

# APPLICATION FOR SELF ASSESSMENT

Case Id : A00020542021 | Old Case Id : 4946

Employee Id : 6459

## 3 MONTHS COMPLETION

Whether 3 Months are complete for remarks of DISTRICT JUDGE?

Yes

### SELF ASSESSMENT FORM PART I

1. Self Assessment Period	01/04/2020 - 31/03/2021	
2. Name of the Officer	RANVEER SINGH	
3. Designation	Spl. Judge (E.C. Act)	
4. Date of Joining Service / Length of Service	17/06/2006 (14 Years 10 Months and 7 Days)	
5. Place of Posting	Gonda  <b>Posting Details During Self Assessment Period</b>  1. Gonda-Spl. Judge (E.C. Act) 2. Gonda-Addl. District & Sessions Judge 3. Gonda-A.D.J. (FTC)	
6. Any other charge held during the financial year	Incharge of Enquiry Section	
7. Year wise break up of cases	Statement Attached	Attachment Available
8. Courts held during the financial year	Statment Attached	Attachment Available
9. In how many cases have you framed the issues	NIL	
10. In how many cases have you framed the charge	88	
11. Number of cases in which Judgment not delivered within 15 days of conclusions of argument	NIL	
12. Percentage of appeals remanded by the officer	NIL	
13. Inspections	Statement Attached	Attachment Available
14. Remarks if any	nil	
15. Details of the works by the officer	STATEMENT ATTACHED	Attachment Available
16. Performance in Lok Adalat	During the financial year due to covid - 19 pandemic only one lok adalat held in which none of the cases were disposed.	

### SELF ASSESSMENT FORM PART II

1. Brief description of duties	1. Addl. Distt. & Session Judge / Spl. Judge ( E.C.Act) Court No. 04 Gonda (01.4.2020 to 06.08.2020)  2. Addl. Distt. & Session Judge Court No. 05 Gonda ( 07.08.2020 to 13.10.2020)	
--------------------------------	---	--

Validity unknown

Digitally signed by MANOJ KUMAR GUPTA  
Date: 2023.07.17 11:57:45 IST  
Reason: Document Owner

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

3. Addl. Distt. & Session Judge / FTC -II / Special Judge (Gangster Act) Gonda ( 14.10.2020 to 03.02.2021)

4. Addl. Distt. & Session Judge / Spl. Judge ( E.C. Act / Gangster Act) ( 04.02.2021 to 31.03.2021)

\* Gangster Act court held between 17.02.2021 & 31.03.2021

2. Norms set and achieved in respect of disposal of cases.

Target	Achievements
1200.00 units	1339.58 units

2A. Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 5 years old.

Attachment Available

Target	Achievements
short dates were fixed and process were issued regularly	12 cases of more than five year old has been disposed

2A(i). Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.

Attachment Available

Target	Achievements
646 Cases of more than five year old were pending	12 cases of more than five year old has been disposed

2A(ii). Number of such matters disposed of during the year.

Attachment Available

Target	Achievements
646 Cases of more than five year old were pending	12 cases of more than five year old has been disposed

2B. Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 10 years old.

Target	Achievements
Short dates were fixed and process were issued regularly	9 cases of more than ten year old has been disposed

2B(i). Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.

Attachment Available

Target	Achievements
314 Cases of more than ten year old were pending	9 cases of more than ten year old has been disposed

2B(ii). Number of such matters disposed of during the year.

Attachment Available

Target	Achievements
314 Cases of more than ten year old were pending	9 cases of more than ten year old has been disposed

2C. Steps taken to dispose of cases of persons with more than 65 years of age.

Target	Achievements
short dates were fixed and process were issued regularly.	Achieved Units- 1339.58

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALAHABAD

2C(i).	Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.	Attachment Available
	<b>Target</b>	<b>Achievements</b>
02		Achieved Units- 1339.58
2C(ii).	Number of such matters disposed of during the year.	Attachment Available
	<b>Target</b>	<b>Achievements</b>
02		01
3.	Please state briefly the shortfalls with reference to the targets / objectives referred to at S. No. 02 above. Please specify constraints, if any, in achieving the targets.	Attachment Available
	<b>Target</b>	<b>Achievements</b>
	not applicable	Achieved Units- 1339.58
4.	Academic and professional achievements during the year, including degree(s) obtained and/or books/articles published.	
	<b>Target</b>	<b>Achievements</b>
	nil	
5.	Whether attended any workshop, course, programme, etc., organized by Judicial Academy and/or any other organization during the period in question? If so, give details.	
	<b>Target</b>	<b>Achievements</b>
	no	
6.	Whether visited Judicial Academy as Faculty Member. If so, give details about the nature of lecture(s) given/topic(s) discussed.	
	<b>Target</b>	<b>Achievements</b>
	no	

#### Attachments

Attachment	Uploading Date
FINAL WORKDONE	24/04/2021

\*Red background attachments are uploaded in return of objection.

#### Judgment Attachments

Judgment Attachment	Uploading Date
CIVIL JUDGEMENT	24/04/2021
S.T. State vs Shiv shankar Pandey	24/04/2021
S.T. State vs Tufaani pasi	24/04/2021

#### Applying Date

Date	24/04/2021
------	------------

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT AHMADABAD

**01-Remarks given by the District Judge regarding:**

01 (a).	Integrity of the Officer- whether beyond doubt, doubtful or positively lacking	'CERTIFIED'
	Note- If the officer's integrity is doubtful or positively lacking, it may be so stated with all relevant fact, reason(s) & supporting material.	
01 (b).	If he is fair and impartial in dealing with the public and Bar?	Fair and impartial
01 (c).	If he is cool minded and does not lose temper in court.	He is cool minded officer and does not lose temper in court
01 (d).	His private character is such as to lower him in the estimation of the public and adversely affects the discharge of his official duties?	Nothing adverse has come to my knowledge
1 (e).	CONTROL OVER THE FILES IN THE MATTER OF:	
01 (e)(i)(a).	Proper fixation of cause list:	Yes
01 (e)(i)(b).	Whether sufficient number of cases are fixed by him to keep him engaged during full court full court hours?	Yes, sufficient number of cases have been fixed to keep engaged during full court hours
01 (e)(ii).	Avoidance of unnecessary adjournments:	Unnecessary adjournments have been avoided
01 (e)(iii).	Disposal of old cases(Give number and year of old cases decided):	Year 1998 - 1 Year 2009 - 2 Year 2012 - 1 Year 2015 - 3
01 (e)(iv).	Progress and disposal of execution cases:	3 Execution Cases were pending.
01 (e)(v).	Whether interim order, injunction being granted, refused or retained for sufficient reasons?	Yes
01 (e)(vi).	Are cases remanded on substantial grounds?	Not Applicable
01 (e)(vii).	Performance with regard to decision of Motor Accident Claims related to death / injury	Not Applicable
01 (f).	Whether Judgment on facts and on law are on the whole sound, well-reasoned and expressed in good language?:	Judgement based on facts and law are on the whole sound, well reasoned and expressed in good language.
	Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in filling up this column:	
01 (f)(i).	Marshalling of facts;	Good
01 (f)(ii).	Appreciation of evidences;	Good
01 (f)(iii).	Application of law.	Proper
01 (g).	Whether disposal of work is adequate.(Give percentage and reasons for short disposal, if any)	The officer has given out turn of 1339.58 unit against target 681.76 unit in his self assessment.  As per General Letter No. 11/TV-h-14/2019 Dated 05-03-2019, Hon'ble High Court has prescribed unit system with regard to assessment of work of Judicial Officer. As per letter, 8 unit per contested case is prescribed in Criminal Cases other than Sessions Trial. The officer has claimed 339 unit for disposal of 42 cases. Thus quota has also been wrongly calculated to show that the quota has been achieved by the officer, for example – Special Case No. 27/2017, Special Case No. 10/2012, Special Case No. 219/2021,

Special Case No. 101/2019, Special Case No. 196/2021, Special Case No. 121/2019, Special Case No. 46/2019, were decided in the month of March 2021 on the basis of confessional statement of the accused persons and they do not come into the category of contested cases. however the officer has claimed for each such types of cases 8 unit per case and as such he has claimed 339 units for deciding Special Cases, other than Session Trial, though they have not been decided as contested. Including aforesaid 339 units, Officer has achieved 643.40 unit, working in his own Court but he has claimed 469 unit as an In-charge of vacant Courts, without doing any actual work of such Vacant Courts, it reflects that the officer lacks the quality of good Judicial Officer.

Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in filling up this column:

01 (g)(i).	Number of cases decided after actual full contest;	Criminal- 253, Civil- 6
01 (g)(ii).	Number of cases decided wherein all witnesses of fact turned hostile and the case ended in acquittal.	NIL
01 (g)(iii).	Number of civil cases decided on compromises / alternate dispute resolution.	NIL
01 (g)(iv).	Number of cases wherein after conclusion of arguments and reserving them for judgment, rehearing was ordered.	NIL
01 (h).	Control over the Office and Administrative capacity and tact:	Proper
01 (i).	Relations with members of the Bar(mention incidents, if any):	Cordial
01 (j).	Behavior in relation to brother Officers(mention incidents, if any):	Cordial
01 (k).	Whether the officer has made regular inspections of his court and offices in his charge and whether such inspections were full and effective?	✓ 'EXPUNGED' ✓
01 (l).	His punctuality and regularity in sitting on the dais in court during court hours?	Punctual & regular
01 (m).	Whether amenable to the advice of the District Judge and other superior officers?	Yes
01 (n).	Behaviour towards women(respect and sensitivity exhibited towards them)	Respectful and sensitive behaviour towards women
2.	Over all assessment of the merit of the officer(Outstanding, Very Good, Good, Average, Poor)	✓ 'GOOD' ✓
3.	State of Health, with remarks, if any?	Good
4.	Other remarks, if any:	✓ 'EXPUNGED' ✓
5.	Name of the District Judge:	SANJAY SHANKER PANDEY (UP5456) 07.02.2022

Annual remarks recorded by the Administrative Judge/Reviewing and Accepting Authority

Manoj Kumar Gupta( HON'BLE JUDGE )

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

In view of order dated 1/3/2023 passed on the representation of the officer on eService module against the adverse remarks awarded by the District Judge for the year 2020-2021, the overall assessment of the officer is upgraded.

Overall assessment	Good
Integrity	Certified

The adverse remarks occurring in col. no.1(a),1(k) and 4 expunged & overall assessment of merit upgraded as 'Good' vide Court remarks dated 17.07.2023 r/w order dated nil passed on representation by Hon'ble Mr. Justice Manoj Kumar Gupta, the then Administrative Judge, Gonda.

  
30/11/23  
Registrar General

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALMORA