

APPLICATION FOR SELF ASSESSMENT

Case Id : A00058532022

Employee Id : 1839

3 MONTHS COMPLETION

Whether 3 Months are complete for remarks of DISTRICT JUDGE? Yes

SELF ASSESSMENT FORM PART I

1. Self Assessment Period	31/03/2022 - 31/03/2022	
2. Name of the Officer	SMT. PURNIMA SAGAR	
3. Designation	Civil Judge (Senior Div.)	
4. Date of Joining Service / Length of Service	17/12/2009 (12 Years 4 Months and 13 Days)	
5. Place of Posting	Lucknow	
	Posting Details During Self Assessment Period	
	Not Available	
6. Any other charge held during the financial year	SPECIAL C.J.M. LUCKNOW CIVIL JUDGE SENIOR DEVISION LUCKNOW CIVIL JUDGE SENIOR DEVISION MOHANLALGANJ CIVIL JUDGE SENIOR DEVISION LUCKNOW INCHARGE D.L.SA INCHARGE AMEEN OFFICE ASSISTANT PUBLIC INFORMATION OFFICER	
7. Year wise break up of cases	YEAR WISE	Attachment Available
8. Courts held during the financial year	COURTS HELD DURING THE FINANCIAL YEAR	Attachment Available
9. In how many cases have you framed the issues	136	
10. In how many cases have you framed the charge	2	
11. Number of cases in which Judgment not delivered within 15 days of conclusions of argument	NIL	
12. Percentage of appeals remanded by the officer	NIL	
13. Inspections	INSPECTIONS	Attachment Available
14. Remarks if any		
15. Details of the works by the officer	DETAILS OF WORKDONE	Attachment Available
16. Performance in Lok Adalat	196 CASES DECIDED IN LOK ADALAT	

SELF ASSESSMENT FORM PART II

Validity unknown

Digitally signed by MANOJ KUMAR GUPTA
 Date: 2023.09.09 14:28:41 IST
 Reason: Document Owner
 Location: Allahabad High Court

HIGHER COURT OFF JUDICIAL OFFICE AT ALLAHABAD

1.	Brief description of duties	SPECIAL C.J.M. LUCKNOW CIVIL JUDGE SENIOR DEVISION LUCKNOW CIVIL JUDGE SENIOR DEVISION MOHANLALGANJ CIVIL JUDGE SENIOR DEVISION LUCKNOW INCHARGE D.L.SA INCHARGE AMEEN OFFICE ASSISTANT PUBLIC INFORMATION OFFICER	
2.	Norms set and achieved in respect of disposal of cases.		
	<p style="text-align: center;">Target</p> OLD FILES ARE FIXED ON PRIORITY SUMMONS ARE SEND REGULARLY ADJOURNMENT ARE ONLY ALLOWED WITH SOLID REASONS	<p style="text-align: center;">Achievements</p> TOTAL 332 CASES DECIDED DURING THE FINANCIAL YEAR	
2A.	Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 5 years old.		Attachment Available
	<p style="text-align: center;">Target</p> OLD FILES WERE MARKED WITH STICKER OLD FILES ARE FIXED ON PRIORITY SUMMONS ARE SEND REGULARLY ADJOURNMENT ARE ONLY ALLOWED WITH SOLID REASONS	<p style="text-align: center;">Achievements</p> PENDING CASES REGULAR SUIT -590 MISC -232 EXECUTION 43 TOTAL 33 CASES DECIDED	
2A(i).	Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.		Attachment Available
	<p style="text-align: center;">Target</p> OLD FILES WERE MARKED WITH STICKER OLD FILES ARE FIXED ON PRIORITY SUMMONS ARE SEND REGULARLY ADJOURNMENT ARE ONLY ALLOWED WITH SOLID REASONS	<p style="text-align: center;">Achievements</p> PENDING CASES REGULAR SUIT -590 MISC -232 EXECUTION 43 TOTAL 33 CASES DECIDED	
2A(ii).	Number of such matters disposed of during the year.		Attachment Available
	<p style="text-align: center;">Target</p> OLD FILES WERE MARKED WITH STICKER OLD FILES ARE FIXED ON PRIORITY SUMMONS ARE SEND REGULARLY ADJOURNMENT ARE ONLY ALLOWED WITH SOLID REASONS	<p style="text-align: center;">Achievements</p> PENDING CASES REGULAR SUIT -590 MISC -232 EXECUTION 43 TOTAL 33 CASES DECIDED	

2B. Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 10 years old.

Target	Achievements
OLD FILES WERE MARKED WITH STICKER	PENDING CASES
OLD FILES ARE FIXED ON PRIORITY	REGULAR SUIT 368
SUMMONS ARE SEND REGULARLY	MISC -130
ADJOURNMENT ARE ONLY ALLOWED WITH SOLID REASONS	EXECUTION 17
	TOTAL 26 CASES DECIDED

2B(i). Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.

Attachment Available

Target	Achievements
OLD FILES WERE MARKED WITH STICKER	PENDING CASES
OLD FILES ARE FIXED ON PRIORITY	REGULAR SUIT 368
SUMMONS ARE SEND REGULARLY	MISC -130
ADJOURNMENT ARE ONLY ALLOWED WITH SOLID REASONS	EXECUTION 17
	TOTAL 26 CASES DECIDED

2B(ii). Number of such matters disposed of during the year.

Attachment Available

Target	Achievements
OLD FILES WERE MARKED WITH STICKER	PENDING CASES
OLD FILES ARE FIXED ON PRIORITY	REGULAR SUIT 368
SUMMONS ARE SEND REGULARLY	MISC -130
ADJOURNMENT ARE ONLY ALLOWED WITH SOLID REASONS	EXECUTION 17
	TOTAL 26 CASES DECIDED

C. Steps taken to dispose of cases of persons with more than 65 years of age.

Target	Achievements
OLD FILES WERE MARKED WITH STICKER	78 CASES DECIDED
OLD FILES ARE FIXED ON PRIORITY	
SUMMONS ARE SEND REGULARLY	
ADJOURNMENT ARE ONLY ALLOWED WITH SOLID REASONS	

2C(i). Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.

Attachment Available

Target	Achievements
OLD FILES WERE MARKED WITH STICKER	78 CASES DECIDED
OLD FILES ARE FIXED ON PRIORITY	
SUMMONS ARE SEND REGULARLY	

ADJOURNMENT ARE ONLY ALLOWED WITH SOLID REASONS

2C(ii). Number of such matters disposed of during the year.

Attachment Available

Target

Achievements

OLD FILES WERE MARKED WITH STICKER

78 CASES DECIDED

OLD FILES ARE FIXED ON PRIORITY

SUMMONS ARE SEND REGULARLY

ADJOURNMENT ARE ONLY ALLOWED WITH SOLID REASONS

3. Please state briefly the shortfalls with reference to the targets / objectives referred to at S. No. 02 above. Please specify constraints, if any, in achieving the targets.

Attachment Available

Target

Achievements

DECIDE MAXIMUM FILE

TOTAL 332 CASES DECIDED DURING THE FINANCIAL YEAR

DECIDE OLD FILES ON PRIORITY

UNAVAILABILITY OF WORKING DAYS DUE TO COVID 19 LOCKDOWN AND GUIDELINES

4. Academic and professional achievements during the year, including degree(s) obtained and/or books/articles published.

Target

Achievements

NIL

NIL

5. Whether attended any workshop, course, programme, etc., organized by Judicial Academy and/or any other organization during the period in question? If so, give details.

Target

Achievements

TRAINING IN I.I.P.A DELHI

TRAINING IN I.I.P.A DELHI

6. Whether visited Judicial Academy as Faculty Member. If so, give details about the nature of lecture(s) given/topic(s) discussed.

Target

Achievements

NIL

NIL

Attachments

Attachment

Uploading Date

CORRECTED INSPECTION

31/05/2022

CORRECTED YEARWISE BREAK UP

31/05/2022

*Red background attachments are uploaded in return of objection.

Judgment Attachments

Judgment Attachment

Uploading Date

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT LAHORE

C-6	30/04/2022
SUCCESSION	30/04/2022
CONTESTED	30/04/2022
CONTESTED	30/04/2022
CONTESTED	30/04/2022
C-6	30/04/2022

Applying Date	
Date	30/04/2022

01-Remarks given by the District Judge regarding:

01 (a).	Integrity of the Officer- whether beyond doubt, doubtful or positively lacking	Beyond doubtfull
	Note- If the officer's integrity is doubtful or positively lacking, it may be so stated with all relevant fact, reason(s) & supporting material.	
01 (b).	If he is fair and impartial in dealing with the public and Bar?	Fair & Impartial
01 (c).	If he is cool minded and does not lose temper in court.	She is cool minded Officer and does not loose tempter in the Court.
01 (d).	His private character is such as to lower him in the estimation of the public and adversely affects the discharge of his official duties?	Nothing adverse has come to my knowledge.
1 (e).	CONTROL OVER THE FILES IN THE MATTER OF:	
01 (e)(i)(a).	Proper fixation of cause list:	Yes
01 (e)(i)(b).	Whether sufficient number of cases are fixed by him to keep him engaged during full court full court hours?	Yes. Sufficient number of cases have been fixed to keep engaged during full Court hours.
01 (e)(ii).	Avoidance of unnecessary adjournments:	Unnecessary adjournments have been avoided.
01 (e)(iii).	Disposal of old cases(Give number and year of old cases decided):	1985 - 01 1988 - 01 2002 - 01 2005 - 01 2006 - 03 2007 - 04 2008 - 01 2010 - 06 2011 -07 2012 - 01 2014 - 02 2015 - 03
01 (e)(iv).	Progress and disposal of execution cases:	106 Cases were pending before the Court, out of which 02 Cases were

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

decided.

- 01 (e)(v). Whether interim order, injunction being granted, refused or retained for sufficient reasons?
- 01 (e)(vi). Are cases remanded on substantial grounds?
- 01 (e)(vii). Performance with regard to decision of Motor Accident Claims related to death / injury

Yes
Nil
N.A.

01 (f). Whether Judgment on facts and on law are on the whole sound, well-reasoned and expressed in good language?:

Judgments based on facts and on law are on the whole sound, well reasoned and expressed in good language.

Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in filling up this column:

- 01 (f)(i). Marshalling of facts;
- 01 (f)(ii). Appreciation of evidences;
- 01 (f)(iii). Application of law.

Good
Good
Proper

01 (g). Whether disposal of work is adequate.(Give percentage and reasons for short disposal, if any)

Target - 1200 Units
Achieved - 2253.75 Units
Percentage - 187.81 %

Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in filling up this column:

- 01 (g)(i). Number of cases decided after actual full contest;
- 01 (g)(ii). Number of cases decided wherein all witnesses of fact turned hostile and the case ended in acquittal.
- 01 (g)(iii). Number of civil cases decided on compromises / alternate dispute resolution.
- 01 (g)(iv). Number of cases wherein after conclusion of arguments and reserving them for judgment, rehearing was ordered.

Civil - 33 ; Criminal - 0
Nil
37 Cases decided as Compromise.

01 (h). Control over the Office and Administrative capacity and tact:

Proper & Effective

01 (i). Relations with members of the Bar(mention incidents, if any):

Cordial

01 (j). Behavior in relation to brother Officers(mention incidents, if any):

Cordial

01 (k). Whether the officer has made regular inspections of his court and offices in his charge and whether such inspections were full and effective?

Yes, the inspections are full and effective.

01 (l). His punctuality and regularity in sitting on the dais in court during court hours?

Punctual & Regular

01 (m). Whether amenable to the advice of the District Judge and other superior officers?

Yes

01 (n). Behaviour towards women(respect and sensitivity exhibited towards them)

N.A.

2. Over all assessment of the merit of the officer(Outstanding, Very Good, Good, Average, Poor)

'VERY GOOD' ✓

3. State of Health, with remarks, if any?

Good.

4. Other remarks, if any:

Nil.

5. Name of the District Judge: Ram Manohar Narayan Mishra (UP5354)
02.06.2022

Annual remarks recorded by the Administrative Judge/Reviewing and Accepting Authority

Manoj Kumar Gupta (HON'BLE JUDGE)

According to the report of District Judge, the out turn of the Officer during the current year is 2253.75 Units (187.81%) against target 1200 Units. She has maintained cordial relations with the members of the Bar and colleagues, and exercised full control over the staff and office. Her appreciation of evidence and law is good and judgments are very sound. As nothing adverse reported, so integrity certified.

Overall assessment

Very Good

Integrity

Certified

The overall assessment of merit upgraded as 'Very Good' vide Court remarks dated 09.09.2023 r/w order dated nil passed on representation by Hon'ble Mr. Justice Manoj Kumar Gupta, the then Administrative Judge, Lucknow.


24/12/23
Registrar General

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT LUCKNOW