

# APPLICATION FOR SELF ASSESSMENT

Case Id : A00033532022	Employee Id : 5894
<b>3 MONTHS COMPLETION</b>	
Whether 3 Months are complete for remarks of DISTRICT JUDGE?	No

## SELF ASSESSMENT FORM PART I

1.	Self Assessment Period	01/04/2021 - 31/03/2022	
2.	Name of the Officer	VISHAMBHAR PRASAD	
3.	Designation	Addl. District & Sessions Judge	
4.	Date of Joining Service / Length of Service	06/08/1999 (22 Years 8 Months and 5 Days)	
5.	Place of Posting	Kannauj  <b>Posting Details During Self Assessment Period</b>  1. Maharajganj-Addl. District & Sessions Judge 2. Kannauj-Addl. District & Sessions Judge	
6.	Any other charge held during the financial year	1- Officer incharge of administration. 2- Central Public Information officer. 3- Chairman administrative committee. 4- Chairman verification and suitability committee. 5- Member of selecting authority committee. 6- Vigilance officer of kannauj judgship. 7- Chairman of contract and auction committee.	
7.	Year wise break up of cases	File Attached	Attachment Available
8.	Courts held during the financial year	File Attached	Attachment Available
9.	In how many cases have you framed the issues	4	
10.	In how many cases have you framed the charge	16	
11.	Number of cases in which Judgment not delivered within 15 days of conclusions of argument	0	
12.	Percentage of appeals remanded by the officer	0	
13.	Inspections	File Attached	Attachment Available
14.	Remarks if any	NO	
15.	Details of the works by the officer	File Attached	Attachment Available

Validity unknown

Digitally signed by MOHAMMAD FAIZALAM KHAN  
Date: 2023.02.23 11:16:09 IST  
Reason: Document Owner  
Location: Allahabad Dist Court

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

16.	Performance in Lok Adalat	1- 60 civil Missc decided. 2- 27 M.A.C.P decided.	
-----	---------------------------	------------------------------------------------------	--

**SELF ASSESSMENT FORM PART II**

1.	<b>Brief description of duties</b>	1- Officer incharge of administration. 2- Central Public Information officer. 3- Chairman administrative committee. 4- Chairman verification and suitability committee. 5- Member of selecting authority committee. 6- Vigilance officer of Kannauj judgeship. 7- Chairman of contract and auction committee.	
2.	<b>Norms set and achieved in respect of disposal of cases.</b>		
	<b>Target</b>	<b>Achievements</b>	
	Norms set (In units) during the assessment year =1200 units  Days Excluded (In the light of Hon'ble high Court letter No. 11/IV 4/4/2019 ) dated 05/03/2019 during the assessment year = 536.84 units  Actual units required =663.16 Units	Total Units achieved during the assessment year =1069.81 units  Percentage 161.32 %	
2A.	<b>Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 5 years old.</b>		Attachment Available
	<b>Target</b>	<b>Achievements</b>	
	a- All cases which are more than 5 years old age are being tried expeditiously. b- These case are short listed and marked as Old. Short dates are being fixed in such cases. C-Each and every case among such cases are taken up in court and appropriate order passed. d- Summons/Warrants or other required processes are issued on each date in every case and send to SSP/SHO concerned to make served on witness or accused, as case may be, with the letter or copy or order sheet. e- No unnecessary adjournments granted by me.	a- All such cases have come in running status except stayed cases. B-Some cases of this category were disposed of. c- In some cases, evidence recorded and some case reached upto stage of final hearing. d- In some cases absconding accused surrendered before court or arrested by police.	
2A(i).	<b>Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.</b>		Attachment Available
	<b>Target</b>	<b>Achievements</b>	
	72 Criminal cases and 171 civil cases Pending	8 Criminal cases decided  30 civil cases decided	

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

	Total=243 Cases Pending	Total= 38 Cases	
<b>2A(ii).</b>	<b>Number of such matters disposed of during the year.</b>		Attachment Available
	<b>Target</b>	<b>Achievements</b>	
	Target of 243 cases more than 5 year old	Total achievement 38 five year old cases decided.	
<b>2B.</b>	<b>Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 10 years old.</b>		
	<b>Target</b>	<b>Achievements</b>	
	<p>a- All cases which are more than 10 years old age are being tried expeditiously.</p> <p>b- These case are short listed and marked as Old. Short dates are being fixed in such cases.</p> <p>c-Each and every case among such cases are taken up in court and appropriate order passed.</p> <p>d- Summons/Warrants or other required processes are issued on each date in every case and send to SSP/SHO concerned to make served on witness or accused, as case may be, with the letter or copy or order sheet.</p> <p>e- No unnecessary adjournments granted by me.</p>	<p>a- All such cases have come in running status except stayed cases.</p> <p>b-Some cases of this category were disposed of.</p> <p>c- In some cases, evidence recorded and some case reached upto stage of final hearing.</p> <p>d- In some cases absconding accused surrendered before court or arrested by police.</p>	
<b>2B(i).</b>	<b>Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.</b>		Attachment Available
	<b>Target</b>	<b>Achievements</b>	
	The target was to disposed of maximum number of old cases as per the directions of Hon'ble Court.	<p>a- All such cases have come in running status except stayed cases.</p> <p>b- Some cases of this category were disposed of.</p> <p>c- In some cases, evidence recorded and some case reached up to stage of final hearing.</p> <p>d- In some cases absconding accused surrendered before court or arrested by police.</p>	
<b>2B(ii).</b>	<b>Number of such matters disposed of during the year.</b>		Attachment Available
	<b>Target</b>	<b>Achievements</b>	
	<p>Pendency of Criminal cases = 2</p> <p>Pendency of Civil cases = 26</p> <p>Total = 28</p>	<p>Criminal cases decided ..... NIL</p> <p>Civil cases decided .....6</p> <p>Total = 6</p>	
<b>2C.</b>	<b>Steps taken to dispose of cases of persons with more than 65 years of age.</b>		
	<b>Target</b>	<b>Achievements</b>	
	<p>a- Cases relating to the persons more than 65 years of age are taken up on the priority basis.</p> <p>b- All adequate and proper steps were taken up to dispose of these cases on priority basis.</p>	Tries to dispose of cases performing to 65 years old persons on the priority basis.	

	c- No unnecessary adjournments granted by me.		
2C(i).	<b>Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.</b>		Attachment Available
	<b>Target</b>	<b>Achievements</b>	
	The target was to dispose of maximum number of cases of this category as per the direction of Hon'ble Court.	a. All Such cases come running status except stayed cases. b. some cases of this category disposed of. c. In some cases evidence recorded and some cases reached up to stage of final hearing. d. In some cases absconding accused surrendered before court or arrested by police.	
2C(ii).	<b>Number of such matters disposed of during the year.</b>		Attachment Available
	<b>Target</b>	<b>Achievements</b>	
	Civil cases =8 Criminal case=1 Total =9	Civil cases decided =8 Criminal case decided =1 Total =9	
3.	<b>Please state briefly the shortfalls with reference to the targets / objectives referred to at S. No. 02 above. Please specify constraints, if any, in achieving the targets.</b>		
	<b>Target</b>	<b>Achievements</b>	
	5 Years old Cases =243 10 Years old Cases =28 Total units Required =663.16	5 Years old cases decided =38 10 Years old Cases decided =6 Total Achieved units =1069.81 Percentage= 161.32 %	
4.	<b>Academic and professional achievements during the year, including degree(s) obtained and/or books/articles published.</b>		
	<b>Target</b>	<b>Achievements</b>	
	NO	NO	
5.	<b>Whether attended any workshop, course, programme, etc., organized by Judicial Academy and/or any other organization during the period in question? If so, give details.</b>		
	<b>Target</b>	<b>Achievements</b>	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Fourth Round of Judicial Training by Way of Workshops, Refreshers and Orientation Courses</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Fourth Round of Judicial Training by Way of Workshops, Refreshers and Orientation Courses</li> </ul>	
6.	<b>Whether visited Judicial Academy as Faculty Member. If so, give details about the nature of lecture(s) given/topic(s) discussed.</b>		
	<b>Target</b>	<b>Achievements</b>	
	NO	NO	

## Applying Date

ie

11/04/2022

**01-Remarks given by the District Judge regarding:**

<b>01 (a).</b>	Integrity of the Officer- whether beyond doubt, doubtful or positively lacking	beyond doubtful
	Note- If the officer's integrity is doubtful or positively lacking, it may be so stated with all relevant fact, reason(s) & supporting material.	
<b>01 (b).</b>	If he is fair and impartial in dealing with the public and Bar?	Yes, he is fair and impartial in dealing with public and bar.
<b>01 (c).</b>	If he is cool minded and does not lose temper in court.	Yes. He is cool minded
<b>01 (d).</b>	His private character is such as to lower him in the estimation of the public and adversely affects the discharge of his official duties?	He holds good private character.
<b>1 (e).</b>	<b>CONTROL OVER THE FILES IN THE MATTER OF:</b>	
<b>01 (e)(i)(a).</b>	Proper fixation of cause list:	Proper
<b>01 (e)(i)(b).</b>	Whether sufficient number of cases are fixed by him to keep him engaged during full court full court hours?	Yes
<b>01 (e)(ii).</b>	Avoidance of unnecessary adjournments:	Yes
<b>01 (e)(iii).</b>	Disposal of old cases(Give number and year of old cases decided):	The officer has mentioned the disposal of 38 cases in five years old cases but as per the statement attached by the officer shows that only 19 such cases (07 criminal cases +12 civil cases) disposed off in five years old.  It is further mention that the disposal of 06 cases of ten years old cases but as per the statement attached by the officer only one civil case disposed of in ten yeras old cases.
<b>01 (e)(iv).</b>	Progress and disposal of execution cases:	01 execution case decided by the officer as per the work done statement attached
<b>01 (e)(v).</b>	Whether interim order, injunction being granted, refused or retained for sufficient reasons?	Not applicable
<b>01 (e)(vi).</b>	Are cases remanded on substantial grounds?	Not applicable
<b>01 (e)(vii).</b>	Performance with regard to decision of Motor Accident Claims related to death / injury	Good performance
<b>01 (f).</b>	Whether Judgment on facts and on law are on the whole sound, well-reasoned and expressed in good language?:	Judgments delivered by the officer are well -reasoned and expressed in good language
	Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in filling up this column:	
<b>01 (f)(i).</b>	Marshalling of facts;	Proper
<b>01 (f)(ii).</b>	Appreciation of evidences;	Proper
<b>01 (f)(iii).</b>	Application of law.	Proper
<b>01 (g).</b>	Whether disposal of work is adequate.(Give percentage and reasons for short disposal, if any)	Standard out turn of work for the year- 1200 units  Exclusion of units of leaves, holidays etc.- 536.84 units  Target Units required to be earned - 663.16 unit  Out turn of work to earned- 1069.81 units (162.32 %)

		Work is adequate
	Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in filling up this column:	
01 (g)(i).	Number of cases decided after actual full contest;	20 cases as per the work done statement attached by the officer
01 (g)(ii).	Number of cases decided wherein all witnesses of fact turned hostile and the case ended in acquittal.	Not applicable
01 (g)(iii).	Number of civil cases decided on compromises / alternate dispute resolution.	27 MACP cases decided in Lok Adalat as per the statement attached by the officer
01 (g)(iv).	Number of cases wherein after conclusion of arguments and reserving them for judgment, rehearing was ordered.	Nil
01 (h).	Control over the Office and Administrative capacity and tact:	Good and effective control
01 (i).	Relations with members of the Bar(mention incidents, if any):	Good relation with Bar
01 (j).	Behavior in relation to brother Officers(mention incidents, if any):	Good
01 (k).	Whether the officer has made regular inspections of his court and offices in his charge and whether such inspections were full and effective?	Yes
01 (l).	His punctuality and regularity in sitting on the dais in court during court hours?	Officer was regular and punctual in court
01 (m).	Whether amenable to the advice of the District Judge and other superior officers?	Yes
01 (n).	Behaviour towards women(respect and sensitivity exhibited towards them)	Good
2.	Over all assessment of the merit of the officer(Outstanding, Very Good, Good, Average, Poor)	<b>'Very Good'</b> <i>Asim</i> <i>02/3/2023</i>
3.	State of Health, with remarks, if any?	Sound Health
4.	Other remarks, if any:	<p>1-Tables are not signed by the clerk who prepared them. So it is violation of G.L.11/IV-h-14/2019. Good control.</p> <p>2- Judgment pronounced is required to be uploaded on the e-court portal of NJDJ. On the e-court site officer has uploaded 17 session trials and 16 civil appeal cases which are delivered by the officer for the period under assessment and during the posting in kannuj for the period 14-04-2021 to 31-03-2022 it is surprising that in the year wise pendency statement attached by the officer it is shown that 20 session trials and 19 civil appeals cases disposed of. This difference is not understandable.</p> <p>3- Year-wise pendency statement attached by the officer is showing 39 MACP cases disposal but on adding the all the cases given in the statement it comes out to be 31 MACP cases not 39 MACP cases.It is mistake or something else but it is definitely careless attitude in sending statements to the Hon'ble High Court.</p> <p>4- In the year- wise pendency statement no civil revision is being shown as disposed of but surprisingly in the civil work statement in point-08 one civil revision is being shown disposed of for which 03 units has been claimed by the officer.</p> <p>5- Officer must be vigilant and carefull in sending statements to</p>

		Hon'ble High Court in future.
5.	Name of the District Judge:	Virjendra Kumar Singh (JO Code- UP 6525) 30.06.2022

### Annual remarks recorded by the Administrative Judge/Reviewing and Accepting Authority

**Mohd. Faiz Alam Khan( HON'BLE JUDGE )**

Perused the remarks given by the District Judge, Kannauj.

The Officer, namely, Shri Vishambhar Prasad remained posted as Additional District and Sessions Judge, Mahrajganj and Additional District and Sessions Judge, Kannauj from 01.04.2021 to 31.03.2022. The Officer remained posted as Officer Incharge, Administration, Central Public Information Officer, Chairman Administrative Committee, Chairman Verification and Suitability Committee, Member of Selecting Authority Committee, Vigilance Officer of Kannauj Judgeship and Chairman of Contract and Auction Committee during aforesaid period. Total units achieved by him in the assessment year in question were 1069.81 against targeted 1200 units and after deduction of leaves and holidays, the target units required were 663.16. The Officer has disposed of 8 criminal cases and 30 civil cases. He is also stated to be fair and impartial in dealing with public and Members of Bar, cool minded and no incident has been reported to me with regard to him losing temper in court. His relation with the Officers and Members of Bar were cordial and judgement passed by him also appears to be sound on facts and law and have been expressed in good language. He also appears to be punctual and regular in sitting on dais in court and no complaint has been made to me about his work, conduct and integrity. He is also amenable to the advice of the District Judge.

The District Judge in Column No.1(e)(iii) found some discrepancy in the Column of disposal of old cases and in the statements provided in support of such claim and in the Column No.4 Other Remarks, if any, it is noticed by the District Judge that tables are not signed by the Clerk and also that the judgement pronounced is required to be uploaded on the e-court portal of N.J.D.G. and the Officer has uploaded only 17 sessions trial cases and 16 civil appeal cases from the period in question and in the year-wise pendency, statement 20 sessions trial cases and 19 civil appeal cases are shown as disposed. Similarly in the year-wise pendency statement, 39 M.A.C.P. cases are shown as disposed, but it appears to be that the Officer has disposed of only 31 M.A.C.P. cases. There is also discrepancy in disposal of civil revision cases. It is stated that Officer must be vigilant and careful in sending statements to the Hon'ble High Court in future.

The officer has preferred a representation explaining the discrepancies.

Having perused the representation submitted by the Officer, it is directed that the remarks made by the District Judge with regard to Column No.1(e)(iii) and Column No.4 Other remarks, if any, shall not be treated as adverse to the Officer.

Keeping in view the disposal of cases by the Officer and satisfaction as recorded by the District Judge with regard to the work and conduct of the Officer, his overall Assessment is assessed as 'Very Good'.

Integrity certified as beyond doubt.

<b>Overall assessment</b>	Very Good
<b>Integrity</b>	Certified

Vide order dated nil read with Court Remarks dated 23.02.2023 recorded by Hon'ble Mr. Justice Faiz Alam Khan, the then Administrative Judge, Kannauj, remarks occurring in col. nos. 01(e)(iii) & 4 shall not be treated as adverse to the officer and overall assessment of the officer upgraded as 'Very Good'.

  
 23/3/2023  
 Registrar General

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALI AHABAD