APPLICATION FOR SELF ASSESSMENT

| Case Id: A00001592022 | Employee Id: 3568 | |
|---|-------------------|--|
| 3 MONTHS COMPLETION | | |
| Whether 3 Months are complete for remarks of DISTRICT JUDGE? Yes | | |

| | SELF | ASSESSMENT FORM PART I | |
|-----|---|--|-------------------------|
| 1. | Self Assessment Period | 01/04/2021 - 31/03/2022 | |
| 2. | Name of the Officer | SUMIT GUPTA | |
| 3. | Designation | Judicial Magistrate | |
| 4. | Date of Joining Service / Length of Service | 13/12/2019 (2 Years 3 Months and 24 Days) | |
| 5. | Place of Posting | Mahoba Posting Details During Self Assessment Period 1. Mahoba-Civil Judge (Junior Div.) | |
| 6. | Any other charge held during the financial year | (1) Assitant Nodal Officer Computer From 28.06. 2021 to 31.03.2022(2) Incharge Inspection Section From 16.04.21 to 31.03.2022 | |
| 7. | Year wise break up of cases | ANNEXURE_A | Attachment Available |
| 8. | Courts held during the financial year | ANNEXURE_B | Attachment Available |
| 9. | In how many cases have you framed the issues | NIL | |
| 10. | In how many cases have you framed the charge | 36 | |
| 11. | Number of cases in which Judgment not delivered within 15 days of conclusions of argument | NIL | |
| 12. | Percentage of appeals remanded by the officer | NIL | |
| 13. | Inspections | ANNEXURE_C | Attachment Available |
| 14. | Remarks if any | During financial Year 2021-22 due to covid-19 pandamic court remain unfunctional for cosiderable time period. | |
| 15 | Details of the works by the officer | ANNEXTURE_D | Attachment Available |
| 16 | Performance in Lok Adalat | Total number of cases disposed at Lok Adalat held in 10.07.21 ,11.09.21 ,11.12.21 ,12.03.22 = 667 Cases | |

| | SEI | F ASSESSMENT FORM PART II |
|------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|
| 1. | Brief description of duties | JUDICIAL WORK: |
| Vali | dity unkn own | |

Digitally signed by D. NESH PATHAK Date: 2023.04.21 / .50.50 IST Reason: Document Owner Location: Allahaba High Court

| ns set and achieved in respect of disposal of cases. Target hits:-1000 (Without Steno) sposal of old cases seduction of pendency Target ioritize hearing of such cases. void unnecessary Adjourments | 1. Presiding officer in Court of Civil Judge (JD)-Crime Against Women from 01.04.2021 to 29.06.2021 2. Presiding officer in Court of Judicial Magistrate - second from 29.06.2021 to 31.03.2022 ADMINISTRATIVE WORK: (1) Assitant Nodal Officer Computer From 28.06. 2021 to 31.03.2022 (2) Incharge Inspection Section From 16.04.21 to 31.03.2022 Achievements 1. 1382.69 units 2. Successfully achieved target by disposing 06 old cases. 3. Successfully achieved target by disposing 1081 Cases. 4. Successfully decided 06 contested Cases. |
|--|---|
| Target nits:-1000 (Without Steno) sposal of old cases eduction of pendency s taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 5 Target ioritize hearing of such cases. | ADMINISTRATIVE WORK: (1) Assitant Nodal Officer Computer From 28.06. 2021 to 31.03.2022 (2) Incharge Inspection Section From 16.04.21 to 31.03.2022 Achievements 1. 1382.69 units 2. Successfully achieved target by disposing 06 old cases. 3. Successfully achieved target by disposing 1081 Cases. 4. Successfully decided 06 contested Cases. |
| Target nits:-1000 (Without Steno) sposal of old cases eduction of pendency s taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 5 Target ioritize hearing of such cases. | (1) Assitant Nodal Officer Computer From 28.06. 2021 to 31.03.2022 (2) Incharge Inspection Section From 16.04.21 to 31.03.2022 Achievements 1. 1382.69 units 2. Successfully achieved target by disposing 06 old cases. 3. Successfully achieved target by disposing 1081 Cases. 4. Successfully decided 06 contested Cases. |
| Target nits:-1000 (Without Steno) sposal of old cases eduction of pendency s taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 5 Target ioritize hearing of such cases. | Achievements 1. 1382.69 units 2. Successfully achieved target by disposing 06 old cases. 3. Successfully achieved target by disposing 1081 Cases. 4. Successfully decided 06 contested Cases. |
| Target nits:-1000 (Without Steno) sposal of old cases eduction of pendency s taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 5 Target ioritize hearing of such cases. | Achievements 1. 1382.69 units 2. Successfully achieved target by disposing 06 old cases. 3. Successfully achieved target by disposing 1081 Cases. 4. Successfully decided 06 contested Cases. |
| Target nits:-1000 (Without Steno) sposal of old cases eduction of pendency s taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 5 Target ioritize hearing of such cases. | 1. 1382.69 units 2. Successfully achieved target by disposing 06 old cases. 3. Successfully achieved target by disposing 1081 Cases. 4. Successfully decided 06 contested Cases. |
| Target nits:-1000 (Without Steno) sposal of old cases eduction of pendency s taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 5 Target ioritize hearing of such cases. | 1. 1382.69 units 2. Successfully achieved target by disposing 06 old cases. 3. Successfully achieved target by disposing 1081 Cases. 4. Successfully decided 06 contested Cases. |
| nits:-1000 (Without Steno) sposal of old cases eduction of pendency s taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 5 Target ioritize hearing of such cases. | 1. 1382.69 units 2. Successfully achieved target by disposing 06 old cases. 3. Successfully achieved target by disposing 1081 Cases. 4. Successfully decided 06 contested Cases. |
| sposal of old cases eduction of pendency s taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 5 Target ioritize hearing of such cases. | Successfully achieved target by disposing 06 old cases. Successfully achieved target by disposing 1081 Cases. Successfully decided 06 contested Cases. |
| s taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 5 Target ioritize hearing of such cases. | 4. Sucessfully decided 06 contested Cases. |
| Target ioritize hearing of such cases. | years old. |
| Target ioritize hearing of such cases. | |
| Target ioritize hearing of such cases. | |
| Target ioritize hearing of such cases. | |
| ioritize hearing of such cases. | Achievements |
| n | |
| void unnecessary Adjourments | 1.Successfully Achieved target by fixing short dates in old cases. |
| | 2. Successfully Achieved target by imposing costs. |
| ocusing on complition of Evidence in such matters | 3. Successfully Achieved this target by making due correspondence with higher authorities. |
| dency of such matters at the commencement of the year | |
| Target | Achievements |
| _ , , | Disposed criminal Cases in Court of JM-Second :- 04 |
| ninal cases in court of JM-second ;- 188 Cases | |
| nber of such matters disposed of during the year. | |
| Target | Achievements |
| osed criminal Cases in Court of JM-Second :- 15 | Disposed criminal Cases in Court of JM-Second :- 04 Undisposed cases of more than five years old have been matured and are likely to be disposed in commencing year. |
| s taken to dispose of old matters which are more than | 10 years old. |
| | |
| | ninal cases in court of Civil Judge (JD)-Crime Against men:- 062 Cases ninal cases in court of JM-second ;- 188 Cases nber of such matters disposed of during the year. |

| | Prioritize hearing of such cases. Avoid unnecessary Adjourments. Focusing on complition of Evidence in such matters. | Successfully Achieved target by fixing short dates in old cases. Successfully Achieved target by imposing costs. Successfully Achieved this target by making due correspondence with higher authorities. | |
|---------|--|--|--|
| 2B(i). | Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the yes | | |
| | Target | Achievements | |
| | Criminal cases in court of Civil Judge (JD)-Crime Against Women:- 07Cases Criminal cases in court of JM-second ;- 37 Cases | Disposed criminal Cases in court of JM-second :- 02 | |
| 2B(ii). | Number of such matters disposed of during the year. | | |
| | Target | Achievements | |
| | Disposed criminal Cases in court of JM-second :- 10 | Disposed criminal Cases in court of JM-second :- 02 Undisposed cases of more than five years old have been matured and are likely to be disposed in commencing year. | |
| 2C. | Steps taken to dispose of cases of persons with more than | 65 years of age. | |
| | Target | Achievements | |
| | Speedy and timely disposal of such cases. | Every effort made to dispose such matters on priority basis. | |
| 2C(i). | Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year. | | |
| | Target | Achievements | |
| | 29 | 03 | |
| 2C(ii). | Number of such matters disposed of during the year. | | |
| | Target | Achievements | |
| | 29 | 03 | |
| 3. | Please state briefly the shortfalls with reference to the targets / objectives referred to at S. No. 02 above. Please specify constraints, if any, in achieving the targets. | | |
| | Target | Achievements | |
| | Non appearance of accused and witnessess. | 1. Issued D.O. letters to higher authorities. | |
| | 2. Huge pendency of cases in court. | 2. Priorites hearing of old cases. | |
| | 3. Strike of advocates . | 3. Adjourments not accepted without due reasons. | |
| | 4. Court closed due to Covid -19. | | |
| 4. | Academic and professional achievements during the year, including degree(s) obtained and/or books/articles published | | |
| | Target | Achievements | |
| | NIL | NIL | |
| | | | |

| | organization during the period in question? If so, give details. | |
|----|--|---|
| | Target | Achievements |
| | Refresher E-Training by way of cluster workshop dated: 28.08.2021 Training for newly inducted Civil Judges (JD) ,At JTRI - Lucknow, dated 29.11.2021 to 03.12.2021. | Attended and participated successfully. Attended and participated successfully. |
| 6. | Whether visited Judicial Academy as Faculty Member. If so, give details about the nature of lecture(s) given/topic(s) discussed. | |
| | Target | Achievements |
| | NA | NA |

| Applying Date | | |
|---------------|------------|--|
| Date | 06/04/2022 | |

| | rks given by the District Judge rega | |
|---------------|---|--|
| 01 (a). | Integrity of the Officer- whether beyond doubt, doubtful or positively lacking | Beyond doubt. |
| | Note- If the officer's integrity is doubtful or positively la material. | cking, it may be so stated with all relevant fact, reason(s) & supporting |
| 01 (b). | If he is fair and impartial in dealing with the public and Bar? | Yes. |
| 01 (c). | If he is cool minded and does not lose temper in court. | Yes. |
| 01 (d). | His private character is such as to lower him in the estimation of the public and adversely affects the discharge of his official duties? | Nothung adverse. |
| 1 (e). | CONTROL OVER THE FILES IN THE MATTER OF: | |
| 01 (e)(i)(a). | Proper fixation of cause list: | Yes. |
| 01 (e)(i)(b). | Whether sufficient number of cases are fixed by him to keep him engaged during full court full court hours? | Yes. |
| 01 (e)(ii). | Avoidance of unnecessary adjournments: | Yes. |
| 01 (e)(iii). | Disposal of old cases(Give number and year of old cases decided): | As many as 6 cases of more than five years old disposed after full contest. Year-wise Breakup are- 2/2009, 1/2012, 1/2014, 1/2015, 1/2016 |
| | | (As per C.L8) |
| 01 (e)(iv). | Progress and disposal of execution cases: | Not applicable as officer has worked purely in criminal side for whole of the year. |
| 01 (e)(v). | Whether interim order, injunction being granted, refused or retained for sufficient reasons? | Not applicable as doing purely criminal work. |
| 01 (e)(vi). | Are cases remanded on substantial grounds? | Not applicable as no appellate work done. |
| 01 (e)(vii). | Performance with regard to decision of Motor Acciden Claims related to death / injury | t Not applicable. |

| 01 (f). | Whether Judgment on facts and on law are on the whole sound, well-reasoned and expressed in good language?: | The judgements on fact and law are sound and well reasoned. |
|--------------|---|---|
| | Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in f | filling up this column: |
| 01 (f)(i). | Marshalling of facts; | Proper. |
| 01 (f)(ii). | Appreciation of evidences; | Proper. |
| 01 (f)(iii). | Application of law. | Proper. |
| 01 (g). | Whether disposal of work is adequate.(Give percentage and reasons for short disposal, if any) | 1382.69 units against prescribed 1000 units. 138.69% Adequate and above the norms. |
| | Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in | filling up this column: |
| 01 (g)(i). | Number of cases decided after actual full contest; | 6 Cases |
| 01 (g)(ii). | Number of cases decided wherein all witnesses of fact turned hostile and the case ended in acquittal. | Nil. |
| 01 (g)(iii). | Number of civil cases decided on compromises / alternate dispute resolution. | Not applicable. |
| 01 (g)(iv). | Number of cases wherein after conclusion of arguments and reserving them for judgment, rehearing was ordered. | Nil. |
| 01 (h). | Control over the Office and Administrative capacity and tact: | Good. |
| 01 (i). | Relations with members of the Bar(mention incidents, if any): | Good. |
| 01 (j). | Behavior in relation to brother Officers(mention incidents, if any): | Good |
| 01 (k). | Whether the officer has made regular inspections of his court and offices in his charge and whether such inspections were full and effective? | Yes |
| 01 (1). | His punctuality and regularity in sitting on the dais in court during court hours? | Punctual. |
| 01 (m). | Whether amenable to the advice of the District Judge and other superior officers? | Yes. |
| 01 (n). | Behaviour towards women(respect and sensitivity exhibited towards them) | Good. |
| 2. | Over all assessment of the merit of the officer(Outstanding, Very Good, Good, Average, Poor) | № Very Good |
| 3. | State of Health, with remarks, if any? | Normal. |
| 4. | Other remarks, if any: | During the whole year, the office has decided only 6 cases as contested. It shows that as a new officer, he has not full committme and devotion towards his work. |
| 5. | Name of the District Judge: | Devendra Singh-I (UP6554) |

Annual remarks recorded by the Administrative Judge/Reviewing and Accepting

Authority

Dinesh Pathak(HON'BLE JUDGE)

Considered the report submitted by Ld. District Judge coupled with the representation moved by self assessee and the record placed before me. Overall performance of the Officer concern except short disposal of the cases is appears to be quite satisfactory. Work disposal as per unit is adequate. Nothing found advers against the Officer concerned. Being a newly appointed Judicial Officer in the year 2019 he needs incouragement in his work. Offier is advised to be more cautious in future in discharging his Judicial duty. He is now being upgraded as very good.

Representation is disposed of accordingly.

| Overall assessment | Very Good |
|--------------------|-----------|
| Integrity | Certified |

Vide Court Remarks dated 21.04.2023 read with order dated nil of the then Administrative Judge, Mahoba for the year 2021-22, overall assessment of merit of the officer upgraded as 'Very Good'.

Registrar General