

From,

Monica Thakur
Additional District Judge
Court Room No. 2

To,

The Registrar General,
Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Allahabad
Prayagraj(Allahabad)

Through: District Judge, Pratapgarh

Sub: Representation against annual confidential remarks for the assessment year 2022-23 given by Ld. District Judge Pratapgarh.

Sir,

It is most humbly submitted that: -

1 That in the relevant year 2022-23 I was posted as

(a) as special Judge (SC/ST, Prevention of Atrocities Act, Pratapgarh from 15.04.2021 to 04.07.2022)

(b) As Special Judge (E.C. Act from 04.07.2022 to 11.05.2023)

2. That the respected District Judge, Pratapgarh has been pleased to assess me "good on the basis of annual self-assessment" submitted by me to the District Judge.

3. That the respected District Judge has been please to certify my integrity beyond doubt.

4. That in the opinion of the respected District Judge I seem fair and impartial in dealing with public and Bar and seem to be cool minded Officer in court, my relation with Member of Bar he found decent, control over the Office and administrative capacity and tact was legitimate. I was punctual and regular in the sitting on the dais and was invariably available to the advice of the District Judge and other superior Officers and my behavior towards women was respectful.

5. That in spite of good opinion the respected District Judge has in the remark column 01(c) has put a contradictory remark as on one hand he has assessed me as a cool minded Officer but simultaneously he has mentioned that I need to control my temper which is without any material evidence. As no D.O. Letter has been given to me in that regard by Hon'ble District Judge. Even none of the Advocates or any colleague Officer has made any complaint to my knowledge regarding loss of temper in the court or otherwise. As such the remark made towards me by the Learned District Judge is derogatory and against dignity of a Judicial Officer and which is a result of a prejudiceness of Learned District Judge.

6. In remark column 01(e)(iv) the respected District Judge has mentioned progress and disposal of Execution Cases as nil in the assessment. It is relevant to mention here that in my self-assessment in column no. 14(4) I had specifically disclosed that there was none Execution Cases were pending in my court held by me during the assessment year. In spite of above declaration by me the Learned District Judge has wrongly remarked that none Execution Cases were decided as "nil" without any basis or material evidence which shows the prejudiceness of the Hon'ble District Judge with me. Therefore, the remark of Ld. District Judge given in this column is baseless and is against record and based on prejudiceness.

7. It is also pertinent to mention here that the remark given by Hon'ble District Judge in column 01(f) it find mentioned that my judgments were based on facts and law were on the whole sound and well-reasoned and expressed in good language. But at the same time Learned District Judge made a note that officer needs some practice for marshalling of facts, need some improvement in application of law and appreciation of evidence is up to some extent. Therefore, such remarks given by the Learned District Judge is contradictory and are not based on any reason or material evidence which reflects that the remark made by the learned District Judge is result of prejudiceness. No DO so far has been given by the learned District Judge to me in this regard and It is also relevant to mention that no order or Judgement to my

knowledge has been remanded by any Hon'ble superior court so far. It is also relevant to mention that all my judgments and orders are daily basis uploaded on CIS Server, which could have been earlier scrutinized and if any irregularity or marshaling of facts or evidence are found wrong in appreciation must have been advised by the Learned District Judge to me by means of any DO letter or oral advice. It is also pertinent to mention that the Hon'ble District Judge has opined that my judgment based on facts and law are on the whole sound well-reasoned and in expressed in good language then how and on what basis he stated that an Officer needs improvement as this improvement and learning for all is a continuous process and whether Hon'ble District Judge has advised all the officers to improve so far other officers entry is required to be perused whether Ld. District Judge has passed this remark with prejudiceness.

8. In the remark column 01 (g) it is pertinent to mention that I have achieved 2155.65 units of work done at the place of 1200 unit's despite of assigned different administrative works. I have also achieved units from actual disposal of cases on merits which is more than 50 % of the target unit that is 1161.00, and also on prorata basis my target of Action plan was more than target but still Learned District Judge had remarked that the Officer has not attained the target and on the other hand It has been remarked by the learned District Judge that the target attained by the Officer seems justified which is a result of misconception and biasness. It is relevant to mention here that I have achieved units beyond target In spite that instead of praising my work done the Learned District Judge has only justified my quota. In this regard appraisal done by Ld. District Judge of work done of other officer's for to be looked into while considering my representation .

9. The remark given by the Ld. District Judge in column 01(j) as "to be careful" in relation to behavior to other officers is baseless and without any material evidence. There is no complaint either oral or written against me by the any judicial officers. No DO has been given by the Ld. District Judge in this regard to me. I am always been humble to Ld. District Judge and other colleagues as well as Senior Officers.

10. The respected Ld. District Judge has used a different terminology other than the prescribed format by the Hon'ble High Court in column 01(m) that is whether officer is amenable to the advice of the District Judge and other superior officer, and the Ld. District Judge has remarked as the officer is invariably available.

11. Therefore it is my humble request and prayer that if the above confidential remark made by the respected District Judge is allowed to remain in existence, It may affect my career in future and also cause irreparable loss and injury. Therefore, it's humble request that the remarks made by Ld. District Judge in Columns 01(c),01(e)(iv), 01(f)(i)(ii)(iii), 01(g), 01(j), 01(m) are liable to be expunged from my annual confidential remark and also in the view of the above stated fact and circumstances his Lordship Administrative Judge Pratapgarh may kindly pleased to consider the representation of the applicant and is requested to improve my annual confidential remark.

It is therefore humbly requested to kindly place my representation before the hon'ble court for kind and sympathetic consideration.

With profound regards.

Date: 30.08.2023

Yours faithfully,
(Monica Thakur)
Addi. District Judge, Pratapgarh
JO Code : UP06185