 (n).	Behaviour towards women(respect and sensitivity exhibited towards them)	Good
2.	Over all assessment of the merit of the officer(Outstanding, Very Good, Good, Average, Poor)	Good
3.	State of Health, with remarks, if any?	Sound
[4. [Other remarks, if any:	Nil
1	Name of the District Judge:	Atul Kumar Gupta (J.O. CODE UP1914) 30.04.2022

Annual remarks recorded by the Administrative Judge/Reviewing and Accepting Authority

Siddharth(HON'BLE JUDGE)

During the period under scrutiny, the officer has achieved 165.25% units. Thus the turn out of the officer is adequate. District Judge has certified the integrity of the officer Beyond Doubt. Relations of the officer with the bar and brother officers is cordial. In the year under scrutiny, he has made regular effective inspection of his Court and offices under his charge. He is punctual officer. Officer is amenable to the advice of the District Judge. The officer commands good control over the office. The District Judge has rated him as a Good Officer. I do not find any reason to differ with the assessment of the District Judge.

The Officer is therefore rated as a "Good" officer.

Integrity certified.

Overall assessment	Good
Integrity	Certified

Vide order dated nil of Hon'ble Mr. Justice Siddharth, the then Administrative Judge, Bijnor, remarks in col. nos. 1(g)(ii) & 1(h) expunged.

Registrar General