

# APPLICATION FOR SELF ASSESSMENT

Case Id : A00040692022	Employee Id : 5960
<b>3 MONTHS COMPLETION</b>	
Whether 3 Months are complete for remarks of DISTRICT JUDGE?	Yes

## SELF ASSESSMENT FORM PART I

1.	Self Assessment Period	01/04/2021 - 31/03/2022	
2.	Name of the Officer	RAJESH KUMAR-II	
3.	Designation	Spl. J. (SC/ST, Pev.of Atroci Act)	
4.	Date of Joining Service / Length of Service	04/04/2001 (21 Years 0 Months and 14 Days)	
5.	Place of Posting	Firozabad  <b>Posting Details During Self Assessment Period</b>  1. Firozabad-Addl. District & Sessions Judge	
6.	Any other charge held during the financial year	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Inchage record room (civil and criminal)</li> </ul>	
7.	Year wise break up of cases	Proforma attached	Attachment Available
8.	Courts held during the financial year	ADJ/ASJ court no-03, Special court (Electricity Act), Special court (Gangster Act), Special court (SC/ST Act) Firozabad	Attachment Available
9.	In how many cases have you framed the issues	NIL	
10.	In how many cases have you framed the charge	80	
11.	Number of cases in which Judgment not delivered within 15 days of conclusions of argument	NIL	
12.	Percentage of appeals remanded by the officer	NIL	
13.	Inspections	Proforma attached	Attachment Available
14.	Remarks if any	NIL	
15.	Details of the works by the officer	Proforma attached	Attachment Available
16.	Performance in Lok Adalat	409 Cases decided	

## SELF ASSESSMENT FORM PART II

1.	Brief description of duties	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>ADJ/ASJ Court No-03- Special Court (Gangster Act), (Special Court (Electricity Act),</li> <li>Special Court (SC/ST Act), Firozabad.</li> </ul>	
2.	Norms set and achieved in respect of disposal of cases.		
	<b>Target</b>	<b>Achievements</b>	

Validity unknown

Digitally signed by ALOK MATHUR  
Date: 2023.02.23 11:03:31 IST  
Reason: Document Owner  
Location: Allahabad High Court

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

	1200 Units	1821.57 Units	
<b>2A.</b>	<b>Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 5 years old.</b>		<b>Attachment Available</b>
	<b>Target</b>	<b>Achievements</b>	
	1-Unnessery Adjournment were not allowed. 2-Short dated were fixed. 3-On priority basis not any wittness go back without taking there testimony. 4-Insured insuence of process to wittness /accused for there presence. 5-Lettefr to concern authority were sent for compliance .		
<b>2A(i).</b>	<b>Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.</b>		
	<b>Target</b>	<b>Achievements</b>	
	1343 Cases	49 Cases	
<b>2A(ii).</b>	<b>Number of such matters disposed of during the year.</b>		<b>Attachment Available</b>
	<b>Target</b>	<b>Achievements</b>	
	1343 Cases	49 case decided	
<b>2B.</b>	<b>Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 10 years old.</b>		
	<b>Target</b>	<b>Achievements</b>	
	1-Unnessery Adjournment were not allowed. 2-Short dated were fixed. 3-On priority basis not any wittness go back without taking there testimony. 4-Insured insuence of process to wittness /accused for there presence. 5-Lettefr to concern authority were sent for compliance .		
<b>2B(i).</b>	<b>Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.</b>		
	<b>Target</b>	<b>Achievements</b>	
	48 cases	06 case decided	
<b>2B(ii).</b>	<b>Number of such matters disposed of during the year.</b>		<b>Attachment Available</b>
	<b>Target</b>	<b>Achievements</b>	
	48 cases	06 decided	
<b>2C.</b>	<b>Steps taken to dispose of cases of persons with more than 65 years of age.</b>		
	<b>Target</b>	<b>Achievements</b>	

	No case was pending in the court.	NIL	
<b>2C(i).</b>	<b>Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.</b>		
	<b>Target</b>	<b>Achievements</b>	
	NIL		
<b>2C(ii).</b>	<b>Number of such matters disposed of during the year.</b>		
	<b>Target</b>	<b>Achievements</b>	
	NIL		
<b>3.</b>	<b>Please state briefly the shortfalls with reference to the targets / objectives referred to at S. No. 02 above. Please specify constraints, if any, in achieving the targets.</b>		
	<b>Target</b>	<b>Achievements</b>	
	No short falls	No short falls	
<b>4.</b>	<b>Academic and professional achievements during the year, including degree(s) obtained and/or books/articles published.</b>		
	<b>Target</b>	<b>Achievements</b>	
	NIL	NIL	
<b>5.</b>	<b>Whether attended any workshop, course, programme, etc., organized by Judicial Academy and/or any other organization during the period in question? If so, give details.</b>		
	<b>Target</b>	<b>Achievements</b>	
	NA	NA	
<b>6.</b>	<b>Whether visited Judicial Academy as Faculty Member. If so, give details about the nature of lecture(s) given/topic(s) discussed.</b>		
	<b>Target</b>	<b>Achievements</b>	
	NO	NO	

**Applying Date**

Date 18/04/2022

**01-Remarks given by the District Judge regarding:**

<b>01 (a).</b>	Integrity of the Officer- whether beyond doubt, doubtful or positively lacking	Beyond doubt.
	Note- If the officer's integrity is doubtful or positively lacking, it may be so stated with all relevant fact, reason(s) & supporting material.	
<b>01 (b).</b>	If he is fair and impartial in dealing with the public and Bar?	The Officer is fair in dealing with the public and bar.
<b>01 (c).</b>	If he is cool minded and does not lose temper in court.	The Officer is cool minded and does not lose temper in Court.
<b>01 (d).</b>	His private character is such as to lower him in the estimation of the public and adversely affects the	Private character of the Officer is not such as to lower him in the estimation of the public and adversely affects the discharge of his

	discharge of his official duties?	official duties.
1 (	CONTROL OVER THE FILES IN THE MATTER OF:	
01 (e)(i)(a).	Proper fixation of cause list:	Proper.
01 (e)(i)(b).	Whether sufficient number of cases are fixed by him to keep him engaged during full court full court hours?	The Officer has fixed sufficient number of cases to keep himself engaged during full Court hours.
01 (e)(ii).	Avoidance of unnecessary adjournments:	The Officer has avoided unnecessary adjournments.
01 (e)(iii).	Disposal of old cases(Give number and year of old cases decided):	Old cases decided by the Officer:  (i) Sessions Trials: 05 cases, out of them 01 case of 2005, 01 case of 2007, 03 cases of 2012  (ii) S.S.T. : 39 cases, out of them 02 cases of 2007, 01 case of 2010, 08 cases of 2012, 01 case of 2013, 02 cases of 2014, 05 cases of 2015, 20 cases of 2016.  (iii) Civil Appeal: 03 cases of 2014  (iv) Civil Revisions: 01 case of 2015  (v) Civil Miscellaneous Appeal: 02 cases, out of them 01 case of 2014, 01 case of 2016
01 (e)(iv).	Progress and disposal of execution cases:	01 execution case has been shown pending, but stayed by Hon'ble High Court.
01 (e)(v).	Whether interim order, injunction being granted, refused or retained for sufficient reasons?	Yes
01 (e)(vi).	Are cases remanded on substantial grounds?	No case is shown to have been remanded by the Officer
01 (e)(vii).	Performance with regard to decision of Motor Accident Claims related to death / injury	Nil.
01 (f).	Whether Judgment on facts and on law are on the whole sound, well-reasoned and expressed in good language?:	Average.
	Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in filling up this column:	
01 (f)(i).	Marshalling of facts;	Good
01 (f)(ii).	Appreciation of evidences;	Satisfactory
01 (f)(iii).	Application of law.	Good
01 (g).	Whether disposal of work is adequate.(Give percentage and reasons for short disposal, if any)	Adequate, 1821.57 Units
	Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in filling up this column:	
01 (g)(i).	Number of cases decided after actual full contest;	78 full contested cases have been decided by the Officer.
01 (g)(ii).	Number of cases decided wherein all witnesses of fact turned hostile and the case ended in acquittal.	24 cases
01 (g)(iii).	Number of civil cases decided on compromises / alternate dispute resolution.	01 case
01 (g)(iv).	Number of cases wherein after conclusion of arguments and reserving them for judgment, rehearing was ordered.	Nil.
01 (h).	Control over the Office and Administrative capacity and tact:	The Officer has good control over the office and owns good administrative capacity.
01 (i).	Relations with members of the Bar(mention incidents, if any):	Cordial.

01 (j).	Behavior in relation to brother Officers(mention incidents, if any):	Proper and accommodating. Nothing adverse has been heard against the behavior of the Officer.
01 (k).	Whether the officer has made regular inspections of his court and offices in his charge and whether such inspections were full and effective?	The Officer has made regular inspections of his Court and Offices in his charge and inspections are full and effective.
01 (l).	His punctuality and regularity in sitting on the dais in court during court hours?	The Officer is punctual and regular in sitting on the dais in Court during court hours.
01 (m).	Whether amenable to the advice of the District Judge and other superior officers?	Yes.
01 (n).	Behaviour towards women(respect and sensitivity exhibited towards them)	Decent.
2.	Over all assessment of the merit of the officer(Outstanding, Very Good, Good, Average, Poor)	<b>'Very Good'</b> <i>Asmi</i> <i>02/3/2023</i>
3.	State of Health, with remarks, if any?	Sound.
4.	Other remarks, if any:	The Officer has shown improvement in his work and control over Office since last year. Judgments are well versed and reasoned on wholesome.
5.	Name of the District Judge:	Sanjeev Fauzdar (UP6520) 28.05.2022

**Annual remarks recorded by the Administrative Judge/Reviewing and Accepting Authority**

**Alok Mathur( HON'BLE JUDGE )**

1. Considered the representation of the officer concerned and other remarks of the District Judge.
2. It is found that the disposal of the cases of the officer is very good.
3. A perusal of some of the orders passed by the officer indicates that they are legally sound and have been expressed in good and impressive language.
4. The officer has decided very good number of old as well as contested cases.
5. Even on other criteria the performance of the officer has been found to be remarkable.
6. In my view the officer deserves to be assessed as **very good**.

Overall assessment	Very Good
Integrity	Certified

Vide order dated nil read with Court Remarks dated 23.02.2023 recorded by Hon'ble Mr. Justice Alok Mathur, the then Administrative Judge, Firozabad, the overall assessment of merit of the officer upgraded as 'Very Good'.

*Asmi*  
*02/3/2023*  
**Registrar General**