

# APPLICATION FOR SELF ASSESSMENT

Case Id : A00036852022	Employee Id : 2536
<b>3 MONTHS COMPLETION</b>	
Whether 3 Months are complete for remarks of DISTRICT JUDGE?	No

## SELF ASSESSMENT FORM PART I

1.	Self Assessment Period	01/04/2021 - 31/03/2022	
2.	Name of the Officer	ANKIT VERMA	
3.	Designation	Civil Judge (Junior Div.)	
4.	Date of Joining Service / Length of Service	05/07/2018 (3 Years 9 Months and 9 Days)	
5.	Place of Posting	Kannauj  <b>Posting Details During Self Assessment Period</b>  1. Kannauj-Judicial Magistrate	
6.	Any other charge held during the financial year	1. Member of Infracell Committee 2. Member of Committee related to honorarium of paralegal volunteers. 3. Member of Auction Committee. 4. Member of Mission Mode Committee.	
7.	Year wise break up of cases	File Attached	Attachment Available
8.	Courts held during the financial year	Judicial Magistrate from 1.04.2021 to 12.04.2021 Civil Judge from 12.04.2021 to 31.03.2022	
9.	In how many cases have you framed the issues	34	
10.	In how many cases have you framed the charge	Nil	
11.	Number of cases in which Judgment not delivered within 15 days of conclusions of argument	Nil	
12.	Percentage of appeals remanded by the officer	Nil	
13.	Inspections	Proforma Attached	Attachment Available
14.	Remarks if any		
15.	Details of the works by the officer	File Attached	Attachment Available
16.	Performance in Lok Adalat	Performance in Lok Adalat as Civil Judge(J.D.) Kannauj held on 10.07.2021- 02 Original Suit decided and 9 Succession decided. Total 11 cases decided.  Performance in Lok Adalat as Civil Judge(J.D.) Kannauj held on 11.09.2021- 05 Original Suit decided and 11 Succession decided. Total 14 cases decided.	

Validity unknown

Digitally signed by MOHAMMAD FAIZALAM KHAN  
Date: 2023.02.28 11:45:25 IST  
Reason: Document Owner  
Location: Allahabad High Court

ALLAHABAD HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

	<p>Performance in Lok Adalat as Civil Judge(J.D.) Kannauj held on 11.12.2021- 09 Original Suit decided and 08 Succession decided. Total 22 cases decided.</p> <p>Performance in Lok Adalat as Civil Judge(J.D.) Kannauj held on 12.03.2022- 05 Original Suit decided and 07 Succession decided, 06 Misc. disposal. Total 18 cases decided.</p>	
--	--	--

**SELF ASSESSMENT FORM PART II**

<b>1.</b>	<b>Brief description of duties</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Judicial Magistrate, Kannauj from 1.04.2021 to 12.04.2021</li> <li>• Civil Judge(J.D.) Kannauj from 12.04.2021 to 31.03.2022</li> <li>• Member of Infracell Committee</li> <li>• Member of Committee related to honorarium of paralegal volunteers.</li> <li>• Member of Auction Committee.</li> <li>• Member of Mission Mode Committee.</li> </ul>	
<b>2.</b>	<b>Norms set and achieved in respect of disposal of cases.</b>		
	<b>Target</b>	<b>Achievements</b>	
	<p>Total pendency on 1.04.2021 in the court of Judicial Magistrate Kannauj - 7457</p> <p>Total pendency on 12.04.2021 in the court of Civil Judge(J.D.) Kannauj - 3014</p> <p>Transferred cases = 1323</p> <p>Units to be achieved for the assesment year 2020-2021= 678.48 units</p>	<p>Total cases disposed in the court of Judicial Magistrate, Kannauj from 1.04.2021 to 12.04.2021= 5</p> <p>Total cases disposed in the court of Civil Judge(J.D.) Kannauj from 12.04.2021 to 31.03.2022= 437</p> <p>Total unites achieved from 01.04.2021 to 31.03.2022= 1152.5 unirts.</p>	
<b>2A.</b>	<b>Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 5 years old.</b>		Attachment Available
	<b>Target</b>	<b>Achievements</b>	
	<p>Total Pendency of Cases which are more than 5 years old in the court of Civil Judge (J.D.) Kannauj = 636 cases</p> <p>Transferred cases =25</p>	<p>1. Total cases disposed which are more than five years old in court of Civil Judge (J.D.) Kannauj = 176 cases</p> <p>2. (i) Old cases taken for hearing on priority basis (ii) Short dates given (iii) Witnesses examined consequently (iv) Avoiding unnecessary adjournments (v) Speedy disposal of interim applications</p>	
<b>2A(i).</b>	<b>Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.</b>		Attachment Available
	<b>Target</b>	<b>Achievements</b>	
	<p>Total Pendency of Cases which are more than 5 years old in the court of Civil Judge (J.D.) Kannauj = 636 cases</p> <p>Transferred cases =25</p>	<p>Total Cases disposed which are more than five years old in the court of Civil Judge (J.D.) Kannauj = 176 cases</p>	
<b>2A(ii).</b>	<b>Number of such matters disposed of during the year.</b>		Attachment Available

	Target	Achievements	
	Total Pendency of Cases which are more than 5 years old in the court of Civil Judge (J.D.) Kannauj = 636 cases Transferred cases =25	Total Cases disposed which are more than five years old in the court of Civil Judge (J.D.) Kannauj = 176 cases	
<b>2B.</b>	<b>Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 10 years old.</b>		
	Target	Achievements	
	Total Pendency of Cases which are mote than 10 years old in the court of Civil Judge (J.D.) Kannauj = 134 cases	1. Total cases disposed which are more than ten years old in the court of Civil (J.D.) Kannauj = 57 cases disposed 2. (i) Old cases taken for hearing on priority basis (ii) Short dates given (iii) Witnesses examined consequently (iv) Avoiding unnecessary adjournments (v) Speedy disposal of interim applications	
<b>2B(i).</b>	<b>Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.</b>		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
	Total Pendency of Cases which are mote than 10 years old in the court of Civil Judge (J.D.) Kannauj = 134 cases	1. Total cases disposed which are more than ten years old in the court of Civil (J.D.) Kannauj = 57 cases disposed	
<b>2B(ii).</b>	<b>Number of such matters disposed of during the year.</b>		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
	Total Pendency of Cases which are mote than 10 years old in the court of Civil Judge (J.D.) Kannauj = 134 cases	1. Total cases disposed which are more than ten years old in the court of Civil (J.D.) Kannauj = 57 cases disposed	
<b>2C.</b>	<b>Steps taken to dispose of cases of persons with more than 65 years of age.</b>		
	Target	Achievements	
	Total Pendency on 01.04.2020 of such cases in the court of Civil Judge (J.D.) Kannauj = 363 cases	1. Total Cases disposed of persons which are more than 65 years of age in the court of Civil (J.D.) Kannauj = 74 cases disposed 2. (i) Old cases taken for hearing on priority basis (ii) Short dates given (iii) Witnesses examined consequently (iv) Avoiding unnecessary adjournments (v) Speedy disposal of interim applications	
<b>2C(i).</b>	<b>Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.</b>		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
	Total Pendency on 01.04.2020 of such cases in the court of Civil Judge (J.D.) Kannauj = 363 cases	1. Total Cases disposed of persons which are more than 65 years of age in the court of Civil (J.D.) Kannauj = 74 cases disposed	
<b>2C(ii).</b>	<b>Number of such matters disposed of during the year.</b>		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	

	Total Pendency on 01.04.2020 of such cases in the court of Civil Judge (J.D.) Kannauj = 363 cases	1. Total Cases disposed of persons which are more than 65 years of age in the court of Civil (J.D.) Kannauj = 74 cases disposed	
<b>3.</b>	<b>Please state briefly the shortfalls with reference to the targets / objectives referred to at S. No. 02 above. Please specify constraints, if any, in achieving the targets.</b>		
	<b>Target</b>	<b>Achievements</b>	
		1. Total Units achieved in the court of Civil Judge (J.D.) Kannauj from 01.04.2020 to 31-03-2021 = 1152.5 units 2. Total Cases disposed during the assessment year= 437 cases 3. Total cases disposed which are more than 5 years old= 176 cases 4. Total cases disposed which are more than 10 years old= 57 cases 5. Total cases disposed of persons with more than 65 years of age = 74 6. Total cases disposed before year 2000= 39 cases 7. total execution cases decided = 8	
<b>4.</b>	<b>Academic and professional achievements during the year, including degree(s) obtained and/or books/articles published.</b>		
	<b>Target</b>	<b>Achievements</b>	
<b>5.</b>	<b>Whether attended any workshop, course, programme, etc., organized by Judicial Academy and/or any other organization during the period in question? If so, give details.</b>		
	<b>Target</b>	<b>Achievements</b>	
		1. Attended Cluster refresher training course organized by JTRI on 23.09.2021  2. One day online sensitization programme on timely justice and protection of dignity of women appearing in the court in different capacities like victims, witnesses, petitioner and accused with special reference to Aparna Bhat v State of M.P.	
<b>6.</b>	<b>Whether visited Judicial Academy as Faculty Member. If so, give details about the nature of lecture(s) given/topic(s) discussed.</b>		
	<b>Target</b>	<b>Achievements</b>	

Applying Date	
Date	14/04/2022

01-Remarks given by the District Judge regarding:		
<b>01 (a).</b>	Integrity of the Officer- whether beyond doubt, doubtful or positively lacking	Beyond doubt
	Note- If the officer's integrity is doubtful or positively lacking, it may be so stated with all relevant fact, reason(s) & supporting material.	
<b>01 (b).</b>	If he is fair and impartial in dealing with the public and Bar?	Yes, He is fair and impartial in dealing with public and bar.
<b>01 (c).</b>	If he is cool minded and does not lose temper in court.	Yes. He is cool minded

01 (d).	His private character is such as to lower him in the estimation of the public and adversely affects the discharge of his official duties?	He holds good private character.
1 (e).	CONTROL OVER THE FILES IN THE MATTER OF:	
01 (e)(i)(a).	Proper fixation of cause list:	Proper
01 (e)(i)(b).	Whether sufficient number of cases are fixed by him to keep him engaged during full court full court hours?	Yes
01 (e)(ii).	Avoidance of unnecessary adjournments:	Unnecessary adjournments avoided
01 (e)(iii).	Disposal of old cases(Give number and year of old cases decided):	He decided 176 cases in more than five years old cases. He decided 57 cases in more than ten years old cases. But statement (nakesha) annexed shows that 114 cases in more than five years old cases and 57 cases of more than ten years old disposed off. <b>'ADEQUATE'</b> Adm 21/3/202
01 (e)(iv).	Progress and disposal of execution cases:	02 execution cases in full satisfaction and 06 execution cases otherwise disposed off
01 (e)(v).	Whether interim order, injunction being granted, refused or retained for sufficient reasons?	Yes
01 (e)(vi).	Are cases remanded on substantial grounds?	Not applicable
01 (e)(vii).	Performance with regard to decision of Motor Accident Claims related to death / injury	Not applicable
01 (f).	Whether Judgment on facts and on law are on the whole sound, well-reasoned and expressed in good language?:	Judgments delivered by the officer are well -reasoned and expressed in good language
	Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in filling up this column:	
01 (f)(i).	Marshalling of facts;	Proper marshalling of facts
01 (f)(ii).	Appreciation of evidences;	Proper
01 (f)(iii).	Application of law.	Proper
01 (g).	Whether disposal of work is adequate.(Give percentage and reasons for short disposal, if any)	Officer has earned out turn of work - 1176.5 units He set target of out turn of work to be earned - 678.48 units Exclusion of leaves and holidays etc. @3.28 unit/ day from standard out turn of work 1200 units has been deducted. and officer has set target 678.48 units. But He has not given the detail as to how he excluded leaves and holidays etc. So no detail is given by the officer about the leaves, holidays etc. on account of his he excluded units from standard 1200 units. In the absence of details of days excluded, I would not prefer to pass any remark on adequacy of work. <b>'ADEQUATE'</b> Adm 21/3/2
	Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in filling up this column:	
01 (g)(i).	Number of cases decided after actual full contest;	08 cases are shown in statement of the court of civil judge junior division as decided contested attached by the officer. single case in the statement of judicial magistrate court is shown as contested disposal but in the work done statement of criminal work no contested case disposal is shown. <b>'ADEQUATE'</b> Adm 21/3/2
01 (g)(ii).	Number of cases decided wherein all witnesses of fact turned hostile and the case ended in acquittal.	Not applicable
01 (g)(iii).	Number of civil cases decided on compromises /	18 Civil suits has been decided on compromise as shown in the

	alternate dispute resolution.	statement of civil judge junior division court pendency statement attached by officer .
01 (g)(iv).	Number of cases wherein after conclusion of arguments and reserving them for judgment, rehearing was ordered.	Not applicable
01 (h).	Control over the Office and Administrative capacity and tact:	The officer has good control over office
01 (i).	Relations with members of the Bar(mention incidents, if any):	Good relation with Bar members
01 (j).	Behavior in relation to brother Officers(mention incidents, if any):	The officer has cordial relation with brother officers
01 (k).	Whether the officer has made regular inspections of his court and offices in his charge and whether such inspections were full and effective?	Regularly inspected and inspections were full and effective
01 (l).	His punctuality and regularity in sitting on the dais in court during court hours?	Officer was regular and punctual in court
01 (m).	Whether amenable to the advice of the District Judge and other superior officers?	Yes
01 (n).	Behaviour towards women(respect and sensitivity exhibited towards them)	Respect full behaviour towards women
2.	Over all assessment of the merit of the officer(Outstanding, Very Good, Good, Average, Poor)	<b>'Very Good'</b> <i>Asimh</i> <i>21/3/2023</i>
3.	State of Health, with remarks, if any?	Sound Health
4.	Other remarks, if any:	1- Details of non- working days such as leaves , holidays etc. on account of his officer have excluded units is not given. 2- Except one statement non of the statement attached are bearing the signature of cleark who prepared and checked in.
5.	Name of the District Judge:	Virjendra Kumar Singh (JO Code- UP 6525) 30.06.2022

### Annual remarks recorded by the Administrative Judge/Reviewing and Accepting Authority

**Mohd. Faiz Alam Khan( HON'BLE JUDGE )**

Perused the remarks given by the District Judge, Kannauj.

The Officer, namely, Shri Ankit Verma remained posted as Judicial Magistrate, Kannauj, from 01.04.2021 to 12.04.2021 and as Civil Judge (J.D.), Kannauj from 12.04.2021 to 31.03.2022. He was also Member of different committees. His out turn during this period is shown as 1152.5 units while his target was 678.48 units. While posted as Civil Judge (J.D.), Kannauj he is shown to have disposed of 176 cases, which are more than five years old and in total he has disposed of 437 cases including 8 execution cases.

The District Judge has found his integrity beyond doubt and other parameters were also found satisfactory. However, in Column No.01(g) pertaining to the adequacy of the disposal of work, the District Judge has opined that no detail has been given by the Officer about the leaves, holidays etc. on account of which he had excluded units from standard target 1200 units and thus he did not pass any remark pertaining to adequacy of work of Officer and with regard to the Column No.1(g)(iii), the District Judge has opined that in the statement submitted by the Officer, 8 cases are shown to have been decided after full contest as Civil Judge (J.D.) and one case as Judicial Magistrate, but in the work done statement of criminal work, no contested case is shown to have been decided and in Column No.4 pertaining to Other Remarks, if any, similar opinion has been given by the District Judge.

The Officer has preferred a representation, perusal of the which would reveal that in fact the Officer has disposed of 176 cases of old category and the leaves and holidays have been excluded correctly. The disposal of cases have also been correctly shown in the work done statement.

C ulative and separate statement of disposal has also been filed, a copy of which has also been attached with the representation and the Officer has stated to have prepared the statements on the basis of monthly statements, which were duly signed by the concerned Clerk, who prepared them and in few statements due to clerical error signature of Clerk were not available, but the hard copy of the statement was sent to the District Judge, which was signed by the concerned clerk. The officer has enclosed all duly attested statements and list of cases disposed by him.

Thus, keeping in view the representation preferred by the Officer, the work of the Officer appears to be adequate and the same has also been shown in the relevant statement, copy of which has also been submitted with representation, thus relevant entries given by the District Judge is substituted in terms that the work done by the Officer during the period under reporting is adequate and correct statements have been supplied by the Officer concerned.

The Officer appears to have full control over his staff, cause list and has not granted unnecessary adjournments in the cases. He is also stated to be fair and impartial in dealing with public and Members of Bar and also is cool minded and no incident has been reported to me with regard to him loosing temper in court. His relation with the Officers and Members of Bar were cordial and judgements passed by him also appears to be sound on facts and law and have been expressed in good language. He also appears to be punctual and regular in sitting on dais in court and no complaint has been made to me about his work, conduct and integrity. He is also stated to be amenable to the advice of the District Judge.

Overall Assessment of the Officer is assessed as 'Very Good'.

Integrity certified as beyond doubt.

Overall assessment	Very Good
Integrity	Certified

Vide order dated nil read with Court Remarks dated 28.02.2023 recorded by the then Administrative Judge, Kannauj for the year 2021-22, remarks occurring in col. nos. 1(e)(iii), 1(g) & 1(g)(i) substituted as 'adequate' and overall assessment of merit upgraded as 'Very Good'.

*Aswini*  
21/3/2023  
Registrar General

LLAHABAD

HIGH COURT OF JUDIC