APPLICATION FOR SELF ASSESSMENT

Case Id: A00039892022	Employee Id: 2582
3 MONTHS COMPLETION	
Whether 3 Months are complete for remarks of DISTRICT JUDGE?	Yes

		SELF ASSESSMENT FORM PART I	
1.	Self Assessment Period	01/04/2021 - 31/03/2022	
2.	Name of the Officer	SIDDHARTH VERMA	
3.	Designation	Civil Judge (Junior Div.)	
4.	Date of Joining Service / Length of Service	25/07/2018 (3 Years 8 Months and 23 Days)	
5.	Place of Posting	Posting Details During Self Assessment Period 1. Sultanpur-Addl. Civil Judge (Junior Div.) 2. Sultanpur-Civil Judge (Junior Div.)	
6	Any other charge held during the financial year	1-Addl.Civil Judge(j.d)/(j.m) Court no. 29 Sultanpur(from 01-04-2021 to 13-04-2021) 2-Cvil Judge(j.d) North court no. 25 Sultanpur(14-04-2021 to 27-06-2021) 03-Civil Judge(j.d)/(j.m) Musafirkhana Sultanpur(28-06-2021 to 31-03-2022) 04-T.L.S.A. Chairman In Musafirkhana, Sultanpur from(28-06-2021 to 31.03.2022).	
	Year wise break up of cases	Annexure-1	Attachment Available
	3. Courts held during the financial year	Annexure-2	Attachment Available
)	In how many cases have you framed the issues	12	
2	10. In how many cases have you framed the charge	26	
11.51	11. Number of cases in which Judgment not delivered within 15 day of conclusions of argument	s NIL	
5	12. Percentage of appeals remanded by the officer	NIL r	
- 1	13. Inspections	Annexure-3	Attachmen Available
	14. Remarks if any	During the assessment year I have made all efforts to disposed of as many files as I could. However the same has also been plagued by the lawyer strikes and abstention and COVID interventions. I also gave special attention to other means of disposal including ADR modes (Compromised, Lok adalat etc). I have completed my target of units disposal by more than 153.67%. I have also strived to pronounce as many contested judgements as I could. I assure even more hard work in the future.	

Digitally signed by stype ARTHA VARMA DIGITAL 2023.03.04 T5:12-45 IST Reason: Document Owner

15.	Details of the works by the officer	Annexure-4	Attachment Available
16.	Performance in Lok Adalat	Total 261 Case disposed in Lok Adalat In the Year 2021-2022. By Siddhartha Verma Civil Judge(J.D)/(J.M) Musafirkhana Sultanpur.	

	SELF ASSESSMI	ENT FORM PART II	
. I	Brief description of duties	1-Addl.Civil Judge(j.d)/(j.m) Court no. 29 sultanpur(From 01-04-2021 to 12.04.2021)	
		2-Civil Judge(j.d) North court no. 25 sultanpur(From 14-04-2021 to 27-06-2021)	e
		3. Civil Judge(j.d)/(j.m)Musafirkhana sultanpur(From 28-06-2021 to 31-03- 2022)	
		4. T.L.S.A Chairman In Musafirkhana Sultanpur(28-06-2021 to 31-03-2022).	
2.	Norms set and achieved in respect of disposal of cases.		
	Target	Achievements	
	1- Target unit=1200	1-Unit Achieved=967.07 unit	
	Actual Target unit=629.28 2-05 Oldest Cases of Each category identified and given	2- Total number of 02 Oldest Cases Disposed during the Year.	
	top priority.	3-Total Number of 275 Cases Disposed during the Year.	
	3-Total pendency 8645 cases for disposal during the year.		
2A.	Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more th	an 5 years old.	Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
	50 Old Cases (more than 05 Years old) of Each category identified and given top priority.	Total number of 57 Cases disposed during the Year.	
2A(i).	Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the	year.	Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
	Total number of Cases-5921	Total number of-57 disposed cases during the year.	
2A(ii).	Number of such matters disposed of during the year.		Attachmen Available
	Target	Achievements	
	50 Old Cases (more than 05 Years old) of Each category identified and given top priority.	Total number of - 57 disposed cases during the year.	
	Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 10 years old.		
2B.	Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more to	nan 10 years old.	

	20 Old Cases (more than 10 Years old) of Each category identified and given top priority.	Total number of 25 cases Disposed during the year.	
2B(i).	Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year	ear.	Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
	Total number of Cases-3219.	Total number of 25 cases Disposed during the year.	
2B(ii).	Number of such matters disposed of during the year.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
	20 Old Cases (more than 10 Years old) of Each category identified and given top priority.	Total number of 25 cases Disposed during the year.	
2C.	Steps taken to dispose of cases of persons with more tha	n 65 years of age.	
	Target	Achievements	
	10 Old Cases (more than 65 Years age) of Each category identified and given top priority.	Total number of 09 cases Disposed during the year.	
2C(i).	Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the y	ear.	Attachmen Available
	Target	Achievements	
	TOTAL NO. CASES -993	Total number of 09 cases Disposed during the year.	
2C(ii).	Number of such matters disposed of during the year.		Attachmer Available
	Target	Achievements	
	TOTAL NO. CASES -993	Total number of 09 cases Disposed during the year.	
3.	Please state briefly the shortfalls with reference to the t specify constraints, if any, in achieving the targets.	argets / objectives referred to at S. No. 02 above. Please	Attachme: Available
	Target	Achievements	
4.	1-frequent strikes and condolence by advocates.2-non presences of witness.3-Non co-peration of advocates.4-Due to Covid-19.	As above	
4.	4. Academic and professional achievements during the year, including degree(s) obtained and/or books/articles published.		
	Target	Achievements	
	None	None	
5.	Whether attended any workshop, course, programme, organization during the period in question? If so, give		

	1-One day online sensitization programme on "Timely Justice and Protection of Dignity of Women appearing in courts in different capacities Like Victims, Witness, Petitioner and Accused with special reference to Aparna Bhat vs The State of M.P. AIR 2021 SC 1492" held on 01.08.2021 2- Attended cluster training program on 29.08.2021	1-One day online sensitization programme on "Timely Justice and Protection of Dignity of Women appearing in courts in different capacities Like Victims, Witness, Petitioner and Accused with special reference to Aparna Bhat vs The State of M.P. AIR 2021 SC 1492" held on 01.08.2021 2- Attended cluster training program on 29.08.2021	a.
6.	Whether visited Judicial Academy as Faculty Member. If so, give details about the nature of lecture(s) given/topic(s) discussed.		
	Target	Achievements	
	NA	NA	

Applying Date

Date	17/04/2022	
01-Rema	arks given by the District Judge rega	rding:
01 (a).	Integrity of the Officer- whether beyond doubt, doubtful or positively lacking	No written information received against integrity, hence certified.
	Note- If the officer's integrity is doubtful or positively lac material.	cking, it may be so stated with all relevant fact, reason(s) & supporting
01 (b).	If he is fair and impartial in dealing with the public and Bar?	Yes
01 (c).	If he is cool minded and does not lose temper in court.	Yes
⁰¹ (d).	His private character is such as to lower him in the estimation of the public and adversely affects the discharge of his official duties?	No
Ţ (e).	CONTROL OVER THE FILES IN THE MATTER OF:	
01 (e)(i)(a).	Proper fixation of cause list:	Yes
01 (e)(i)(b).	Whether sufficient number of cases are fixed by him to keep him engaged during full court full court hours?	No
01 (e)(ii).	Avoidance of unnecessary adjournments:	The officer has not tried to avoid unnecessary adjournments. As per NJDG data in March-2022, approximately 70 cases were pending for arguments since long but the officer was not interested to hear the cases of argument properly and sincerely due to his negligent behaviour. The PO has adjourned the cases of arguments unnecessarily.
01 (e)(iii).	Disposal of old cases(Give number and year of old cases decided):	As per year wise breakup, the officer has decied 06 Criminal Cases with full contest of 1995,97,98,2002,2004 (2 Cases) but no old case decided in civil side with full contest. The officer has not tried to disposed the old cases adequately with respect to nature & pendency of cases before the court.
01 (e)(iv).	Progress and disposal of execution cases:	As per details, 31 execution cases were pending but the officer has been decided any contested execution case but the officer has been disposed 01 case as otherwise.
01 (e)(v).	Whether interim order, injunction being granted, refused or retained for sufficient reasons?	No
01 (e)(vi).	Are cases remanded on substantial grounds?	NA

01 (e)(vii).	Performance with regard to decision of Motor Accident Claims related to death / injury	NA
01 (f).	Whether Judgment on facts and on law are on the whole sound, well-reasoned and expressed in good language?:	Judgment on facts and on law are not sound and well-reasoned but expressed in simple language.
	Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in	filling up this column:
01 (f)(i).	Marshalling of facts;	Average
01 (f)(ii).	Appreciation of evidences;	Average
01 (f)(iii).	Application of law.	Average
01 (g).	Whether disposal of work is adequate.(Give percentage and reasons for short disposal, if any)	Adequate. The officer achieved total 967.07 Unit against out of target 1200 Unit ,actual target unit 629.28 The percentage is 153.67%.
	Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in	filling up this column:
01 (g)(i).	Number of cases decided after actual full contest;	08 cases
01 (g)(ii).	Number of cases decided wherein all witnesses of fact turned hostile and the case ended in acquittal.	9 cases
01 (g)(iii).	Number of civil cases decided on compromises / alternate dispute resolution.	06 Case Decided As compromised and 261 cases disposed in Lok Adalat
01 (g)(iv).	Number of cases wherein after conclusion of arguments and reserving them for judgment, rehearing was ordered.	The detail of the fact has not been submitted by the officer
01 (h).	Control over the Office and Administrative capacity and tact:	Average
01 (i).	Relations with members of the Bar(mention incidents, if any):	Good
01 (j).	Behavior in relation to brother Officers(mention incidents, if any):	Good
01 (k).	Whether the officer has made regular inspections of his court and offices in his charge and whether such inspections were full and effective?	Four quarterly regular inspections have been conducted.
01 (l).	His punctuality and regularity in sitting on the dais in court during court hours?	Yes
01 (m).	Whether amenable to the advice of the District Judge and other superior officers?	No, His conduct and behaviour of the officer was not just and proper, he was severely worn to be more careful by D.O. letter. in-spite of this, the PO is not amenable to the advice of District judge. The D.O. letter is attached herewith.
01 (n).	Behaviour towards women(respect and sensitivity exhibited towards them)	Average
2.	Over all assessment of the merit of the officer(Outstanding, Very Good, Good, Average, Poor)	Average
3.	State of Health, with remarks, if any?	Good
4.	Other remarks, if any:	The officer has not tried to disposed the old cases adequately with respect to nature & pendency of cases before the court. Total 9333 cases were pending. The officer has been decided only 08 contested cases. As per NJDG data (in the month of March-2022), apporximately 70

		cases were pending for final argument but inspite of consistently direction given by me in monltly meetings and otherwise, the officer was not interested to hear argument cases. The PO didn't take the interest to disposed original suits/argument cases etc which were pending since long. The work and conduct of the Presiding Officer is highly condemnable hence not satisfactory as a good Judicial Officer. The D.O. Letter is attached herewith.
5.	Name of the District Judge:	Santosh rai (UP6523) 22.08.2022

Annual remarks recorded by the Administrative Judge/Reviewing and Accepting Authority

Siddhartha Varma(HON'BLE JUDGE)

Sri Siddharth Verma the then Civil Judge (Junior Div.), Musafirkhana- Sultanpur (2021-22)

Perused the Annual Confidential Remarks recorded by the then District Judge, Sultanpur in respect of the aforesaid officer for the year 2021-

When the District Judge had assessed the officer as average, the instant representation has been filed. From the assessment of the District Judge, I find that the officer had not been working properly. The officer had not tried to dispose of old cases adequately. He had also granted adjournments for the asking and had disposed of only one execution case out of 31 cases of execution. The District Judge, Sultanpur had also found that his judgments on facts and law were not sound and well reasoned. He had also found that marshaling of facts was average. His administrative capacity was also found to be average. The worst thing that can happen to an officer is that he does not listen to his District Judge and in this case the District Judge has given an adverse remark in that regard. He was in fact also warned by a D.O. Letter. However, since I find that that the officer is young and had joined only on 25.07.2018, I recommend that the adverse remarks be treated as advisory in nature only and they may not affect the future career of the officer.

The representation made by the officer is, accordingly, disposed of.

Overall assessment: Average

Integrity: Certified

Justice Siddhartha Varma the then A.J., Sultanpur

Average Overall assessment Certified Integrity

Vide order dated nil read with Court Remarks dated 04.03.2023 recorded by the then Hon'ble Administrative Judge, Sultanpur for the year 2021-22, the adverse remarks occurring in the A.C.R. be treated only as advisory in nature.

Registrar General