Ashish Garg, HJS, **Registrar General** 

HIGH COURT

D.O. No. C-148 /CF(B)/2022

Dated: Allahabad: March 26, 2022

Dear Madam/Sir,

While noticing the existing pendency of cases in the Courts subordinate to the High Court of Judicature at Allahabad, the Hon'ble Court has been pleased to

implement an action plan for Judicial Officers, in order to lessen the heap of

pending cases.

The Action Plan for Judicial Officers of Uttar Pradesh 2022-2023 has been

made applicable to the respective Courts from 01.04.2022 to 31.03.2023 and is

being enclosed herewith as a part of this communication. You are requested to

kindly treat it as such.

For the purpose of reporting and ease of data collection the information

sought in the requisite proforma on a quarterly basis shall be submitted in an

electronic format on conf@allahabadhighcourt.in, not later than fifteenth day of

the month immediately following the end of the quarter which is being reported

upon.

Your Goodself is requested to make it convenient at your end to take

necessary action for smooth implementation in the matter.

With regards,

Yours sincerely,

Sd/-

(Ashish Garg)

1. All the District Judges,

2. All the Presiding Officers, Motor Accident Claim Tribunals &

3. All the Principal Judges, Family Courts

Subordinate to the High Court of Judicature at ALLAHABAD

Annexed: As Above

Action Plan- 2022-23

## Action Plan for Judicial Officers of the Uttar Pradesh 2022-23

## Preface:

Piling up of pending cases in subordinate judiciary is of great concern. Subordinate judiciary of Uttar Pradesh is continuously taking endeavour to reduce accumulated huge pendency of cases. To give edge to the endeavour a well structured planning is required to lessen the heap of pending cases. Without categorical planning, the effort will be directionless and futile. An Action-Plan leads to a particular direction to attain a specific end. It is a visual guide to help in achieving goals in an effective and timely manner. It will also aid to stay motivated and committed to object. It can boost productivity multi-fold and keep one focused and it radically improves accountability. A well-crafted Action Plan can guide to achieve the optimum result. Thus, a need to formulate Action Plan in this regard has been felt.

This Action Plan is prepared for meeting out the targets within the period from **01.04.2022** to **31.03.2023**.

Sl. No.	Courts	Target Proposed for disposal of old cases and cases of specified categories under Action Plan-2022-23
1.	District & Session Judges	25 Oldest Session Trial cases
2.	Additional District & Sessions Judges (Having no special jurisdiction)	25 oldest Session Trial cases
3.	Additional District & Sessions Judges  [Having jurisdiction of Scheduled Caste and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989]	

4.	Additional District & Sessions Judges (Having jurisdiction of Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988)	<ul> <li>(i) Cases under Prevention of Corruption Act-</li> <li>10 oldest cases</li> <li>(ii) Other Session Trial cases-</li> <li>20 oldest cases</li> </ul>	
5.	Additional District & Sessions Judges  (Having jurisdiction of Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012)	50 oldest cases under POCSO Act	
6.	Sessions Judges		
7.	Additional District & Sessions Judges  (Having jurisdiction of cases of heinous crime against women)		
8.	Additional District & Sessions Judges (Having jurisdiction of C.B.I. cases)		
9.	Sessions Judges  [Having jurisdiction of The Uttar Pradesh Gangsters and Anti-	<ul> <li>(i) Cases under Uttar Pradesh Gangsters and Anti-Social Activities (Prevention) Act, 1986-</li> <li>15 oldest cases</li> <li>(ii) Other Session Trial cases-</li> <li>10 oldest cases</li> </ul>	
10.	Additional District & Sessions Judges	(i) 10 oldest Criminal Appeals (ii) 10 oldest Civil Appeals	

		(iii) 10 oldest Execution cases	
11.	Additional Courts  (Having jurisdiction of Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881)	50 oldest cases	
12.	Motor Accident Claims Tribunals	100 oldest contested cases	
13.	Family Judges	(i) Cases relating to maintenance 100 oldest cases  (ii) Other matrimonial cases 30 oldest cases	
14.	Chief Judicial Magistrates	35 oldest cases (other than miscellaneous cases)	
15.	Additional Chief Judicial Magistrates/Judicial Magistrates	35 oldest cases (other than miscellaneous cases)	
16.	Juvenile Justice Board	<ul> <li>(i) Having more than 500 cases-35 oldest inquiries</li> <li>(ii) Having less than 500 cases-Inquiries of more than two years old from the date of filing.</li> </ul>	
17.	Civil Judges (Senior Division)	(i) Original suits- 30 oldest (ii) Execution cases- 10 oldest cases	
18.	Civil Judges (Junior Division)	<ul><li>(i) Original suits – 30 oldest</li><li>(ii) Execution Cases – 10 oldest cases</li></ul>	
19.	Judge Small Cause Court	50 oldest cases	

## Guidelines:

a) Stayed cases are not to be included in old targeted cases.

- b) The District & Sessions Judges and C2hief Judicial Magistrates shall distribute and allocate the cases targeted under Action Plan-2022-23 equally, as nearly as possible, amongst the Judicial Officers keeping in view the year of institution so that the pendency of old cases in each Court reflects almost of the same period to ensure that the oldest cases in the Sessions Division are properly targeted.
- c) The disposal of Action Plan cases be taken into consideration at the time of recording of Annual Confidential Report of the Judicial Officers.
- d) The District Judges shall make effective supervision and ensure positive progress of disposal of old targeted cases by Judicial Officers in their Judgeship. Pace and effectiveness of their supervision, shall also be taken into consideration by Administrative Judges at the time of recording their Annual Confidential Report.
- e) The progress of disposal of old targeted cases shall be submitted to the High Court on quarterly basis on the following format:

Sl. No.	(i)	(ii)	(iii)
	Category of cases	Number of targeted cases disposed of during the quarter	Remark, if any