

SELF ASSESSMENT APPLICATION

Case Id : A00044152023	Employee No.:- 5924
3 MONTHS COMPLETION	
Whether 3 Months are complete for remarks of DISTRICT JUDGE?	Yes

SELF ASSESSMENT FORM PART I

1.	Self Assessment Period	01/04/2022 - 31/03/2023	
2.	Name of the Officer	AJAY KUMAR TRIPATHI-II	
3.	Designation	Adtl. District & Sessions Judge	
4.	Date of Joining Service / Length of Service	22/03/2001 (22 Years, 0 Months and 27 days)	
5.	Place of Posting	Kanpur Naqar Posting Details During Self Assessment Period 1. Pratapgarh-Adtl. Principal Judge, Family Court	
6.	Any other charge held during the financial year	1. Central Public Information Officer 2. Chairman, Administrative Committee 3. Chairman, Infrastructure Sub Committee 4. Chairman, Grievance Redressal Committee 5. Chairman, District Court Establishment Portal Committee 6. Chairman, Monitoring of Government Observation Home at Naubasta 7. Member, Action Plan Committee, 8. Member SCMS Committee 9. Member, RE Suo Moto Relating to Security and Protection in All Court Campuses in the State of UP 10. Member, Committee of Promotion of Class III and Class IV.	
7.	Year wise break up of cases	Annexure Attached.	Attachment Available
8.	Courts held during the financial year	Annexure Attached.	Attachment Available
9.	In how many cases have you framed the issues	3 Cases.	
10.	In how many cases have you framed the charge	31 Cases.	
11.	Number of cases in which Judgment not delivered within 15 days of conclusions of argument	Nil	
12.	Percentage of appeals remanded by the officer	Nil.	
13.	Inspections	Annexure Attached.	Attachment Available
14.	Remarks if any	Nil.	
15.	Details of the works by the officer	Annexure Attached.	Attachment Available
16.	Performance in Lok Adalat	Cases Disposed in Lok Adalat: 10 Cases Disposed in Pratapgarh Judgeship. 20 Arbitration Cases disposed in Kanpur Nagar Judgeship.	

SELF ASSESSMENT FORM PART II

1.	Brief description of duties	<p>Judicial Side:</p> <p>1. Presided Over the Court of Additional Principal Judge Family Court No. II, Pratapgarh from 01.01.2022 to 04.07.2022.</p> <p>2. Presided over the Court of Additional District and Sessions Judge, Court No. 1, Kanpur Nagar from 06.07.2022 to 31.03.2023.</p> <p>Administrative Side:</p> <p>1. Central Public Information Officer 2. Chairman, Administrative Committee 3. Chairman, Infrastructure Sub Committee 4. Chairman, Grievance Redressal Committee 5. Chairman, District Court Establishment Portal Committee 6. Chairman, Monitoring of Government Observation Home at Naubasta 7. Member, Action Plan Committee, 8. Member SCMS Committee 9. Member, RE Suo Moto Relating to Security and Protection in All Court Campuses in the State of UP 10. Member, Committee of Promotion of Class III and Class IV.</p>
----	------------------------------------	---

2.	Norms set and achieved in respect of disposal of cases.		
	Target	Achievements	
	1200 Units. Norms set: Process were issued and other steps in accordance with law, were taken and unnecessary adjournment were not allowed.	I have achieved total 1954.40 Units during the year. Speedy disposal of cases.	
2A.	Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 5 years old.		Atta chm ent Avail able
	Target	Achievements	
	All more than 5 years old cases were categorised and amongst them ripe cases were identified for disposal and fixing short dates.		
2A(i)	Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.		Atta chm ent Avail able
	Target	Achievements	
	Total 346 cases were pending at Pratapgarh. Total 161 cases were pending at Kanpur Nagar Judgeship.	I have disposed 72 cases at Pratapgarh. I have disposed cases 43 at Kanpur Nagar Judgeship.	
2A(i)	Number of such matters disposed of during the year.		
	Target	Achievements	
	Total 346 cases were pending at Pratapgarh. Total 161 cases were pending at Kanpur Nagar Judgeship.	I have disposed 72 cases at Pratapgarh. I have disposed cases 43 at Kanpur Nagar Judgeship.	
2B.	Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 10 years old.		
	Target	Achievements	
	Total 40 cases were pending at Pratapgarh. Total 132 cases were pending at Kanpur Nagar Judgeship. Process were issued and other steps in accordance with law, were taken and unnecessary adjournment were not allowed.	I have disposed 6 cases at Pratapgarh. I have disposed cases 33 at Kanpur Nagar Judgeship.	
2B(i)	Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.		Atta chm ent Avail able
	Target	Achievements	
	Total 40 cases were pending at Pratapgarh. Total 132 cases were pending at Kanpur Nagar Judgeship.	I have disposed 6 cases at Pratapgarh. I have disposed cases 33 at Kanpur Nagar Judgeship.	
2B(i)	Number of such matters disposed of during the year.		Atta chm ent Avail able
	Target	Achievements	
	Total 40 cases were pending at Pratapgarh. Total 132 cases were pending at Kanpur Nagar Judgeship.	I have disposed 6 cases at Pratapgarh. I have disposed cases 33 at Kanpur Nagar Judgeship.	
2C.	Steps taken to dispose of cases of persons with more than 65 years of age.		
	Target	Achievements	
	There was no case pending in this category.	N.A.	
2C(i)	Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.		Atta chm ent Avail able
	Target	Achievements	
	There was no case pending in this category.	N.A.	

2C(i) i).	Number of such matters disposed of during the year.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
	There was no case pending in this category.	N.A.	
3.	Please state briefly the shortfalls with reference to the targets / objectives referred to at S. No. 02 above. Please specify constraints, if any, in achieving the targets.		
	Target	Achievements	
	1. Frequent lawyers strike/no work resolution. 2. Adjournment given by prosecution due to non availability of processes on the witnesses. 3. Non production of witnesses / formal witnesses in very old and critically old cases due to witnesses being not traceable.		
4.	Academic and professional achievements during the year, including degree(s) obtained and/or books/articles published.		
	Target	Achievements	
	No.		
5.	Whether attended any workshop, course, programme, etc., organized by Judicial Academy and/or any other organization during the period in question? If so, give details.		
	Target	Achievements	
	1. Training at Judicial Training and Research Institute, Lucknow from 12.09.2022 to 16.09.2022. 2. Training at Indian Institute of Public Administration, Delhi from 13.03.2023 to 17.03.2023	Completed successfully. Completed successfully.	
6.	Whether visited Judicial Academy as Faculty Member. If so, give details about the nature of lecture(s) given/topic(s) discussed.		
	Target	Achievements	
	No.		

Judgment Attachments	
Judgment Attachment	Uploading Date
S.T. 726 of 2012 State Vs Mahendra Shukla	18/04/2023
S.T.568 of 2015 State Vs Shiv Prakash Tiwari	18/04/2023
Criminal Appeal 34 of 2012 Atul Kumar Maurya Vs State of UP	18/04/2023
Criminal Revision 149 of 2020 Shyam Sunder Jaiswal Vs State of UP	18/04/2023
Civil Appeal 178 of 2016 Sushil Kumar Pandey Vs Narendra Jeet Singh	18/04/2023
SCCR 97 of 2018 Satya Bhama Trivedi Vs Sarojini Trivedi	18/04/2023

Applying Date	
Date	13/04/2023

01-Remarks given by the District Judge regarding:		
01 (a).	Integrity of the Officer- whether beyond doubt, doubtful or positively lacking	Beyond doubt
	Note- If the officer's integrity is doubtful or positively lacking, it may be so stated with all relevant fact, reason(s) & supporting material.	
01 (b).	If he is fair and impartial in dealing with the public and Bar?	Yes
01 (c).	If he is cool minded and does not lose temper in court.	Yes
01 (d).	His private character is such as to lower him in the estimation of the public and adversely affects the discharge of his official duties?	No
1 (e).	CONTROL OVER THE FILES IN THE MATTER OF:	
01 (e)(i)(a).	Proper fixation of cause list:	Yes
01 (e)(i)(b).	Whether sufficient number of cases are fixed by him to keep him engaged during full court full court hours?	Yes
01 (e)(ii).	Avoidance of unnecessary adjournments:	Yes

01 (e)(iii).	Disposal of old cases(Give number and year of old cases decided):	No of 5 year old cases disposed-115 No of 10 year old cases disposed-39 125 Cr.P.C.- 1/2011, 1/2012, 3/2013, 1/2017. Crl. Misc.- 1/2016, 2/2017. Arbitration (Execution)- 1/2016. Misc.- 1/2017. Civil Appeal- 1/1998, 2/2016, 1/2017, 1/2001, 1/2006, 2/2009. Misc. Appeal- 1/2011. S.C.C.R.- 1/2012, 2/2014. Rent Revision- 1/2016. Civil Revision- 1/2013. Session Trial- 1/2003, 3/2006, 2/2007, 2/2012, 4/2013, 2/2014, 3/2015, 1/2017. Criminal Appeal- 2/2012. Criminal Revision- 1/2012, 1/2014, 2/2015. Criminal Misc.- 1/1993, 1/2015, 3/2017.
01 (e)(iv).	Progress and disposal of execution cases:	The officer has decided only 2 execution cases, whereas 9 execution cases were pending in his Court.
01 (e)(v).	Whether interim order, injunction being granted, refused or retained for sufficient reasons?	N.A.
01 (e)(vi).	Are cases remanded on substantial grounds?	No case remanded by the officer.
01 (e)(vii).	Performance with regard to decision of Motor Accident Claims related to death / injury	N.A.
01 (f).	Whether Judgment on facts and on law are on the whole sound, well-reasoned and expressed in good language?:	Yes
	Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in filling up this column:	
01 (f)(i).	Marshalling of facts;	Satisfactory
01 (f)(ii).	Appreciation of evidences;	Satisfactory
01 (f)(iii).	Application of law.	Satisfactory
01 (g).	Whether disposal of work is adequate.(Give percentage and reasons for short disposal, if any)	Adequate- 202.73 %.
	Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in filling up this column:	
01 (g)(i).	Number of cases decided after actual full contest;	152
01 (g)(ii).	Number of cases decided wherein all witnesses of fact turned hostile and the case ended in acquittal.	Nil
01 (g)(iii).	Number of civil cases decided on compromises / alternate dispute resolution.	The officer has decided 30 cases in Lok Adalat.
01 (g)(iv).	Number of cases wherein after conclusion of arguments and reserving them for judgment, rehearing was ordered.	Nil
01 (h).	Control over the Office and Administrative capacity and tact:	Satisfactory
01 (i).	Relations with members of the Bar(mention incidents, if any):	Good
01 (j).	Behavior in relation to brother Officers(mention incidents, if any):	Cordial
01 (k).	Whether the officer has made regular inspections of his court and offices in his charge and whether such inspections were full and effective?	Yes
01 (l).	His punctuality and regularity in sitting on the dais in court during court hours?	Yes
01 (m).	Whether amenable to the advice of the District Judge and other superior officers?	Yes
01 (n).	Behaviour towards women(respect and sensitivity exhibited towards them)	Good
2.	Over all assessment of the merit of the officer(Outstanding, Very Good, Good, Average, Poor)	Good
3.	State of Health, with remarks, if any?	Sound.

4.	Other remarks, if any:	<p>As per the Action Plan the officer was expected to decide 25 oldest Session Trial, 10 oldest Criminal Appeal, 10 oldest Civil Appeal, 10 oldest Execution Cases, but the officer has decided- 12/25 oldest Session Trial, 07/10 oldest Criminal Appeal, 9/10 oldest Civil Appeal, 2/9 oldest Execution Cases. As per the Action Plan, the officer has decided less number of Session Trial cases, Civil Appeal, Criminal Appeal and Execution Cases.</p> <p>The officer also worked for about 3 months as Additional Principal Judge, Family Court and as per Action Plan he was supposed to decide 25 Oldest cases relating to maintenance and 7 oldest other matrimonial cases but the officer has not disclosed how many of the above cases has been disposed by him during his tenure as Additional Principal Judge, Family Court.</p> <p>The officer is experienced having service of about 22 years and with more effort, could have decided more cases.</p>
5.	Name of the District Judge:	Sandeep Jain (UP-6526) 03.05.2023. Not Available

