APPLICATION FOR SELF ASSESSMENT

Case Id: A00033702022	Employee Id: 3636
3 MONTHS COMPLETION	
Whether 3 Months are complete for remarks of DISTRICT JUDGE?	Yes

		SELF ASSESSMENT FORM PART I	Poly Office
1.	Self Assessment Period	01/04/2021 - 31/03/2022	
2.	Name of the Officer	VIVEK PRAJAPATI	
3.	Designation	Civil Judge (Junior Div.)	
4.	Date of Joining Service / Length of Service	13/12/2019 (2 Years 3 Months and 29 Days)	
5.	Place of Posting	Mahoba Posting Details During Self Assessment Period 1. Mahoba-Civil Judge (Junior Div.)	
6.	Any other charge held during the financial year	Deputy officer in charge Nazarat, Buyer GEM Portal.	
7.	Year wise break up of cases	File Attached (Annexure -A)	Attachment Available
6. 7. 8.	Courts held during the financial year	1- Civil Judge (Junior Div.)(FTC) Mahoba. From 01.04.2021 to 28.06.2021) 2- Civil Judge (Junior Div.)(FTC)(Crime Against Women), Mahoba From 28.06.2021 to 31.03.2022	Attachment Available
9.	In how many cases have you framed the issues	02	
10.	. In how many cases have you framed the charge	69 Cases Warrant Trial 08 u/s 251 Cr.P.C. NI Act	
11.	Number of cases in which Judgment not delivered within 15 days of conclusions of argument	Nil	
12	Percentage of appeals remanded by the officer	Nil	
13	Inspections	1st Quarter:Inspection Date. 23/24.06.2021 2nd Quarter:Inspection Date. 27/28-09-2021 3rd Quarter:Inspection Date. 22-12-2021 4th Quarter:Inspection Date. 29-03-2022	Attachment Available
14	Remarks if any	Member of data collection, Gradation and Probation committee.	
14	5. Details of the works by the officer	File Attached (Annexure-D)	Attachmen Available
10	6. Performance in Lok Adalat	Date Total fixed cases Total decided cases 12.07.2021 10 01 11.09.2021 331 304 11.12.2021 509 356 12.03.2022 592 583	

SELF ASSESSMENT FORM PART II

Validity unknown
Digitally signed by Dresh PATHAK
Date: 2023.04.21 5542 IST
Reason: Document Owner
Location: Allahabat High Court

2B(i).	Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
	To disposal the 10 years old cases on priority.	Successfully disposal 13 five year old cases out of which 3 were Contested and 10 Uncontested Criminal cases.	
	To get regular status of such cases which are stayed by the higher courts.	Learned counsel of such cases are regularly called of and notices were issue to the parties to get the status.	
	To force the appearance of witessness in such criminal cases.	Regularly witness summons were issued and some times bailable warrants were issued.	
	To not allow unnecessary adjournment.	Successfully achieved it in most of the cases and put	
	To fix the cases on alternate days which are at the final hearing.	heavy cost on unnecessary Adjournments. Successfully achieved it in most of the cases.	
B(ii).	Number of such matters disposed of during the year.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
	Nil	File Attached	
2C.	Steps taken to dispose of cases of persons with more tha	n 65 years of age.	
	Target	Achievements	
	To dispose of cases of persons with more than To take up files of such cases every day at the earliest and try to dispose them as early as possible. To fix the dates of such cases adjournment To fix the cases on alternate days which are at the final hearing.	Nil Nil	
2C(i).	Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the	Nil year.	Attachmer Available
	Target	Achievements	
		File Attached	
2C(ii)	. Number of such matters disposed of during the year.		Attachmer Available
	Target	Achievements	
		File Attached	
3.	Please state briefly the shortfalls with reference to the targets / objectives referred to at S. No. 02 above. Please specify constraints, if any, in achieving the targets.		
	Target	Achievements	
	Reason for short fall in disposal of cases.	A. Large Number of pend-ency in Courts make it very difficult to priorities such cases.B. Unnecessary, Adjournment and delaying tactics of	

		advocates make it difficult to dispose of such cases. C. The stay orders of Honorable higher courts, which were passed long ago make it difficult to dispose off such cases. D. Prosecution witnesses do not appear in criminal cases even after repeatedly issuance of summons and warrants.	
۱.	Academic and professional achievements during the year, including degree(s) obtained and/or books/articles published.		
	Target	Achievements	
	Nil	Nil	
5.	Whether attended any workshop, course, programme, etc., organized by Judicial Academy and/or any other organization during the period in question? If so, give details.		
	Target	Achievements	
	1- Field Training J.J. Board one day on date 06.04.2021 2-One day sensitization programme 01-08-2021 3- Fourth Round of Cluster Training 28-08-2021 4- CIS Training 3.0 I.J.T.R Lucknow From 06.12.2021 to 10.12.2021.		
6.	Whether visited Judicial Academy as Faculty Member. If so, give details about the nature of lecture(s) given/topic(s) discussed.		
	Target	Achievements	
	Nil	Nil	

	Applying Date
Date	11/04/2022

01 (a).	Integrity of the Officer- whether beyond doubt, doubtful or positively lacking	Beyond doubt.	
	Note- If the officer's integrity is doubtful or positively lac material.	cking, it may be so stated with all relevant fact, reason(s) & supporting	
01 (b).	If he is fair and impartial in dealing with the public and Bar?	Yes.	
01 (c).	If he is cool minded and does not lose temper in court.	Yes.	
01 (d).	His private character is such as to lower him in the estimation of the public and adversely affects the discharge of his official duties?	Nothung adverse.	
1 (e).	CONTROL OVER THE FILES IN THE MATTER OF:		
01 (e)(i)(a).	Proper fixation of cause list: Yes.		
01 (e)(i)(b).	Whether sufficient number of cases are fixed by him to keep him engaged during full court full court hours?	Yes.	
01 (e)(ii).	Avoidance of unnecessary adjournments:	Yes.	
01 (e)(iii).	Disposal of old cases(Give number and year of old cases decided):	As many as 27 cases of more than five years old disposed, out of which 7 cases were decided after full contest.	

		Year-wise Breakup are- 1/2006, 1/2007, 3/2010, 3/2011, 5/2012, 2/2013, 2/2014, 3/2015, 7/2016
		(As Per C.L8)
01 (e)(iv).	Progress and disposal of execution cases:	Not a single execution case was decided during the assessment year,
01 (e)(v).	Whether interim order, injunction being granted, refused or retained for sufficient reasons?	Yes.
01 (e)(vi).	Are cases remanded on substantial grounds?	Not applicable as no appellate work done.
01 (e)(vii).	Performance with regard to decision of Motor Accident Claims related to death / injury	Not applicable.
01 (f).	Whether Judgment on facts and on law are on the whole sound, well-reasoned and expressed in good language?:	The judgements on fact and law are sound and well reasoned.
	Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in	filling up this column:
01 (f)(i).	Marshalling of facts;	Proper.
01 (f)(ii).	Appreciation of evidences;	Proper.
01 (f)(iii).	Application of law,	Proper.
01 (g).	Whether disposal of work is adequate.(Give percentage and reasons for short disposal, if any)	724.05 units against prescribed 623.02 units. 116.21% Adequate and above the norms.
	Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in	filling up this column:
01 (g)(i).	Number of cases decided after actual full contest;	18 cases.
01 (g)(ii).	Number of cases decided wherein all witnesses of fact turned hostile and the case ended in acquittal.	Nil.
01 (g)(iii).	Number of civil cases decided on compromises / alternate dispute resolution.	1 case.
01 (g)(iv).	Number of cases wherein after conclusion of arguments and reserving them for judgment, rehearing was ordered.	Nil.
01 (h).	Control over the Office and Administrative capacity and tact:	Good.
01 (i).	Relations with members of the Bar(mention incidents, if any):	Good.
01 (j).	Behavior in relation to brother Officers(mention incidents, if any):	Good
01 (k).	Whether the officer has made regular inspections of his court and offices in his charge and whether such inspections were full and effective?	Yes
01 (1).	His punctuality and regularity in sitting on the dais in court during court hours?	Punctual.
01 (m).	Whether amenable to the advice of the District Judge and other superior officers?	Yes.
01 (n).	Behaviour towards women(respect and sensitivity exhibited towards them)	Good.
2.	Over all assessment of the merit of the	Very Good V

	officer(Outstanding, Very Good, Good, Average, Poor)	
3.	State of Health, with remarks, if any?	Normal.
4.	Other remarks, if any:	During the whole year, the office has decided only 18 cases as contested. It shows that as a new officer, he has not full committed and devoted towards his work.
5.	Name of the District Judge:	Devendra Singh-I (UP6554) 14.06.2022

Annual remarks recorded by the Administrative Judge/Reviewing and Accepting Authority

Dinesh Pathak(HON'BLE JUDGE)

Considered the report submitted by Ld. District Judge coupled with the representation moved by self assessee and the record placed before me. Overall performance of the Officer concern except short disposal of the cases is appears to be quite satisfactory. Work disposal as per unit is adequate. Nothing found advers against the Officer concerned. Being a newly appointed Judicial Officer in the year 2019 he need incouragement in his work. Offier is advised to be more cautious in future in discharging his Judicial duty. He is now being upgraded as very good.

Representation is disposed of accordingly.

Overall assessment	Very Good	
Integrity	Certified	

Vide Court Remarks dated 21.04.2023 read with order dated nil of the then Administrative Judge, Mahoba for the year 2021-22, overall assessment of merit of the officer upgraded as 'Very Good'.

Registrar General