

# APPLICATION FOR SELF ASSESSMENT

Case Id : A00060652023

Employee Id : 5956

## 3 MONTHS COMPLETION

Whether: 3 Months are complete for remarks of DISTRICT JUDGE?

Yes

### SELF ASSESSMENT FORM PART I

1. Self Assessment Period	01/04/2022 - 31/03/2023	
2. Name of the Officer	RAMA KANT PRASAD	
3. Designation	Spl. J, Spl C.No 3 (Pre.Cor. Act)	
4. Date of Joining Service / Length of Service	13/04/2001 (22 Years, 0 Months and 20 days)	
5. Place of Posting	Lucknow	
	<b>Posting Details During Self Assessment Period</b>	
	Not Available	
6. Any other charge held during the financial year	Officer Incharge P.C. Act - 4	
7. Year wise break up of cases	Proforma attached.	Attachment Available
8. Courts held during the financial year	Spl. Judge, Spl C.No 3 (Pre.Cor. Act)	
9. In how many cases have you framed the issues	NIL	
10. In how many cases have you framed the charge	27	
11. Number of cases in which Judgment not delivered within 15 days of conclusions of argument	NIL	
12. Percentage of appeals remanded by the officer	NIL	
13. Inspections	Court & Office Inspection Spl. Judge, Spl C.No 3 (Pre.Cor. Act)	Attachment Available
14. Remarks if any	NIL	
15. Details of the works by the officer	Officer Incharge P.C. Act- 4 (Court vacant)	
16. Performance in Lok Adalat	8	

### SELF ASSESSMENT FORM PART II

1. Brief description of duties	Spl. J, Spl C.No 3 (Pre.Cor. Act)	
	1.04.2022 to 31.03.2023	
2. Norms set and achieved in respect of disposal of cases.		
	<b>Target</b>	<b>Achievements</b>
	1200	1580.3

Validity upto 31.03.2023. Dispose of old matters which are more than 5 years old.

Digitally signed by JUSTICE MAHESH CHANDRA TRIPATHI  
Date: 2023.10.06 7:00:48 IST  
Reason: Document Owner  
Location: Allahabad District Court

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

	Target	Achievements
2A(i). Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.		1- Short date given 2- Ensuring presence of witness. 3- Curtailment of adjournment.
		51
2A(ii). Number of such matters disposed of during the year.		5 Criminal Cases Disposed. (1 Case P.C. Act, 3 Cases S.T. & 1 Criminal Appeal)
2B. Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 10 years old.		1- Short date given 2- Ensuring presence of witness. 3- Curtailment of adjournment.
2B(i). Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.		
		152
2B(ii). Number of such matters disposed of during the year.		15 Cases. (10 Cases P.C. Act & 5 Cases S.T.) (6 Cases 24 Years Old & 1 Case 30 Years Old)
2C. Steps taken to dispose of cases of persons with more than 65 years of age.		
		Approximately 40 cases
2C(i). Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.		
		Approximately 40 cases
2C(ii). Number of such matters disposed of during the year.		

Approximately 10 cases

3. Please state briefly the shortfalls with reference to the targets / objectives referred to at S. No. 02 above. Please specify constraints, if any, in achieving the targets.

Target

Achievements

I have endeavored to achieve the target and in doing the same found as constraints voluminous documentary evidence large number of witnesses.

4. Academic and professional achievements during the year, including degree(s) obtained and/or books/articles published.

Target

Achievements

NIL

5. Whether attended any workshop, course, programme, etc., organized by Judicial Academy and/or any other organization during the period in question? If so, give details.

Target

Achievements

NIL

6. Whether visited Judicial Academy as Faculty Member. If so, give details about the nature of lecture(s) given/topic(s) discussed.

Target

Achievements

NO

Attachments

Attachment	Uploading Date
Action Plan For The Year 2022-2023	03/05/2023
Work Done For The Year 2022-2023	03/05/2023
Work Done	21/06/2023
Yearwise	21/06/2023

\*Red background attachments are uploaded in return of objection.

Judgment Attachments

Judgment Attachment	Uploading Date
Criminal Appeal Judgement	28/04/2023
Session Trial Judgement	28/04/2023
Criminal Case P.C. Act Judgement	28/04/2023
Criminal Case P.C. Act Judgement	28/04/2023

Applying Date

Date 28/04/2023

01-Remarks given by the District Judge regarding:

01 (a). Integrity of the Officer- whether beyond doubt, doubtful or positively lacking

CERTIFIED ✓

Note- If the officer's integrity is doubtful or positively lacking, it may be so stated with all relevant fact, reason(s) & supporting material.

01 (b). If he is fair and impartial in dealing with the public and Bar?

EXPUNGED ✓

01 (c). If he is cool minded and does not lose temper in court.

Yes, as nothing adverse has been reported.

01 (d). His private character is such as to lower him in the estimation of the public and adversely affects the discharge of his official duties?

EXPUNGED ✓

1 (e). CONTROL OVER THE FILES IN THE MATTER OF:

01 (e)(i)(a). Proper fixation of cause list:

Yes.

01 (e)(i)(b). Whether sufficient number of cases are fixed by him to keep him engaged during full court full court hours?

Yes.

01 (e)(ii). Avoidance of unnecessary adjournments:

Yes.

01 (e)(iii). Disposal of old cases(Give number and year of old cases decided):

The Officer-has decided cases which were old for more than five years. Yearwise disposed off such cases are as under:-

1993 - 1

1994 - 1

1996 - 2

1998 - 2

1999 - 1

2002 - 2

2008 - 6

2010 - 1

2011 - 2

2012 - 1

2013 - 1

2015 - 1

2017 - 5

01 (e)(iv). Progress and disposal of execution cases:

287 execution cases were pending before the Court out of which only 7 cases were disposed.

01 (e)(v). Whether interim order, injunction being granted, refused or retained for sufficient reasons?

Yes

01 (e)(vi). Are cases remanded on substantial grounds?

Nil

01 (e)(vii). Performance with regard to decision of Motor Accident Claims related to death / injury

N.A.

01 (f). Whether Judgment on facts and on law are on the whole sound, well-reasoned and expressed in good

EXPUNGED ✓

language?:

'EXPUNGED' ✓

Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in filling up this column:

01 (f)(i).	Marshalling of facts;	Good.
01 (f)(ii).	Appreciation of evidences;	'EXPUNGED' ✓
01 (f)(iii).	Application of law.	'EXPUNGED' ✓
01 (g).	Whether disposal of work is adequate.(Give percentage and reasons for short disposal, if any)	Target - 763.76 Units Achieved - 1484.30 Units Percentage - 194.34%

Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in filling up this column:

01 (g)(i).	Number of cases decided after actual full contest;	Civil - 1 ; Criminal - 23
01 (g)(ii).	Number of cases decided wherein all witnesses of fact turned hostile and the case ended in acquittal.	Nil
01 (g)(iii).	Number of civil cases decided on compromises / alternate dispute resolution.	8 Cases decided as compromise.
01 (g)(iv).	Number of cases wherein after conclusion of arguments and reserving them for judgment, rehearing was ordered.	Nil
01 (h).	Control over the Office and Administrative capacity and tact:	Proper.
01 (i).	Relations with members of the Bar(mention incidents, if any):	Cordial.
01 (j).	Behavior in relation to brother Officers(mention incidents, if any):	Cordial.
01 (k).	Whether the officer has made regular inspections of his court and offices in his charge and whether such inspections were full and effective?	The Officer has made regular inspections of his Court. 'EXPUNGED' ✓
01 (l).	His punctuality and regularity in sitting on the dais in court during court hours?	Yes.
01 (m).	Whether amenable to the advice of the District Judge and other superior officers?	Yes.
01 (n).	Behaviour towards women(respect and sensitivity exhibited towards them)	Courteous.
2.	Over all assessment of the merit of the officer(Outstanding, Very Good, Good, Average, Poor)	'GOOD' ✓
3.	State of Health, with remarks, if any?	'EXPUNGED' ✓
4.	Other remarks, if any:	'EXPUNGED' ✓
5.	Name of the District Judge:	Sanjay Shanker Pandey (UP5456) 30.06.2023

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

Annual remarks recorded by the Administrative Judge/Reviewing and Accepting Authority

Mahesh Chandra Tripathi( HON'BLE JUDGE )

I find that the judicial officer is extremely submissive. He is very obedient, sincere, responsible and reliable officer. There is no complaint against him. In my opinion, the overall assessment of merit of the officer is "Good" and adverse remarks given by the District Judge in column no.1 (a), (b) (d) (f), f(ii), f(iii), k, 2, 3 and 4 are expunged.

Overall assessment	Good
Integrity	Certified

The adverse remarks occurring in col. nos.1(a),1(b),1(d),1(f),1(f)(ii),1(f)(iii),1(k), 3 & 4 expunged and overall assessment of merit modified as 'Good' vide Court remarks dated 06.10.2023 r/w order dated nil passed on representation by Hon'ble Mr. Justice Mahesh Chandra Tripathi, the then Administrative Judge, Lucknow.

  
30/11/23  
Registrar General

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD