APPLICATION FOR SELF ASSESSMENT

Case Id: A00001112022	Employee Id: 6217		
3 MONTHS COMPLETION			
Whether 3 Months are complete for remarks of DISTRICT JUDGE?	Yes		

ı.	Self Assessment Period	01/04/2021 - 31/03/2022	
2.	Name of the Officer	MUKESH KUMAR SINGH-II	
3.	Designation	A.D.J. (FTC)	
1.	Date of Joining Service / Length of Service	15/06/2006 (15 Years 9 Months and 21 Days)	
5.	Place of Posting	Posting Details During Self Assessment Period 1. Lucknow-Spl. J, Spl C.No 6 (Pre.Cor. Act)	
6.	Any other charge held during the financial year	 Special Judge (MP/MLA). Special Judge (Electricity Act). Nodal Officer of Computers Section. Incharge Officer of Ameen. Chairman Litigation Committee. Incharge Statement. Member of Financial and A.C.P Committee. Member of Purchase Committee. 	
7.	Year wise break up of cases	Year wise break up of cases list attached	Attachment Available
8.	Courts held during the financial year	ADJ/FTC-1 Kannauj	
9.	In how many cases have you framed the issues	No	
10.	In how many cases have you framed the charge	40 Cases	
11.	Number of cases in which Judgment not delivered within 15 days of conclusions of argument	No	
12.	Percentage of appeals remanded by the officer	No appeal remanded	
13.	Inspections	List Attached	Attachment Available
14.	. Remarks if any	NO Remark	
15.	Details of the works by the officer	Work done file attached	Attachment Available

Validity unknown
Digitally signed by MO AMMAD
FAIZALAM KHAW
Date: 2023.02.22 1.55 4 IST
Reason: Document owner

16.	Performance in Lok Adalat	
		1. 72 S.S.T. Cases (Electricity Act)
11.2		Decided.
1	9.0	2. 563 F.R. Cases (Electricity Act)
	'	Decided.
1		3. 11 MACP Cases Decided.
		4. 23 Misc. Civil Cases Decided.

1.		SMENT FORM PART II	_
1.	Brief description of duties	Holding Charge of ADJ/FTC-1 (Crime Agaisnt Women, Special Judge Court of MP/MLA and Electricity Act Cases).	
2.	Norms set and achieved in respect of disposal of cases.		
	Target	Achievements	
	Total days = 365 Days Holidays (Non Working Days) = 208 Days Total working days = 157 Days Total Unit Expected = 208 X 3.28 = 682.24 Units	Achieved Units (April 2021 to March 2022)=1733.16 Units	
	= 1200 - 682.24= 517.76 Units	CRIMINAL CASES	
	Expected Units = 517.76 Units	 Session Trial = 24 Criminal Revision = 10 SST (Electricity Act) = 24 SST (Gangster Act) MP/MLA = 01 	
	Ę	• Criminal Misc. = 120	
DA.		 CIVIL CASES (All Types) M.A.C.P. = 08 Civil Appeal. = 04 Civil Revision = 01 Execution = 01 	
ÈΑ.	Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more t	• Misc. Civil = 18	Attachme
)			Available
	Target	Achievements	
	 Fixed Short Dates Ensure Compliance of Processes Adjournments strictly avoided in such type of cases. Written arguments are invited to avoid adjourments and delay. 	28 Criminal cases decided 14 Civil cases decided Total = 42 Cases decided	
	way a mine and a delay i		
ŻA(i).	Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the	e year.	
2A(i).		e year. Achievements	Attachme Available
2A(i).	Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the		

). Number of such matters disposed of during the year.		Attachmen Available
	Target	Achievements	
	All types of old Cases.	28 Criminal cases decided	
		14 Civil cases decided	
		Total = 42 Cases decided	
2B.	Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more th	an 10 years old.	
	Target	Achievements	
	Fixed Short DatesEnsure Compliance of Processes	05 Criminal cases decided	
	 Adjournments strictly avoided in such type of cases. 	07 Civil cases decided	
	 Written arguments are invited to avoid adjourments and delay. 	Total = 12 Cases decided	
2B(i).	Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the y	year.	Attachmen Available
	Target	Achievements	
2B(i).	All types of old Cases.	05 Criminal cases decided	
	2	07 Civil cases decided	
		Total = 12 Cases decided	
2B(ii).	Number of such matters disposed of during the year.		Attachmen Available
	Target	Achievements	
	All types of old Cases.	05 Criminal cases decided	
		07 Civil cases decided	
		Total = 12 Cases decided	
2C.	Steps taken to dispose of cases of persons with more tha	n 65 years of age.	
	Target	Achievements	
2C(i).	 Fixed Short Dates Ensure Compliance of Processes Adjournments strictly avoided in such type of cases. Written arguments are invited to avoid adjourments and delay. 	5 Cases of persons with more than 65 years age are decided.	
2C(i).	Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the y	year.	Attachmen Available
	Target	Achievements	
	Yearwise Break up List Attached	5 Cases of persons with more than 65 years age are decided.	

			Availabl
	Target	Achievements	
	Yearwise Break up List Attached	5 Cases of persons with more than 65 years age are decided.	
,	Please state briefly the shortfalls with reference to specify constraints, if any, in achieving the targets.	the targets / objectives referred to at S. No. 02 above. Please	
	Target	Achievements	
	All oldest cases in each categories.	1. Firstly, achieved the target of expected units even after adversely affected period of Covid-19 pandemic.	
		2. Oldest Civil Appeal Case of 1998 decided Contested.	
		3. Oldest Pending Session Trials are decided. One Sessions Trail case of 2007 and One Sessions Trail Case 2008 are decided.	
		4. Old Motor Accident Claim Petition of 2013 is decided.	
		5. One Gangster Act Case of MP/ MLA is Decided.	
		6. Only one Pending Execution case Decided.	
		7. Decided oldest cases in each category.	-
•	Academic and professional achievements during the published.	he year, including degree(s) obtained and/or books/articles	
	Target	Achievements	
		No	
	Whether attended any workshop, course, program organization during the period in question? If so,	nme, etc., organized by Judicial Academy and/or any other give details.	
	Target	Achievements	
	Attended all desired training programmes.	 Actively participated in Fourth Round Cluster Training Programme organized by JTRI. 	
		 Online One day Trainting Programme on the topic Sensitization Programme regarding Leagal Service organized by JTRI 	
•	Whether visited Judicial Academy as Faculty Mer given/topic(s) discussed.	nber. If so, give details about the nature of lecture(s)	
	Target	Achievements	

Ī	Applying Date		
	Date	05/04/2022	

01-Remarks given by the District Judge regarding:

01 (a).	Integrity of the Officer- whether beyond doubt, doubtful or positively lacking	Beyond doubt
	Note- If the officer's integrity is doubtful or positively la material.	cking, it may be so stated with all relevant fact, reason(s) & supporting
01 (b).	If he is fair and impartial in dealing with the public and Bar?	Yes, He is fair and impartial in dealing with public and bar.
01 (c).	If he is cool minded and does not lose temper in court.	Yes. He is cool minded
01 (d).	His private character is such as to lower him in the estimation of the public and adversely affects the discharge of his official duties?	He holds good private character.
1 (e).	CONTROL OVER THE FILES IN THE MATTER OF:	
01 (e)(i)(a).	Proper fixation of cause list:	Proper
01 (e)(i)(b).	Whether sufficient number of cases are fixed by him to keep him engaged during full court full court hours?	Yes
01 (e)(ii).	Avoidance of unnecessary adjournments:	Avoided unnecessary adjournment
01 (e)(iii).	Disposal of old cases(Give number and year of old cases decided):	Officer has claimed disposal of 42 cases disposal of in more than five years old cases and disposal of 12 cases in more than ten years old cases. But statement attached by the officer shows that disposal of 28 cases (21 criminal cases + 7 civil cases) in more than five years old cases and disposal of 12 cases in more than ten years old cases.
01 (e)(iv).	Progress and disposal of execution cases:	01 execution case disposed as per the work done statement attached by the officer
01 (e)(v).	Whether interim order, injunction being granted, refused or retained for sufficient reasons?	Not applicable
01 (e)(vi).	Are cases remanded on substantial grounds?	Not applicable
01 (e)(vii).	Performance with regard to decision of Motor Accident Claims related to death / injury	Good
01 (f).	Whether Judgment on facts and on law are on the whole sound, well-reasoned and expressed in good language?:	Judgments delivered by the officer are well -reasoned and expressed in good language
	Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in	filling up this column:
01 (f)(i).	Marshalling of facts;	Proper
01 (f)(ii).	Appreciation of evidences;	Proper
01 (f)(iii).	Application of law.	Proper
01 (g).	Whether disposal of work is adequate.(Give percentage and reasons for short disposal, if any)	Standard unit required for the year- 1200 units Exclusion of leaves and hoildays etc 208 days- 682.2 units Traget unit- 517.76 units Target achived- 1733.16 (334.74 %) It is a peculiar case officer has not disclosed the detail of 208 day leaves and holidays etc So it can not be checked ,If the exclusion is correct or not. Furthermore officer has not provided the statement of calculation of units for the out turn of work earned by him It seems that officer is trying to hide some facts.So i would prefer not to pass any remark or adequecy of work earned.

	Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in	filling up this column:
01 (g)(i).	Number of cases decided after actual full contest;	Statement attached
01 (g)(ii).	Number of cases decided wherein all witnesses of fact turned hostile and the case ended in acquittal.	It is not mentioned
01 (g)(iii).	Number of civil cases decided on compromises / alternate dispute resolution.	Not applicable
01 (g)(iv).	Number of cases wherein after conclusion of arguments and reserving them for judgment, rehearing was ordered.	None
01 (h).	Control over the Office and Administrative capacity and tact:	administrative capacity doubtful in view of comment in remarks
01 (i).	Relations with members of the Bar(mention incidents, if any):	Good relation with Bar
01 (j).	Behavior in relation to brother Officers(mention incidents, if any):	Good
01 (k).	Whether the officer has made regular inspections of his court and offices in his charge and whether such inspections were full and effective?	Regularly inspected and inspections were full and effective
01 (1).	His punctuality and regularity in sitting on the dais in court during court hours?	Officer was regular and punctual in court
01 (m).	Whether amenable to the advice of the District Judge and other superior officers?	Yes
01 (n).	Behaviour towards women(respect and sensitivity exhibited towards them)	Good
2.	Over all assessment of the merit of the officer(Outstanding, Very Good, Good, Average, Poor)	Good
3.	State of Health, with remarks, if any?	Good Health
4.	Other remarks, if any:	1- In the month of june 2021 officer applied on e- portal for earned leave but did not hand over the charge and left the station .He did apply for the earned leave from 21-06-2021 . In the evening of 21-06 2021 clerk of admin office brough print out of his application before me. I made this observation of leaving the station without handing over charge and that application printout. Surprisingly on 26-06-202 afternoon officer got his charge hand over certificate thrugh some person in the admin office of district court, kannuj from some other place. Is it not surprising officer left the station having applied for earned leave without handing over charge and after 05 days he send the charge hand over certificate from some other place to district court, Kannuj
		2- In the month of jan 2022 officer presented a bill of medical reimbursment but the peculiar fact is this that this bill was issued by government medical officer of district hospital of kannuj to the sum Rs. 22371.00. In this bill govrnment medical officer given certificate that he has charge consultation fees on 04-01-2022 and received the same in this case it is a peculiar fact that government medical officer is issuing a bill bearing his signature and seal of district hospital. Car a government medical officer do the private practise or it was a fake bill.
5.	Name of the District Judge:	Virjendra Kumar Singh (JO Code- UP 6525) 30.06.2022

Annual remarks recorded by the Administrative Judge/Reviewing and Accepting Authority

Mohd. Faiz Alam Khan(HON'BLE JUDGE)

Perused the remarks given by the District Judge, Kannauj.

The Officer, namely, Shri Mukesh Kumar Singh-II remained posted as Special Judge, Special Court No.6 (Pre.Cor.Act), Lucknow He also remained as Special Judge (M.P./M.L.A), Special Judge (Electricity Act), Nodal Officer of Computer Section, Incharge Officer of Ameen, Chairman, Litigation Committee, Incharge Statement, Member of Financial and A.C.P. Committee and Member of Purchase Committee from 01.04.2021 to 31.03.2022. Total units achieved by him in the assessment year in question were 1733.16 against targeted 1200 units and after deduction of leaves and holidays, the target units required were 517.76. The Officer has disposed of 28 criminal cases and 14 civil cases. He is also stated to be fair and impartial in dealing with public and Members of Bar, cool minded and no incident has been reported to me with regard to him loosing temper in court. His relation with the Officers and Members of Bar were cordial and judgement passed by him also appears to be sound on facts and law and have been expressed in good language. He also appears to be punctual and regular in siting on dais in court and no complaint has been made to me about his work, conduct and integrity. He is also amenable to the advice of the District Judge.

The District Judge in Column No.1(e)(iii) found some discrepancy in the disposal of old case (five years and ten years old cases) claimed by the Officer and in the statement submitted in support of such claim and with regard to the Column No.1(g) opined that the Officer has not disclosed the detail of 208 days leaves and holidays etc. and according to him, it could not be checked as to whether exclusion of days is correct or not so he did not pass any remark with regard to 'adequacy of work'. The District Judge in Column No.1(h) has also found the capacity of the Officer pertaining to Administrative work as doubtful. Similar observations were made in the Column No.4 pertaining to Other Remarks, if any with the addition that in the month of June, 2021 Officer applied on E-portal for earned leave but did not handover the charge and left the station and also that in the month of February, 2022, the Officer presented bill of medical reimbursement, but the bill was issued by the District Hospital, Kannauj and in this bill Government Medial Officer had given a certificate that he has charged consultation fees on 04.01.2022.

The Officer has preferred a representation explaining the work done by him and also stated that after the transfer of the District Judge, who had written the A.C.R., the medical bill in question has been forwarded by his successor and the attachment objected to by the learned District Judge is a prescribed proforma of State Government with regard to Certificate 'A'.

Keeping in view the representation of the Officer, it is observed that the Officer appears to have disposed of sufficient number of old cases and his out turn of work is adequate and the remarks of the District Judge pertaining to Column No.4 of the self assessment as well as for the relevant columns of disposal of old cases or adequacy of work shall not be treated as adverse.

His overall Assessment is assessed as 'Good'.

Integrity certified as beyond doubt.

Overall assessment		Good
	Integrity	Certified

Vide order dated nil read with Court Remarks dated 28.02.2023 recorded by the then Administrative Judge, Kannauj for the year 2021-22, remarks occurring in col. nos. 1(e)(iii), 1(g) & 4 shall not be treated as adverse.

Registrar General