APPLICATION FOR SELF ASSESSMENT

Case Id: A00052532023

Employee Id: 2167

3 MONTHS COMPLETION

Whether 3 Months are complete for remarks of DISTRICT JUDGE?

Yes

134		01/04/0000 21/02/2022	- Annex Control of the
	Self Assessment Period	01/04/2022 - 31/03/2023	-
4	Name of the Officer	NAND PRATAP OJHA	
	Designation	Spl. J. (SC/ST, Pev.of Atroci Act)	
•	Date of Joining Service / Length of Service	02/07/2015 (7 Years, 9 Months and 28 days)	
•	Place of Posting	Pratapgarh Posting Details During Self Assessment Period 1. Aligarh-A.D.J. (POCSO)	
	Any other charge held during the financial year	 Special Judge Pocso Act Aligarh (01.04.2022 to 4.07.2022) Incharge of copying section in Aligarh (01.04.2022 to 4.07.2022) Special SC/ST Act, Pratapgarh (6.07.2022 to 31.03.2023) Chairman of ACP Committee: (6.07.2022 to 31.03.2023) Incharge of Record Room. (6.07.2022 to 31.03.2023) Incharge of Paper book. (6.07.2022 to 31.03.2023) Incharge of litigation (6.07.2022 to 31.03.2023) Incharge of Statement (6.07.2022 to 31.03.2023) Nodal officer for monitoring cases pertaining to covid 19 (6.07.2022 to 31.03.2023) 	
7.	Year wise break up of cases	Year wise break up of Cases attatched.	Attachmen Available
3.	· Courts held during the financial year	Report Attached for Courts Held during this Assessment Year	Attachmen Available
١.	In how many cases have you framed the issues	NA as No Pendency Civil Cases	
0.	In how many cases have you framed the charge	164	
1.	Number of cases in which Judgment not delivered within 15 days of conclusions of argument	NIL	
12.	Percentage of appeals remanded by the officer	0 %	
	Inspections	4 Quarterly Inspection Report Attached	Attachmer Available

/.	1	4	
1	1	ال	
2			
IVDAL	C)	
N I I A	TANA	16	
TV D		1.	
LLY	DE A	7777	
TO FO	E		
	CHA		
	<u>C</u>)².	

Remarks if any

- That I, worked as Special Judge SC/ST Act Pratapgrh in this assessment year from 06.07.2022.
- That target of, 40 cases were proposed in action plan 2022 -23 out of which 06 cases of SC/ST Court were disposed off in 1st. Quarter by the then P.O. (Smt. Monica Thakur).
- 3. That after disposal of above 06 Cases the remaining target of proposed cases for me under Action Plan 2022-23 were 34 cases for 2nd Quarter when I tool charge of SC/ST Court
- 4. That I had been transferred from District Court Aligarh to Pratapgarh vide Hon'bel Court Order dated 04.07.2022 and took charge of SC/ST Court since 06.07.2022 as Special Judge SC/ST Act Pratapgarh.
- I have disposed 07 Session Trial cases of Special Judge Pocso Act Aligarh,
- 6. That I have decided 34 Cases of Special Court SC/ST Act Pratapgarh as per the proposed Action Plan 2022-23.
- I have decided all types of Action plan cases and on prorata basis, and the full and complete target has been achieved.
- 15. Details of the works by the officer

Workdone Report During this Assessment Year

Attachment Available

16. Performance in Lok Adalat

I have decided 9 Cases in the Lok Adalat to be held in this assessment year.

SELF ASSESSMENT FORM PART II

Brief description of duties

To Impart Justice to people, early disposal of cases smooth conduct in court Proceeding, apply Law to deciding a case honest working.

- 1. Special Judge Pocso Act Aligarh (01.04.2022 to 4.07.2022)
- Incharge of copying section in Aligarh (01.04.2022 to 4.07.2022)
- 3. Special SC/ST Act, Pratapgarh (6.07.2022 to 31.03.2023)
- 4. Chairman of ACP Committee (6.07.2022 to 31.03.2023)
- 5. Incharge of Record Room. (6.07.2022 to 31.03.2023)
- 6. Incharge of Paper book. (6.07.2022 to 31.03.2023)
- 7. Incharge of litigation (6.07.2022 to 31.03.2023)
- 8. Incharge of Statement (6.07.2022 to 31.03.2023)
- 9. Nodal officer for monitoring cases pertaining to covid 19 (6.07.2022 to 31.03.2023)

Norms set and achieved in respect of disposal of cases.

Target

Achievements

Total Year Target was 1200 unit
Excluding out turm for leaves, holidays etc. i.e.
Holidays 130 x 3.28767 = 427.39706 Unit
After Deduction 1200 - 427.39706= 772.6027 Units

Achived Units 2378.18 Units

Required Unit 772.6027 Units

Rea	nie	ed
red	шп	Eu

I have not claimed any unit/quota for daily data forwarding.

Hon'ble District Judge allowed me to inspect office of Court of Civil Judge Junior Division Court No. 16 and Civil Judge Senior Division Court No. 15 Pratapgarh and I did annual inspection of those court but I have not claimed any Unit/Quota in lieu of such annual inspection.

Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 5 years old. 2A.

Target

N.	
Processes were issued and other steps in accordance	Та
with law were taken and unnecessary of	- 1

Achievements

e taken and unnecessary adjournment were not allowed

arget Achieved.

2A(i). Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.

Achievements

Special Judge Pocso Act, Aligarh

Special Judge Pocso Act, Aligarh

Criminal 61

Criminal

06

Special Judge SC/ST Act, Pratapgarh.

Special Judge SC/ST Act, Pratapgarh.

Criminal 1418

Criminal

DORAN TOPIC LUCIDA A LUCIDA A LUCIDA A LUCIDA DE LA ACIONA DEL LA Number of such matters disposed of during the year.

Target

Achievements

Special Judge Pocso Act, Aligarh

Special Judge Pocso Act, Aligarh

Criminal 61

Criminal 06

Special Judge SC/ST Act, Pratapgarh.

Special Judge SC/ST Act, Pratapgarh.

Criminal

1418

Criminal

Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 10 years old.

Target

Achievements

Processes were issued and other steps in accordance with law were taken and unnecessary adjournment were not

Target Achieved.

Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.

Target

Achievements

Special Judge Pocso Act, Aligarh

00

Special Judge Pocso Act, Aligarh

Criminal

Criminal

Special Judge SC/ST Act, Pratapgarh.

Special Judge SC/ST Act, Pratapgarh.

×	Criminal 357	Criminal 73	
2B(ii).	Number of such matters disposed of during the year.	-	
×	Target	7 Achievements	
	Special Judge Pocso Act, Aligarh	Special Judge Pocso Act, Aligarh	
	Criminal 00	Criminal 00	
	Special Judge SC/ST Act, Pratapgarh.	Special Judge SC/ST Act, Fratapgarh.	
	Criminal 357	Criminal 73	
2C.	Steps taken to dispose of cases of persons with more than 6	5.years of age.	
	Torquit	Achievements	
	Processes were issued and other steps in accordance with law were taken and unnecessary adjournment were not allowed Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year Target Special Judge SC/ST Act, Pratapgarh. Criminal 42 Number of such matters disposed of during the year. Target Special Judge SC/ST Act, Pratapgarh.	Target Achieved	
2C(i).	Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year	r.	
	Target	Achievements	
	Special Judge SC/ST Act, Pratapgarh.	Special Judge SC/ST Act, Pratapgarh.	
	Criminal 42	Criminal 19	
2C(ii).	Number of such matters disposed of during the year.	The second of th	
	Target	Achievements	
	Special Judge SC/ST Act, Pratapgarh.	Special Judge SC/ST Act, Pratapgarh.	
	Criminal 42	Criminal 19	
3.	Please state briefly the shortfalls with reference to the targets / objectives referred to at S. No. 02 above. Please specify constraints, if any, in achieving the targets.		
	Target	Achievements	
	No Shortfalls	No Shortfalls	
4.	Academic and professional achievements during the year	, including degree(s) obtained and/or books/articles published	
	Target	Achievements	
 4. 5. 	No	No	
5.	Whether attended any workshop, course, programme, et organization during the period in question? If so, give de		
	Target	Achievements	
	5 day Refresher Training Programme JTRI Lucknow day Sensitisation Training Programme JTRI Lucknow.	w. All the Training Programme Completed successfully, Its ver- helpful in day to day of Judicial Work.	

01 (e)(iii).

	discussed.	*
156	Target	Achievements
	NO	NO

Attachments	
Attachment	Uploading Date
Case and details list of targetted Action Plan	19/06/2023
Quarterly Report of Action Plan	19/06/2023

^{*}Red background attachments are uploaded in return of objection.

Judgment Attachments	
Judgment Attachment	Uploading Date
Cri. Appeal 43 of 2007 Srilal Yadav vs State	25/04/2023
ST 530 of 2021, State vs Mandeep Kumar Prajapati 376 IPC	25/04/2023
ST 336 of 96 State vs Pannalal Sec. 302,34, 323,34, IPC, 3(2)v Sc.St. Act	25/04/2023
ST 192 of 98, State vs Ramadhar and 3 other 323,304,308,336,327,504,506 IPC	25/04/2023

	Applying Date	Į.
Date	24/04/2023	

	01-Remarks giv	en by the Distric	t Judge regarding:
--	----------------	-------------------	--------------------

or (a).	doubtful or positively lacking
). -	Note- If the officer's integrity is doubtful or positively lacking, it may be so stated with all relevant fact, reason(s) & supporting material.
01 (b)	If he is fair and importial in dealing with the public and

01 (b).	If he is fair and impartial in dealing with the public and	EXPLINGEN?
	Dal:	LATORATE

01 (c).	If he is cool minded and does not lose temper in court.	į	FXPUNGED'	L
01 (d).	His private character is such as to lower him in the	No	ham (

-	discharge of his official duties?
) [(e)	CONTROL OVER THE FILES IN THE MATTER OF

estimation of the public and adversely affects the

4	01 (e)(t)(a).	Proper fixation of cause list:	Yes
-	01 (e)(i)(b).	*	Yes, sufficient number of cases have been fixed to keep engaged
		keep him engaged during full court full court hours?	during full court hours

	keep him engaged during full court full court hours?	during full court hours	
1114			
01 (e)(ii).	Avoidance of unnecessary adjournments:	Unnecessary adjournments have been avoided	

cases decided):	Contested	Un Contested
	1993-1	1997-3
	1994-1	2000-2
	1995-1	2007-2
2	1996-1	2008-1

01 (e)(vi).

01 (e)(vii).

01 (f).

) 01 (f)(ii).

I 1	1997-7 2009-2
	1998-8 2010-3
	1999-6 2012-1
	2000-14 2013-2
	2001-4 2014-2
	2003-2 2015-4
	2004-1 2016-7
	2005-6 2017-13
	2006-1
	2007-1
	2010-2
	2011-3
	2013-1
	2014-6
	2015-4
	2016-3
	2017-7
Progress and disposal of execution cases:	Nil
Whether interim order, injunction being granted, refused or retained for sufficient reasons?	Yes
Are cases remanded on substantial grounds?	No
Performance with regard to decision of Motor Accident Claims related to death / injury	Not Applicable
Whether Judgment on facts and on law are on the whole sound, well-reasoned and expressed in good language?:	EXPUNGED'L
Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in	filling up this column:
Marshalling of facts;	EXPUNCEDOL
Appreciation of evidences;	FXPUNGEN
Application of law.	MEXPUNICENIU
Whether disposal of work is adequate. (Give percentage	

Note:- The following factors	should also be indicated in
Marshalling of facts;	
Appreciation of evidences;	The second secon
Application of law.	and the first of the state of the party of t

Whether disposal of work is adequate. (Give percentage and reasons for short disposal, if any)

The officer has held the court of Special Judge P.O.C.S.O Act Aligarh from 01.04.2022 to 4.07.2022 and Special Judge S.C./S.T. Act, Pratapgarh from 6.07.2022 to 31.03.2023 during the assessment year 2022-23. He also discharged the duty of Incharge Copying section in Aligarh and Chairman of ACP Committee, Incharge of Record Room, Incharge of Paper book, Incharge of litigation, Incharge of Statement, Nodal officer for monitoring cases pertaining to covid 19 in Pratapgarh. He presided in the court only for 230 days hereby the required units for him comes up to 757.20 out of 1200 units for the complete assessment year. The officer has achieved 2378.18 units including the units for Judicial and assigned administrative works.

The officer has also achieved units from actual disposal of cases on merits which is more than 50% of the target unit i.e. 1187.67.

The officer exercised the jurisdiction of Additional District & Sessions Judge alongwith the jurisdiction of Spl. Judge S.C./S.T. Act & Spl. Judge P.O.C.S.O. Act. Thus, he has to decide 27 oldest Session Trial cases as Special Judge S.C./S.T. Act and 12 oldest cases under POCSO Act. He has decided 34/27 oldest Session Trial cases as Special Judge S.C./S.T. Act and 7/12 oldest cases under POCSO Act

	Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in	filling up this column:
01 (g)(i).	Number of cases decided after actual full contest;	Criminal-414
01 (g)(ii).	Number of cases decided wherein all witnesses of fact turned hostile and the case ended in acquittal.	Nil
01 (g)(iii).	Number of civil cases decided on compromises / alternate dispute resolution.	Nil
01 (g)(iv).	Number of cases wherein after conclusion of arguments and reserving them for judgment, rehearing was ordered.	Nil
01 (h).	Control over the Office and Administrative capacity and tact:	Legitimate.
01 (i).	Relations with members of the Bar(mention incidents, if any):	Decent.No incident yet reported.
01 (j).	Behavior in relation to brother Officers(mention incidents, if any):	EXPUNGED'
01 (k).	Whether the officer has made regular inspections of his court and offices in his charge and whether such inspections were full and effective?	The officer has made quarterly inspections regularly and the inspection is proper.
01 (l).	His punctuality and regularity in sitting on the dais in court during court hours?	Punctual & regular
01 (m).	Whether amenable to the advice of the District Judge and other superior officers?	(EXPUNGED'U
01 (π).	Behaviour towards women(respect and sensitivity exhibited towards them)	'EXPUNCED'U 'EXPUNCED'U 'OUTSTANDING' W
2.	Over all assessment of the merit of the officer(Outstanding, Very Good, Good, Average, Poor)	"OUTSTANDING" W
3.	State of Health, with remarks, if any?	Good
4.	Other remarks, if any:	

EXPUNGED

Name of the District Judge:

PRADEEP KUMAR SINGH-II (UP1905) 26.08.2023

Authority

.jay Bhanot(HON'BLE JUDGE)

I have considered the representation of the officer and the remarks in the ACR. I have been observing the performance of the officer throughout my tenure as an Administrative Judge. I find the remarks contradictory on many occasions. Further, the remarks are not justified from any material in the record and do not reflect an accurate assessment of the officer. Most importantly throughout my tenure in the Judgeship, no such shortcomings were brought to my notice at any stage by the learned District Judge. Hence, no opportunity could be given to the officer to improve his performance or to keep him under observation. I have found the officer professionally competent and his integrity is above board. I have seen the judgments rendered by the officer they are well reasoned and depict good command of law and sense of justice. His relations with the Bar, colleagues in the Judgeship and the staff were excellent. He showed full regard to women and other vulnerable sections of the society in his dealings. The officer is an asset to the judiciary.

The adverse remarks are expunged in their entirety. The performance of the officer is liable to be upgraded and is upgraded as "Outstanding".

Overall assessment Outstanding
Integrity Certified

The adverse remarks occurring in col. nos.1(b),1(c),1(f),1(f)(ii),1(f)(iii),1(j),1(j),1(m),1(n) & 4 expunged and overall assessment of merit upgraded as 'Outstanding' vide Court remarks dated 05.10.2023 r/w order dated nil passed on representation by Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ajay Bhanot, the then Administrative Judge, Pratapgarh.

Registrar General