

SELF ASSESSMENT APPLICATION

21/09/23 - 1

Case Id : A00041812023

Employee No.:3299

3 MONTHS COMPLETION

Whether 3 Months are complete for remarks of DISTRICT JUDGE? Yes

SELF ASSESSMENT FORM PART I

- | | | |
|---|---|----------------------|
| 1. Self Assessment Period | 01/04/2022 - 31/03/2023 | |
| 2. Name of the Officer | SUSHIRI MEHER JAHAN | |
| 3. Designation | Judicial Magistrate | |
| 4. Date of Joining Service / Length of Service | 14/11/2019 (3 Years, 4 Months and 24 days) | |
| 5. Place of Posting | Auraiya
Posting Details During Self Assessment Period
1. Auraiya-Civil Judge (Junior Div.) | |
| 6. Any other charge held during the financial year | 1- Civil Judge (Junior Division)/J.M. Auraiya- From-01/04/2022 to 04/07/2022
2- Computer Nodal Officer-From-01/04/2022 to 04/07/2022
3- Incharge Inquiry and inspection office- From-01/04/2022 to 04/07/2022 | |
| 7. Year wise break up of cases | proforma attached | Attachment Available |
| 8. Courts held during the financial year | Civil Judge (junior division) and Judicial Magistrate | Attachment Available |
| 9. In how many cases have you framed the issues | 23 | |
| 10. In how many cases have you framed the charge | 172 | |
| 11. Number of cases in which Judgment not delivered within 15 days of conclusions of argument | Nil | |
| 12. Percentage of appeals remanded by the officer | Nil | |
| 13. Inspections | Proforma attached | Attachment Available |
| 14. Remarks if any | During the assessment year 2022-2023, i have mainly focused on disposal of old cases. I have disposed a total of 2265 cases which were more than 05 years old and a total of 1370 cases which were more than 10 years old. Apart from this i have delivered contested judgement in 08 cases. I have also completed my Action Plan target. | |
| 15. Details of the works by the officer | Proforma attached | Attachment Available |
| 16. Performance in Lok Adalat | I have participated in 04 lok adalat-
1-14/05/2022 - 08 civil and 199 criminal cases were disposed.
2-13/08/2022 - 55 criminal cases were disposed.
3-12/11/2022 - 61 criminal cases were disposed.
4-11/02/2023 - 111 criminal cases were disposed. | |

SELF ASSESSMENT FORM PART II

1. **Brief description of duties**
- As Presiding Officer of the Court of Civil Judge(Junior Division) and Judicial Magistrate, Auraiya, i was assigned following nature of cases-
- 1-Criminal state cases related to IPC
 - 2-Complaint cases
 - 3-Final Reports
 - 4-Cases related to Negotiable Instruments Act
 - 5-Other criminal cases related to local and Special Acts
 - 6-Original and Misc. Civil Suits
 - 7-Indian Succession Act
 - 8-Execution Cases
 - 9-Other civil cases related to local and Special Acts
2. **Norms set and achieved in respect of disposal of cases.**
- | | | |
|--|---------------|---------------------|
| | Target | Achievements |
| | 717.84 Units | 2083.86 Units |
- 2A. **Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 5 years old.**

Self-Attested
Meher Jahan
7/11/2023

1/2

Target	Achievements	
To try to mature and dispose off old files on priority basis.	1. Old cases were heard on priority basis. 2. Short dates were given in such cases. 3. Adjournment applications were rarely allowed. 4. Focus was given to dispose off old cases by way of mediation and conciliation. 5. Processes were regularly issued	Attachment Available
2A(i) Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.		

Target	Achievements	
Pendency-4936	Disposed-2265	
2A(i) Number of such matters disposed of during the year.		Attachment Available

Target	Achievements	
Pendency-4936	Disposed-2265	
2B. Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 10 years old.		

Target	Achievements	
To try to mature and dispose off old files on priority basis.	1. Old cases were heard on priority basis. 2. Short dates were given in such cases. 3. Adjournment applications were rarely allowed. 4. Focus was given to dispose off old cases by way of mediation and conciliation. 5. Processes were regularly issued. 6. Letters were sent to concerned authorities for immediate compliance of processes. 7. Necessary steps (including coercive measures) were taken for attendance of witnesses.	Attachment Available
2B(i) Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.		

Target	Achievements	
Pendency-3088	Disposed-1370	
2B(i) Number of such matters disposed of during the year.		Attachment Available

Target	Achievements	
Pendency-3088	Disposed-1370	
2C. Steps taken to dispose of cases of persons with more than 65 years of age.		

Target	Achievements	
Target was to reduce the pendency of such cases on priority basis so that speedy justice can be meted out to elderly people.	1. Priority was given to such cases. 2. Senior citizens were treated more respectfully and sympathetically during hearing of the case. 3. Focus was given to settle such cases through mediation and conciliation. 4. Short dates were given in such cases. 5. Adjournment on frivolous grounds were not entertained.	Attachment Available
2C(i) Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.		

Target	Achievements	
Pendency-80	Disposed-72	
2C(i) Number of such matters disposed of during the year.		Attachment Available

Target	Achievements	
Pendency-80	Disposed-72	
3. Please state briefly the shortfalls with reference to the targets / objectives referred to at S. No. 02 above. Please specify constraints, if any, in achieving the targets.		

1/3

Target was to mature and decide cases at the earliest.

In achieving the given target, there were numerous constraints, some of them are listed below:

1. Non service of processes on accused residing in other Districts and States
2. Non appearance of formal witnesses.
3. Non service of processes of out of station witnesses.
4. Most of the time police witness excuses themselves on the ground of other official duties.
5. Many times process to secure the attendance of accused were returned unserved on ground that process servers were busy in other official duties
6. Insufficient staff.

4. Academic and professional achievements during the year, including degree(s) obtained and/or books/articles published.

Target	Achievements
Nil	Nil

5. Whether attended any workshop, course, programme, etc., organized by Judicial Academy and/or any other organization during the period in question? If so, give details.

Target	Achievements
	1. Participated in five days mediation training program organized by SLSA in JTRI, Lucknow 2. Participated in five days refresher training program organized by JTRI, Lucknow

6. Whether visited Judicial Academy as Faculty Member. If so, give details about the nature of lecture(s) given/topic(s) discussed.

Target	Achievements
Nil	Nil

Judgment Attachments

Judgment Attachment	Uploading Date
State vs updesh	06/04/2023
State vs ajeet singh	06/04/2023
state vs mukut singh	06/04/2023

Applying Date

Date	Applying Date
	06/04/2023

01-Remarks given by the District Judge regarding:

- 01 (a). Integrity of the Officer- whether beyond doubt, doubtful or positively lacking beyond doubt
Note- If the officer's integrity is doubtful or positively lacking, it may be so stated with all relevant fact, reason(s) & supporting material.
- 01 (b). If he is fair and impartial in dealing with the public and Bar? Yes
- 01 (c). If he is cool minded and does not lose temper in court. Yes
- 01 (d). His private character is such as to lower him in the estimation of the public and adversely affects the discharge of his official duties? No
- 1 (e). CONTROL OVER THE FILES IN THE MATTER OF:
- 01 (e)(i)(a). Proper fixation of cause list: Yes
- 01 (e)(i)(b). Whether sufficient number of cases are fixed by him to keep him engaged during full court full court hours? Yes
- 01 (e)(ii). Avoidance of unnecessary adjournments: Yes
- 01 (e)(iii). Disposal of old cases(Give number and year of old cases decided): 2265 cases disposed of older than 5 years and 1370 cases disposed of older than 10 years. A total of 3635 old cases were disposed of.
- 01 (e)(iv). Progress and disposal of execution cases: 1
- 01 (e)(v). Whether interim order, injunction being granted, refused or retained for sufficient reasons? Yes
- 01 (e)(vi). Are cases remanded on substantial grounds? Yes
- 01 (e)(vii). Performance with regard to decision of Motor Accident Claims related to death / injury NA

2/4

01 (f):	Whether Judgment on facts and on law are on the whole sound, well-reasoned and expressed in good language?	Yes
Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in filling up this column:		
01 (f)(i).	Marshalling of facts;	Proper
01 (f)(ii).	Appreciation of evidences;	Proper
01 (f)(iii).	Application of law.	Annexure-I-A
01 (g).	Whether disposal of work is adequate.(Give percentage and reasons for short disposal, if any)	2083.86 units were achieved over the 717.84 required units. which is 290.29% of work done.
Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in filling up this column:		
01 (g)(i).	Number of cases decided after actual full contest;	553
01 (g)(ii).	Number of cases decided wherein all witnesses of fact turned hostile and the case ended in acquittal.	8
01 (g)(iii).	Number of civil cases decided on compromises / alternate dispute resolution.	8
01 (g)(iv).	Number of cases wherein after conclusion of arguments and reserving them for judgment, rehearing was ordered.	Nil
01 (h).	Control over the Office and Administrative capacity and tact:	Annexure-I-B
01 (i).	Relations with members of the Bar(mention incidents, if any):	Cordial
01 (j).	Behavior in relation to brother Officers(mention incidents, if any):	Cordial
01 (k).	Whether the officer has made regular inspections of his court and offices in his charge and whether such inspections were full and effective?	Yes
01 (l).	His punctuality and regularity in sitting on the dais in court during court hours?	Punctual and Regular
01 (m).	Whether amenable to the advice of the District Judge and other superior officers?	Annexure-I-C
01 (n).	Behaviour towards women(respect and sensitivity exhibited towards them)	Good
2.	Over all assessment of the merit of the officer(Outstanding, Very Good, Good, Average, Poor)	Average
3.	State of Health, with remarks, if any?	The health of the officer is good and sound.
4.	Other remarks, if any:	The conduct of the officer is not up to the mark and she violated Govt. conduct rules 1956 and circular No 112/I.C.-27 dated 14.12.1956 and 68/61 dated 14.4.1961 coupled with CL No.39 of 2006 dated 19.9.2006 of the Hon'ble Court.
5.	Name of the District Judge:	Anil Kumar Verma (UP6552) Not Available

Attachments By District Judge

Attachments	Uploading Date
Annexure-I-A, B and C	05/08/2023
D.O. and letters	05/08/2023

Annexure-I-A**01 (f)(iii). Application of law.**

She has no applied judicial mind, stance crime no. 29/2022 u/s 377, 506 IPC, PS- Ajitmal, District Auraiya. Case trailed by magistrate judicial officer commit to session. D.O. 7 of 2022 dated 27.6.2022 (Copy enclosed).

Annexure-I-B**01 (h). Control over the Office and Administrative capacity and tact:**

The officer does not have control over ther office. In this regard, vide D.O. No. 20 of 2023 date 17.1.2023, explanation was called for(copy enclosed). The officer submitted her explanation dated 25.1.2023 (Copy enclosed) which clearly shows that the officer does not have proper control over the officials and also does not have the administrative capacity.

Annexure-I-C**01 (m). Whether amenable to the advice of the District Judge and other superior officers?**

The officer is not amenable to the advice of the District Judge. She is insubordinate as well. The officer left the station on dated 27.8.2022, with station leave, for Allahabd and visited, without seeking prior permission, to the then Hon'ble Administrative Judge at Allahabd in violation of circular No 112/I.C-27 dated 14.12.1956 and 68/61 dated 14.4.1961 coupled with CL No.39 of 2006 dated 19.9.2006 which contain that no judicial officer shall meet any of the Hon, ble Judges of the court without obtaining prior permission as per guidelines. In this regard, vide D.O 26 of 2022 dated 20.10.2022, the explanation was called for. (Copy enclosed). In response to, the officer submitted her explanation which got found insufficient.

Self - Attested
Chakraborty
21/9/2023


अनिल कुमार वर्मा
(एच.जे.एस.)

अर्धशासकीय पत्र सं०-०७/२२
विश्राम कक्ष,
जनप्रद न्यायाधीश, औरैया
दिनांक-२७, जून, २०२२

प्रिय श्रीमती मेहर जहां,

आपके द्वारा अपराध संख्या २९/२०२२ धारा ३७७, ५०६ भारतीय दण्ड संहिता, थाना अजीतमल, जिला औरैया की पत्रावली दिनांक-२८.०४.२०२२ को सत्र न्यायालय में सुपुर्द की गयी है। जबकि धारा ३७७, ५०६ भारतीय दण्ड संहिता मजिस्ट्रेट न्यायालय द्वारा परीक्षणीय है। इस प्रकार आपने न्यायिक मस्तिष्क का प्रयोग किये बिना उक्त पत्रावली सत्र सुपुर्द की। अतः इस सम्बन्ध में आप अपना स्पष्टीकरण ७ दिन के अन्दर प्रस्तुत करें।

श्रीमती मेहर जहां
सिविल जज (जू०डि०)/
न्यायिक मजिस्ट्रेट
औरैया।


जनप्रद न्यायाधीश
औरैया।

Self-Attested.
Anil Kumar
21/9/2023

संलग्न-4

प्रेषिका,

मेहर जहाँ,
न्यायिक मजिस्ट्रेट
औरैया।

सेवा में,

माननीय जनपद न्यायाधीश,
औरैया।

विषय:-

अर्द्धशासकीय पत्र सं०-07/2022 के सम्बन्ध में स्पष्टीकरण।

महोदय,

उपरोक्त विषयांकित पत्र के सम्बन्ध में आपको सादर अवगत कराना है कि अपराध सं०-29/2022 अन्तर्गत धारा-377.506 भा०द०सं० थाना-अजीतमल, जनपद-औरैया की पत्रावली जो मजिस्ट्रेट न्यायालय द्वारा विचारणीय है, त्रुटिवश दिनांक-28.04.2022 को सत्र न्यायालय में सुपुर्द कर दी गयी थी, जिसके लिये प्रार्थिनी क्षमा प्रार्थी है। मेरे द्वारा जानबूझकर कोई त्रुटि नहीं की गयी है और न ही भविष्य में इस प्रकार की त्रुटि की जायेगी। भविष्य में मेरे द्वारा अपने कार्य के प्रति अधिक सतर्कता बरती जायेगी।

स्पष्टीकरण माननीय महोदय की सेवा में ससम्मान प्रेषित है।

दिनांक-28.07.2022

भवदीया,
मेहर जहाँ
न्यायिक मजिस्ट्रेट,
औरैया।
20/7/2022

Self-Attested

मेहर जहाँ
2/9/2023

APPLICATION FOR SELF ASSESSMENT

Case Id : A00003152022	Employee Id : 3299
3 MONTHS COMPLETION	
Whether 3 Months are complete for remarks of DISTRICT JUDGE?	Yes

SELF ASSESSMENT FORM PART I

1.	Self Assessment Period	01/04/2021 - 31/03/2022	
2.	Name of the Officer	SUSHRI MEHER JAHAN	
3.	Designation	Civil Judge (Junior Div.)	
4.	Date of Joining Service / Length of Service	14/11/2019 (2 Years 4 Months and 25 Days)	
5.	Place of Posting	Auraiya <div style="background-color: #cccccc; padding: 5px; border: 1px solid black;">Posting Details During Self Assessment Period 1. Auraiya-Judicial Magistrate</div>	
6.	Any other charge held during the financial year	1. Computer Nodal Officer. 2. Incharge, Inquiry and Inspection Office.	
7.	Year wise break up of cases	proforma attached	Attachment Available
8.	Courts held during the financial year	Judicial Magistrate and Civil Judge (J.D.)	Attachment Available
9.	In how many cases have you framed the issues	63	
10.	In how many cases have you framed the charge	25	
11.	Number of cases in which Judgment not delivered within 15 days of conclusions of argument	Nil.	
12.	Percentage of appeals remanded by the officer	Nil	
13.	Inspections	proforma attached	Attachment Available
14.	Remarks if any	Nil.	
15.	Details of the works by the officer	proforma attached	Attachment Available
16.	Performance in Lok Adalat	<p>I have participated in 4 lok adalats :-</p> <p>1. 10/07/2021 - 90 criminal and 22 civil cases were disposed.</p> <p>2. 11/09/2021 - 214 criminal and 19 civil cases were disposed.</p> <p>3. 11/12/2021 - 311 criminal and 09 civil cases were disposed.</p> <p>4. 12/03/2022- 147 criminal and 08 civil cases were disposed.</p>	

Self Attested
Meher Jahan
7/19/2023

SELF ASSESSMENT FORM PART II

1.	Brief description of duties	<p>As Presiding Officer of the Court of Judicial Magistrate and Civil Judge (Junior Division) Auraiya, I have been empowered to dispose of the following nature of the cases</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. State case (IPC) Misc. case 2. Final Report 3. Complaint case 4. Arms Acts 5. Excise Act 6. Narcotic Drugs & Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 7. Forest Act. 8. Police Act 9. Gambling Act 10. Negotiable Instruments act 11. UP Control of Goondas Act 12. Motor Vehicles Act 13. Prevention of Damage to Public Property Act. 14. Original and Miscellaneous Civil Suits 15. Indian Succession Act. 16. Execution cases. 17. UP Urban Buildings (Regulation of Letting, Rent and Eviction) Act, 1972. 	
2.	Norms set and achieved in respect of disposal of cases.		
	Target	Achievements	
	626 Units.	1705.39 Units	
2A.	Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 5 years old.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
	To try to mature and disopose off old files on priority basis.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Mechanism of Oldest case First applied in hearing of the old cases by serial number 2. Day- to - day hearing or short date are given in such cases 3. Strictness on adjournment applications in such cases, If adjournment allowed in such cases heavy costs are imposed with short dates 4. During hearing tried to prepare the parties to settle the dispute by way of Judicial settlement / compromise/ mediation in appropriate compoundable cases 5. Processes have been regularly issued. 6. Letter has been sent to concerned authorities for immediate compliance of process. 7. Necessary steps (including coercive measure) were taken for attendance of witness. 	
2A(i).	Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	

	Civil- 1948 Criminal- 93	Civil- 198 (disposed) Criminal- 25 (disposed)	
2A(ii).	Number of such matters disposed of during the year.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
	Civil- 1948 Criminal- 93	Civil- 198 (disposed). Criminal- 25 (disposed).	
2B.	Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 10 years old.		
	Target	Achievements	
	Target was to dispose off and mature old files on priority basis.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Mechanism of Oldest case First applied in hearing of the old cases by serial number 2. Day- to - day hearing or short date are given in such cases 3. Strictness on adjournment applications in such cases, If adjournment allowed in such cases heavy costs are imposed with short dates 4. During hearing tried to prepare the parties to settle the dispute by way of Judicial settlement / compromise/ mediation in appropriate compoundable cases 5. Processes have been regularly issued. 6. Letter has been sent to concerned authorities for immediate compliance of process. 7. Necessary steps (including coercive measure) were taken for attendance of witness. 	
2B(i).	Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
	Civil- 1064 Criminal- 13	Civil- 100 (disposed) Criminal- 03 (disposed).	
2B(ii).	Number of such matters disposed of during the year.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
	Civil- 1064 Criminal- 13	Civil- 100 (disposed) Criminal- 03 (disposed).	
2C.	Steps taken to dispose of cases of persons with more than 65 years of age.		
	Target	Achievements	
	Target was to reduce such files on a priority basis so that quick justice can be meted out to elderly people.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Priority have been given to cases of senior citizens 2. Senior citizens are treated more respectfully and sympathetically during hearing of their case. 	

		3.Tried for amicable settlement between parties. 4. Short dates have been fixed for speedy dispose 5.Adjournments on frivolous grounds were not entertained	
2C(i).	Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
	51	11 (disposed).	
2C(ii).	Number of such matters disposed of during the year.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
	51	11 (disposed).	
3.	Please state briefly the shortfalls with reference to the targets / objectives referred to at S. No. 02 above. Please specify constraints, if any, in achieving the targets.		
	Target	Achievements	
	Target was to mature and decide cases at the earliest.	In achieving the given targets, there were numerous constraints, some of them are listed below: 1. Court closed due to Covid-19 Panademic by the order of Hon'ble High Court/ Lockdown 2. Non appearance of formal witnesses 3.Non service of summons on out of station witnesses 4. Most of the time police witnesses excuse themselves on the ground of other official duties 5. Insufficient and inefficient staff.	
4.	Academic and professional achievements during the year, including degree(s) obtained and/or books/articles published.		
	Target	Achievements	
	Nil.	Nil.	
5.	Whether attended any workshop, course, programme, etc., organized by Judicial Academy and/or any other organization during the period in question? If so, give details.		
	Target	Achievements	
		1. Participated in 05 days training organized by Indian institute of Public Administration (IIPA), New Delhi. 2. Attended Fourth round of Cluster Training, Etawah Zone organised by JTRI, Lucknow, U.P.	
6.	Whether visited Judicial Academy as Faculty Member. If so, give details about the nature of lecture(s) given/topic(s) discussed.		
	Target	Achievements	
	Nil.	Nil.	

Applying Date

01-Remarks given by the District Judge regarding:

01 (a).	Integrity of the Officer- whether beyond doubt, doubtful or positively lacking	beyond doubt
	Note- If the officer's integrity is doubtful or positively lacking, it may be so stated with all relevant fact, reason(s) & supporting material.	
01 (b).	If he is fair and impartial in dealing with the public and Bar?	Yes
01 (c).	If he is cool minded and does not lose temper in court.	Yes
01 (d).	His private character is such as to lower him in the estimation of the public and adversely affects the discharge of his official duties?	No
1 (e).	CONTROL OVER THE FILES IN THE MATTER OF:	
01 (e)(i)(a).	Proper fixation of cause list:	Yes
01 (e)(i)(b).	Whether sufficient number of cases are fixed by him to keep him engaged during full court full court hours?	Yes
01 (e)(ii).	Avoidance of unnecessary adjournments:	Yes
01 (e)(iii).	Disposal of old cases(Give number and year of old cases decided):	223 cases disposed of more than 5 years old and 103 cases disposed of more than 10 years old. Total of 326 old cases disposed of.
01 (e)(iv).	Progress and disposal of execution cases:	10
01 (e)(v).	Whether interim order, injunction being granted, refused or retained for sufficient reasons?	Yes
01 (e)(vi).	Are cases remanded on substantial grounds?	Yes
01 (e)(vii).	Performance with regard to decision of Motor Accident Claims related to death / injury	NA
01 (f).	Whether Judgment on facts and on law are on the whole sound, well-reasoned and expressed in good language?:	Yes
	Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in filling up this column:	
01 (f)(i).	Marshalling of facts;	Proper
01 (f)(ii).	Appreciation of evidences;	Proper
01 (f)(iii).	Application of law.	Proper
01 (g).	Whether disposal of work is adequate.(Give percentage and reasons for short disposal, if any)	Total of 1705.39 units was achieved over the 626 required units. which is 272.42% of work done.
	Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in filling up this column:	
01 (g)(i).	Number of cases decided after actual full contest;	3
01 (g)(ii).	Number of cases decided wherein all witnesses of fact turned hostile and the case ended in acquittal.	Nil
01 (g)(iii).	Number of civil cases decided on compromises / alternate dispute resolution.	69
01 (g)(iv).	Number of cases wherein after conclusion of arguments and reserving them for judgment, rehearing was ordered.	Nil

01 (h).	Control over the Office and Administrative capacity and tact:	Good
01 (i).	Relations with members of the Bar(mention incidents, if any):	Cordial
01 (j).	Behavior in relation to brother Officers(mention incidents, if any):	Cordial
01 (k).	Whether the officer has made regular inspections of his court and offices in his charge and whether such inspections were full and effective?	Yes
01 (l).	His punctuality and regularity in sitting on the dais in court during court hours?	punctual and regular
01 (m).	Whether amenable to the advice of the District Judge and other superior officers?	Yes
01 (n).	Behaviour towards women(respect and sensitivity exhibited towards them)	Good
2.	Over all assessment of the merit of the officer(Outstanding, Very Good, Good, Average, Poor)	Good
3.	State of Health, with remarks, if any?	Health of the officer is good and sound.
4.	Other remarks, if any:	Nil
5.	Name of the District Judge:	Anil Kumar Verma (UP6552) 08.08.2022

Annual remarks recorded by the Administrative Judge/Reviewing and Accepting Authority

Gautam Chowdhary(HON'BLE JUDGE)

The concerned officer has achieved 1705.39 units over the 626 required units which is 272.42% of work done. Furthermore, 223 cases were disposed, which were more than 5 years old and 103 cases disposed of more than 10 years old, thus she disposed of 326 old cases. The Officer is cool minded and the relations with the members of the Bar and colleague officers are reported cordial. She is punctual in sitting in the court. Cause list is properly fixed by the Officer. The District Judge has rated her as Good Officer. On overall assessment, officer is rated as 'Good'.

Overall assessment	Good
Integrity	Certified


संख्या-6

अनिल कुमार वर्मा-प्रथम,
एच.जे.एस.

अर्द्धशासकीय पत्र संख्या-20/2023
विश्राम कक्ष,
जनपद न्यायाधीश, औरैया
दिनांक-17/01/23

श्रीमती, मेहर जहां,

आपको सूचित किया जाता है कि इस कार्यालय के प्रकीर्ण आदेश संख्या-358/2022, दिनांकित 15.12.2022 से वार्षिक निरीक्षण किये जाने के संबंध में आदेश पारित करते हुये सभी न्यायालयों के पीठासीन अधिकारीगण तथा अनुभागों के प्रभारी अधिकारीगण को निरीक्षण के संबंध में प्रश्नावली तैयार कराकर दिनांक 07.01.2023 तक प्रशासनिक कार्यालय में भेजे जाने के निर्देश दिये गये थे, परन्तु आप द्वारा आज तक प्रश्नावली तैयार कराकर इस कार्यालय को प्राप्त नहीं करायी गयी है, जबकि दिनांक 07.01.2023 तक प्रश्नावली इस कार्यालय को उपलब्ध कराये जाने हेतु निर्देशित किया गया था। ऐसा प्रतीत होता है कि आपका अपने कर्मचारीगण पर कोई नियंत्रण नहीं है। आपने जिला जज द्वारा पारित आदेश का अनुपालन कराये जाने में कोई रुचि नहीं ली। अतः आप अपना स्पष्टीकरण इस संबंध में 07 दिवस में प्रस्तुत करना सुनिश्चित करें।


(अनिल कुमार वर्मा-प्रथम),
जनपद न्यायाधीश,
औरैया।

श्रीमती मेहर जहां,
न्यायिक मजिस्ट्रेट,
औरैया।

Self - Attested
meherjahan
1/9/2023

शंभुजी-7.

प्रेषिका,

मेहर जहाँ,
न्यायिक मजिस्ट्रेट
औरैया।

सेवा में,

माननीय जनपद न्यायाधीश,
औरैया।

विषय:-

अर्द्धशासकीय पत्र सं0-20/2023 के सम्बन्ध में स्पष्टीकरण।

महोदय,

उपरोक्त विषयांकित पत्र के सम्बन्ध में ससम्मान अवगत कराना है कि आपके प्रकीर्ण आदेश सं0-358/2022 के अनुपालन में मेरे द्वारा कार्यालय लिपिक श्रीमती अंकिता तिवारी एवं प्रस्तुतकार श्री महमूद आलम को प्रश्नावली तैयार करने हेतु निर्देशित किया गया था। तत्पश्चात प्रश्नावली निर्धारित समय में प्रेषित न किये जाने के सम्बन्ध में मेरे द्वारा सम्बन्धित कर्मचारीगण का स्पष्टीकरण भी आहूत किया गया। सम्बन्धित कर्मचारी श्रीमती अंकिता तिवारी कार्यालय लिपिक एवं श्री महमूद आलम प्रस्तुतकार द्वारा यह कथन किया गया कि कार्य की अधिकता होने के कारण समय से प्रश्नावली तैयार नहीं की जा सकी, प्रार्थीगण द्वारा भविष्य में ऐसी गलती नहीं दोहरायी जायेगी तथा प्रार्थीगण इसके लिये क्षमा प्रार्थी हैं। महोदय आप से निवेदन है कि ऐसी स्थिति में कार्य की अधिकता होने के कारण सम्बन्धित कर्मचारीगण द्वारा माननीय महोदय के आदेश के अनुपालन में प्रश्नावली निर्धारित समय पर तैयार नहीं की जा सकी है। प्रार्थिनी, महोदय द्वारा पारित आदेश का पूर्णतः अनुपालन करने के लिये तैयार व तत्पर है तथा भविष्य में भी माननीय जनपद न्यायाधीश महोदय द्वारा पारित आदेश का पूर्णतः अनुपालन प्रार्थिनी द्वारा समय से सुनिश्चित किये जाने के लिये प्रतिबद्ध है। प्रार्थिनी प्रश्नावली निर्धारित समय पर प्रशासनिक कार्यालय जनपद न्यायालय औरैया में समय से प्राप्त न कराये जाने के लिये क्षमा प्रार्थिनी है।

स्पष्टीकरण माननीय महोदय की सेवा में सादर प्रेषित है।

ससम्मान।

दिनांक:-25.01.2023

भवदीया,
Anshu Jaisan
(मेहर जहाँ)
न्यायिक मजिस्ट्रेट,
औरैया।

संलग्नक:-

कार्यालय लिपिक एवं प्रस्तुतकार के द्वारा प्रस्तुत स्पष्टीकरण।

Self Attested
Anshu Jaisan
7/9/2023

अनिल कुमार वर्मा,
एच.जे.एस.

अर्द्धशासकीय पत्र संख्या-26/2022
विश्राम कक्ष,
जनपद न्यायाधीश, औरैया
दिनांक- 20-10-2022


संलग्न - 8

श्रीमती जहाँ

एतद द्वारा आपको सूचित किया जाता है कि आपने दिनांक 27.08.2022 को मुख्यालय छोड़ने की अनुमति इलाहाबाद प्रस्थान करने हेतु प्राप्त की थी जिसमें आप द्वारा सिविल लाइन इलाहाबाद जाने का पता अंकित किया गया था परन्तु आप बिना मुझको सूचित किये माननीय तत्कालीन प्रशासनिक न्यायमूर्ति श्री गौतम चौधरी, सत्र खण्ड औरैया से मिले और आपने इस सम्बन्ध में कोई भी जानकारी आज तक मेरे संज्ञान में नहीं लायी।

इस प्रकार आपने प्रोटोकाल का ब्रेक किया है तथा समय समय पर निर्गत माननीय उच्च न्यायालय इलाहाबाद द्वारा परिपत्रों में दी गयी व्यवस्था का अतिक्रमण किया है। इस प्रकार आपका यह भी कृत्य कदाचरण की श्रेणी में आता है।

आप अपना स्पष्टीकरण सात दिवस के अन्दर प्रस्तुत करना सुनिश्चित करें।


(अनिल कुमार वर्मा),
जनपद न्यायाधीश,
औरैया।

श्रीमती मेहर जहाँ,
न्यायिक मजिस्ट्रेट,
औरैया।

Self Attested
Anshu Singh
11/9/2023

प्रेषिका,

मेहर जहाँ
न्यायिक मजिस्ट्रेट,
औरैया।

शंलग्न -9

सेवा में,

माननीय जनपद न्यायाधीश,
औरैया।

विषय- अर्द्धशासकीय पत्र सं०-26/2022 दिनांकित-20.10.2022 के द्वारा
मांगे गये स्पष्टीकरण के सम्बन्ध में।

माननीय महोदय,

ससम्मान निवेदन है कि उक्त विषयांकित पत्र के माध्यम से चाहे गये स्पष्टीकरण के सम्बन्ध में निवेदन करना है कि मेरे द्वारा दिनांक-27.08.2022 को इलाहाबाद जाने हेतु मुख्यालय छोड़ने की अनुमति श्रीमान् मुख्य न्यायिक मजिस्ट्रेट औरैया से अग्रसारित होने तथा आपसे अनुमति प्राप्त होने के उपरान्त ही मुख्यालय छोड़ा गया था, तथा मेरे द्वारा समान्य नियमावली सिविल, 1957 के नियम-622 का पूर्णतया पालन किया गया है।

माननीय महोदय ने अपने विषयांकित पत्र में प्रोटोकाल ब्रेक होने तथा समय-समय पर निर्गत माननीय उच्च न्यायालय इलाहाबाद द्वारा परिपत्रों में दी गयी व्यवस्था का अतिक्रमण होने का उल्लेख किया है। इस सम्बन्ध में ससम्मान निवेदन है कि माननीय न्यायमूर्ति श्री गौतम चौधरी दिनांक-27.08.2022 को सत्र खण्ड, औरैया के प्रशासनिक न्यायमूर्ति नहीं थे वरन् माननीय न्यायमूर्ति श्रीमती साधना रानी ठाकुर उक्त दिनांक को तथा वर्तमान में प्रशासनिक न्यायमूर्ति सत्र खण्ड औरैया हैं। मैं दिनांक-27.08.2022 को माननीय न्यायमूर्ति उच्च न्यायालय इलाहाबाद श्री गौतम चौधरी से अपने निजी कार्यवश मुलाकात करने गयी थी न कि प्रशासनिक न्यायमूर्ति सत्र खण्ड औरैया से। इस प्रकार मेरे द्वारा माननीय उच्च न्यायालय इलाहाबाद के परिपत्र सं०-CI No-39/2006: Admin "G", Dated- September 19, 2006, C.L No-105 Dated- September 20, 1972, G.L No-C-27/71 dated 10th February, 1971, C.D.O. No-112/ IC-27 dated 14th December, 1956, C.D.O. No-68/1961 IC-27 dated 14th July, 1961, में सवाडीनेट जुडिशियल सर्विस के अधिकारी के माननीय न्यायमूर्ति से मिलने हेतु दिये गये निर्देशों का मेरे द्वारा पूर्णतया पालन किया गया है। महोदय मेरे द्वारा किसी भी प्रोटोकाल तथा समय-समय पर माननीय उच्च न्यायालय द्वारा निर्गत परिपत्रों में दी गयी व्यवस्था का अतिक्रमण नहीं किया गया है। फिर भी मेरे द्वारा यदि आपको कोई ठेस पहुँची है तो उसके लिये मैं क्षमा प्रार्थी हूँ।

स्पष्टीकरण माननीय महोदय जी की सेवा में सादर प्रेषित है।
ससम्मान।

दिनांक-09.11.2022

Self-Attested
Anshu Yadav
7/11/2022

मवदीया
Anshu Yadav
(मेहर जहाँ)
न्यायिक मजिस्ट्रेट,
औरैया।
7/11/2022

श्रीमान - 10

Chamber of District and Sessions Judge,
Auraiya.

D.O. No. 31/2022 P.A. Dated 11/11/2022

Dear Smt. Jahan,


Kindly take the reference of your reply dated 09.11.2022 in response to D.O. letter 26/2022 dated 20.10.2022, whereby you were asked to explain the visit of the then Administrative Judge, Auraiya, sessions division. While you have not sought permission of District Judge and prior appointment from the then Administrative Judge. You have submitted a misconceived reply quoting several circular letters, which does not justify your conduct.

Circular letter no. 48/2006 Admin G dated September 19, 2006 prescribes the code of judicial officer to meet Hon'ble Judges. This circular is not limited to visit of Administrative Judge rather, it is mandatory to seek prior approval of District Judge, whether you make your visit to Administrative Judge or any other judges, if for personal problem or for any other reason a judicial officer intends to visit any judge is required to seek prior permission from Registrar General through District Judge.

You are expected to conduct yourself abiding by Government Conduct Rule 3 and violation of any guideline circular letter may, entail disciplinary proceeding. Your explanation is not satisfactory and an effort to justify your misconduct by wrongly interpreting the circular letters of Hon'ble High Court.

You are hereby warned to conduct yourself according to established norms set for judicial officers.

Sincerely Yours


(Anil Kumar Verma)
District & Sessions Judge,
Auraiya.

To,

Smt. Meher Jahan,
Judicial Magistrate, Auraiya.

e/c

Self - Attested
Anil Kumar Verma
11/9/2023

Ashish Garg, HJS,
Registrar General



D.O. No. C- 148 /CF(B)/2022
Dated: Allahabad: March 26, 2022

संलग्न - ११/१

Dear Madam/Sir,

While noticing the existing pendency of cases in the Courts subordinate to the High Court of Judicature at Allahabad, the Hon'ble Court has been pleased to implement an action plan for Judicial Officers, in order to lessen the heap of pending cases.

The Action Plan for Judicial Officers of Uttar Pradesh 2022-2023 has been made applicable to the respective Courts from 01.04.2022 to 31.03.2023 and is being enclosed herewith as a part of this communication. You are requested to kindly treat it as such.

For the purpose of reporting and ease of data collection the information sought in the requisite proforma on a quarterly basis shall be submitted in an electronic format on conf@allahabadhighcourt.in, not later than fifteenth day of the month immediately following the end of the quarter which is being reported upon.

Your Goodself is requested to make it convenient at your end to take necessary action for smooth implementation in the matter.

With regards,

Yours sincerely,

Sd/-

(Ashish Garg)

1. All the District Judges,
 2. All the Presiding Officers, Motor Accident Claim Tribunals &
 3. All the Principal Judges, Family Courts
- Subordinate to the High Court of Judicature at ALLAHABAD

Annexed: As Above

Self Attested
Anshu Garg
7/1/9/2023

12/2

Action Plan- 2022-23

Action Plan for Judicial Officers of the Uttar Pradesh
2022-23

Preface:

Piling up of pending cases in subordinate judiciary is of great concern. Subordinate judiciary of Uttar Pradesh is continuously taking endeavour to reduce accumulated huge pendency of cases. To give edge to the endeavour a well structured planning is required to lessen the heap of pending cases. Without categorical planning, the effort will be directionless and futile. An Action-Plan leads to a particular direction to attain a specific end. It is a visual guide to help in achieving goals in an effective and timely manner. It will also aid to stay motivated and committed to object. It can boost productivity multi-fold and keep one focused and it radically improves accountability. A well-crafted Action Plan can guide to achieve the optimum result. Thus, a need to formulate Action Plan in this regard has been felt.

This Action Plan is prepared for meeting out the targets within the period from 01.04.2022 to 31.03.2023.

Sl. No.	Courts	Target Proposed for disposal of old cases and cases of specified categories under Action Plan-2022-23
1.	District & Session Judges	25 Oldest Session Trial cases
2.	Additional District & Sessions Judges (Having no special jurisdiction)	25 oldest Session Trial cases
3.	Additional District & Sessions Judges [Having jurisdiction of Scheduled Caste and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989]	40 oldest Session Trial cases

4.	Additional District & Sessions Judges (Having jurisdiction of Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988)	(i) Cases under Prevention of Corruption Act- 10 oldest cases (ii) Other Session Trial cases- 20 oldest cases
5.	Additional District & Sessions Judges (Having jurisdiction of Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012)	50 oldest cases under POCSO Act
6.	Additional District & Sessions Judges (Having jurisdiction of Narcotics Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985)	(i) Cases under NDPS Act- 30 oldest cases (ii) Other Session Trial cases- 10 oldest cases
7.	Additional District & Sessions Judges (Having jurisdiction of cases of heinous crime against women)	25 oldest Session Trial cases
8.	Additional District & Sessions Judges (Having jurisdiction of C.B.I. cases)	10 oldest cases
9.	Additional District & Sessions Judges [Having jurisdiction of The Uttar Pradesh Gangsters and Anti-Social Activities (Prevention) Act, 1986]	(i) Cases under Uttar Pradesh Gangsters and Anti-Social Activities (Prevention) Act, 1986- 15 oldest cases (ii) Other Session Trial cases- 10 oldest cases
10.	Additional District & Sessions Judges	(i) 10 oldest Criminal Appeals (ii) 10 oldest Civil Appeals

		(iii) 10 oldest Execution cases
11.	Additional Courts (Having jurisdiction of Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881)	50 oldest cases
12.	Motor Accident Claims Tribunals	100 oldest contested cases
13.	Family Judges	(i) Cases relating to maintenance 100 oldest cases (ii) Other matrimonial cases 30 oldest cases
14.	Chief Judicial Magistrates	35 oldest cases (other than miscellaneous cases)
15.	Additional Chief Judicial Magistrates/Judicial Magistrates	35 oldest cases (other than miscellaneous cases)
16.	Juvenile Justice Board	(i) Having more than 500 cases- 35 oldest inquiries (ii) Having less than 500 cases- Inquiries of more than two years old from the date of filing.
17.	Civil Judges (Senior Division)	(i) Original suits- 30 oldest (ii) Execution cases- 10 oldest cases
18.	Civil Judges (Junior Division)	(i) Original suits – 30 oldest (ii) Execution Cases – 10 oldest cases
19.	Judge Small Cause Court	50 oldest cases

Guidelines:

- a) Stayed cases are not to be included in old targeted cases.

b) The District & Sessions Judges and Chief Judicial Magistrates shall distribute and allocate the cases targeted under Action Plan-2022-23 equally, as nearly as possible, amongst the Judicial Officers keeping in view the year of institution so that the pendency of old cases in each Court reflects almost of the same period to ensure that the oldest cases in the Sessions Division are properly targeted.

c) The disposal of Action Plan cases be taken into consideration at the time of recording of Annual Confidential Report of the Judicial Officers.

d) The District Judges shall make effective supervision and ensure positive progress of disposal of old targeted cases by Judicial Officers in their Judgeship. Pace and effectiveness of their supervision, shall also be taken into consideration by Administrative Judges at the time of recording their Annual Confidential Report.

e) The progress of disposal of old targeted cases shall be submitted to the High Court on quarterly basis on the following format:

Sl. No.	(i)	(ii)	(iii)
	Category of cases	Number of targeted cases disposed of during the quarter	Remark, if any

शंलग्न - 12/1

न्यायालय न्यायिक मजिस्ट्रेट, औरैया
प्राचीनतम 35 एक्शन प्लान वार्दों की सूची

क्र०सं०	मुकदमा नम्बर	अपराध संख्या	थाना	नाम पक्षकार	धारा	निस्तारण तिथि
01.	487/2022	78/1989	विधूना	राज्य बनाम कमलेश	380 IPC	16.09.2022
02.	988/2022	400/1989	औरैया	राज्य बनाम दीन मुहम्मद	279,337,338,427,304A IPC	11.10.2022
03.	725/2011	13/1983	फर्रुद	राज्य बनाम विजय सिंह	406,420 IPC	19.09.2022
04.	795/2022	331/1991	दिवियापुर	राज्य बनाम मजबूत सिंह आदि	380,427 IPC	15.10.2022
05.	610/2017	29/1995	दिवियापुर	राज्य बनाम रामचन्द्र शर्मा	5/25/27 Arms Act	31.08.2022
06.	2229/2011	202/1994	फर्रुद	राज्य बनाम नफीस खान	279,304 A IPC	19.09.2022
07.	970/2022	489/1995	औरैया	राज्य बनाम मकसूद	279,337,338,304A IPC	21.09.2022
08.	966/2022	47/1996	औरैया	राज्य बनाम रूद्र प्रताप सिंह	279,337,304A	19.10.2022
09.	965/2022	168/1996	औरैया	राज्य बनाम विनोद कुमार	279,338 IPC	07.09.2022
10.	971/2022	192/1996	औरैया	राज्य बनाम चरन सिंह	279,338,304A IPC	30.09.2022
11.	216/2000	177/1994	दिवियापुर	राज्य बनाम नन्हे	25 Arms Act.	13.10.2022
12.	29/2002	393/1996	सहायल	प्रताप सिंह बघेल बनाम सुलखान सिंह	147,148,323,379 IPC	17.09.2022
13.	375/2011	19/1992	फर्रुद	राज्य बनाम विश्वनाथ	279,304 A IPC	02.11.2022

Self-Attested
Anshu Singh
7/1/9/2023

12/2

14.	1039/2011	29/1997	फफूंद	राज्य बनाम आरिफ	406,411 IPC	15.09.2022
15.	968/2022	434/1997	औरैया	राज्य बनाम अरुण कुमार	25 Arms Act.	11.10.2022
16.	792/2022	18/19/1998	दिबियापुर	राज्य बनाम प्रमोद	25 Arms Act.	29-11-2022
17.	2287/2002	51/1991	सहायल	राज्य बनाम नरेन्द्र	380,457 IPC	17.09.2022
18.	959/2022	328/1998	औरैया	राज्य बनाम रामकिशोर	429 IPC	01.12.2022
19.	496/2002	57/1998	सहायल	राज्य बनाम राजेन्द्र सिंह	323,324,325,326,504,506 IPC	17.09.2022
20.	979/2022	535/1998	औरैया	राज्य बनाम शहाबुद्दीन	279,304 A IPC	30.09.2022
21.	976/2022	249/1997	औरैया	राज्य बनाम सरताज खाँ	279,337,304A IPC	07.10.2022
22.	978/2022	96/1999	औरैया	राज्य बनाम संजय	279,304A IPC	10.11.2022
23.	3600/2000	647/1999	औरैया	राज्य बनाम बृजेश उर्फ सलुआ	379,411 IPC	14.10.2022
24.	962/2022	486/1998	औरैया	राज्य बनाम नरेन्द्र कुमार	279,337,338,427 IPC	29.08.2022
25.	3637/2000	33/1994	औरैया	राज्य बनाम विश्राम	279,304A IPC	07.10.2022
26.	985/2022	23/1992	औरैया	राज्य बनाम महेश चन्द्र	457,380 IPC	21.12.2022
27.	964/2022	442/1996	औरैया	राज्य बनाम जयगोविन्द आदि	323,325,504,506 IPC	17.09.2022
28.	980/2022	501/1994	औरैया	राज्य बनाम हरेन्द्र सिंह	279,304A IPC	03.10.2022
29.	961/2022	255/1996	औरैया	राज्य बनाम रामऔतार	279,338,304A IPC	15.09.2022
30.	958/2022	480/1998	औरैया	राज्य बनाम प्रवीन उर्फ प्रवेश	379,411 IPC	31.10.2022

12/3

31.	740/2000	42/2000	औरैया	राज्य बनाम विनोद सिंह	279,337,338,304A IPC	15.10.2022
32.	1053/2022	507/1999	औरैया	राज्य बनाम छुन्ना सिंह	279,304A IPC	04-03.2023
33.	880/2000	336/1999	औरैया	राज्य बनाम बलई प्रसाद	279,304A IPC	11.10.2022
34.	967/2022	385/1997	औरैया	राज्य बनाम मो० असलम	279,338,427,304A IPC	07.10.2022
35.	982/2022	516/1990	औरैया	राज्य बनाम मनोज कुमार आदि	41,411 IPC	11.10.2022

मेहर जहां
न्यायिक मजिस्ट्रेट,
औरैया