

APPLICATION FOR SELF ASSESSMENT

Case Id : A00063672023

Employee Id : 2247

3 MONTHS COMPLETION

Whether 3 Months are complete for remarks of DISTRICT JUDGE?

Yes

SELF ASSESSMENT FORM PART I

1. Self Assessment Period	01/04/2022 - 31/03/2023	
2. Name of the Officer	ARIF NISAMUDDIN KHAN	
3. Designation	Addl. Civil Judge (Sr.Div.)/ACJM.	
4. Date of Joining Service / Length of Service	11/01/2017 (6 Years, 3 Months and 19 days)	
5. Place of Posting	Lucknow	
	Posting Details During Self Assessment Period 1. Deoria-Secretary(District Legal Services Authority) 2. Lucknow-Addl. Civil Judge (Sr.Div.)/ACJM.	
6. Any other charge held during the financial year	Working as D L S A at Deoria District Court from 01-04-2022 to 05-07-2022	
7. Year wise break up of cases	Yearwise breakup during the year	Attachment Available
8. Courts held during the financial year	Courts held during the year	Attachment Available
9. In how many cases have you framed the issues	not applicable	
10. In how many cases have you framed the charge	85	
11. Number of cases in which Judgment not delivered within 15 days of conclusions of argument	Nil	
12. Percentage of appeals remanded by the officer	Not Applicable	
13. Inspections	Quarterly Inspection during the year	Attachment Available
14. Remarks if any		
15. Details of the works by the officer	Work days and work done during the year	Attachment Available
16. Performance in Lok Adalat	3967 Cases decided in Lok Adalat	

SELF ASSESSMENT FORM PART II

1. Brief description of duties	From 01-04-2022 to 05-07-2022 Working as D L S A District Court Deoria From 06-07-2022 to 31-03-2023 Working as A. C. J. M. Court No. 30 Lucknow
--------------------------------	---

Validity not set and achieved in respect of disposal of cases.

Digitally signed by JUSTICE MAHESH CHANDRA TRIPATHI
 Date: 2023.09.14 14:04:44 IST
 Reason: Document Owner
 Location: Allahabad High Court

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

Target	Achievements
From 01-04-2022 to 05-07-2022 working as D L S A Deoria Quota Exmpted From 06-07-2022 to 31-03-2023 working as A. C. J. M. Court No. 30, Lucknow Required unit -741.28 units	Achieved unit - 1287.47 units

2A. Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 5 years old.

Target	Achievements
1. Emphasis on speedy service of processes. 2. Expeditions hearing. 3. Fixing short dates. 4. Not providing unnecessary adjournments.	1. Emphasis on speedy service of processes. 2. Expeditions hearing. 3. Fixing short dates. 4. Not providing unnecessary adjournments.

2A(i). Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.

Target	Achievements
Pendency of Criminal Cases - 11834	Decided Criminal Cases - 236

2A(ii). Number of such matters disposed of during the year.

Target	Achievements
Pendency of Criminal Cases - 11834	Decided Criminal Cases - 236

2B. Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 10 years old.

Target	Achievements
1. Emphasis on speedy service of processes. 2. Expeditions hearing. 3. Fixing short dates. 4. Not providing unnecessary adjournments.	1. Emphasis on speedy service of processes. 2. Expeditions hearing. 3. Fixing short dates. 4. Not providing unnecessary adjournments.

2B(i). Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.

Target	Achievements
Pendency of Criminal Cases - 6952	Decided of Criminal Cases - 81

2B(ii). Number of such matters disposed of during the year.

Target	Achievements
Pendency of Criminal Cases - 6952	Decided of Criminal Cases - 81

2C. Steps taken to dispose of cases of persons with more than 65 years of age.

Target	Achievements
1. Emphasis on speedy service of processes. 2. Expeditions hearing. 3. Fixing short dates. 4. Not providing unnecessary adjournments.	1. Emphasis on speedy service of processes. 2. Expeditions hearing. 3. Fixing short dates. 4. Not providing unnecessary adjournments.

2C(i). Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.

Target	Achievements

Target	Achievements
Pendency of Criminal Cases - 281	Decided Criminal Cases - 79

2C(ii). Number of such matters disposed of during the year.

Target	Achievements
Pendency of Criminal Cases - 281	Decided Criminal Cases - 79

3. Please state briefly the shortfalls with reference to the targets / objectives referred to at S. No. 02 above. Please specify constraints, if any, in achieving the targets.

Target	Achievements
No	No

4. Academic and professional achievements during the year, including degree(s) obtained and/or books/articles published.

Target	Achievements
No	No

5. Whether attended any workshop, course, programme, etc., organized by Judicial Academy and/or any other organization during the period in question? If so, give details.

Target	Achievements
Training at J. T. R. I. from 27-09-2022 to 01-10-2022	Training at J. T. R. I. from 27-09-2022 to 01-10-2022

6. Whether visited Judicial Academy as Faculty Member. If so, give details about the nature of lecture(s) given/topic(s) discussed.

Target	Achievements
No	No

Attachments

Attachment	Uploading Date
Revised Yearwise breakup	31/05/2023
Action plan disposal list and quarterly disposal	31/05/2023
JUDGMENT	16/06/2023
JUDGMENT	16/06/2023
JUDGMENT	16/06/2023

*Red background attachments are uploaded in return of objection.

Judgment Attachments

Judgment Attachment	Uploading Date
Judgement 03	29/04/2023
Judgement 02	29/04/2023
Judgement 01	29/04/2023

Applying Date

01-Remarks given by the District Judge regarding:

01 (a).	Integrity of the Officer- whether beyond doubt, doubtful or positively lacking	Certified.
Note- If the officer's integrity is doubtful or positively lacking, it may be so stated with all relevant fact, reason(s) & supporting material.		
01 (b).	If he is fair and impartial in dealing with the public and Bar?	Yes.
01 (c).	If he is cool minded and does not lose temper in court.	Yes.
01 (d).	His private character is such as to lower him in the estimation of the public and adversely affects the discharge of his official duties?	No.
1 (e).	CONTROL OVER THE FILES IN THE MATTER OF:	
01 (e)(i)(a).	Proper fixation of cause list:	Yes.
01 (e)(i)(b).	Whether sufficient number of cases are fixed by him to keep him engaged during full court full court hours?	Yes. 7
01 (e)(ii).	Avoidance of unnecessary adjournments:	Unnecessary adjournments avoided by the Officer.
01 (e)(iii).	Disposal of old cases(Give number and year of old cases decided):	<p>The Officer has decided cases which were old for more than five years. Yearwise disposed off such cases are as under:-</p> <p>1972 - 1</p> <p>1983 - 3</p> <p>1984 - 2</p> <p>1985 - 3</p> <p>1986 - 3</p> <p>1987 - 3</p> <p>1988 - 2</p> <p>1989 - 2</p> <p>1990 - 2</p> <p>1991 - 2</p> <p>1992 - 1</p> <p>1993 - 7</p> <p>1994 - 1</p> <p>1995 - 4</p> <p>1996 - 1</p> <p>1997 - 1</p> <p>1998 - 2</p> <p>1999 - 1</p> <p>2000 - 2</p> <p>2001 - 1</p>

2002 - 5
 2004 - 1
 2005 - 1
 2006 - 1
 2007 - 2
 2009 - 6
 2010 - 6
 2011 - 5
 2012 - 10
 2013 - 11
 2014 - 11
 2015 - 23
 2016 - 37
 2017 - 74

01 (e)(iv).	Progress and disposal of execution cases:	N.A.
01 (e)(v).	Whether interim order, injunction being granted, refused or retained for sufficient reasons?	Yes
01 (e)(vi).	Are cases remanded on substantial grounds?	Nil
01 (e)(vii).	Performance with regard to decision of Motor Accident Claims related to death / injury	N.A.
01 (f).	Whether Judgment on facts and on law are on the whole sound, well-reasoned and expressed in good language?;	The perusal of the judgments attached herewith reflect that on facts and law, they are on the whole sound, well-reasoned and expressed in good language.
Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in filling up this column:		
01 (f)(i).	Marshalling of facts;	Good
01 (f)(ii).	Appreciation of evidences;	Good
01 (f)(iii).	Application of law.	Good.
01 (g).	Whether disposal of work is adequate.(Give percentage and reasons for short disposal, if any)	<p>Target - 469.04 Units</p> <p>Acheived - 1287.47 Units</p> <p>Percentage - 274.49%</p>
Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in filling up this column:		
01 (g)(i).	Number of cases decided after actual full contest;	25 Cases decided
01 (g)(ii).	Number of cases decided wherein all witnesses of fact turned hostile and the case ended in acquittal.	Nil
01 (g)(iii).	Number of civil cases decided on compromises / alternate dispute resolution.	N.A.
01 (g)(iv).	Number of cases wherein after conclusion of arguments and reserving them for judgment, rehearing was ordered.	Nil
01 (h).	Control over the Office and Administrative capacity and tact:	The Officer tries to control his Office but little bit more efforts are required for effective control for the same.

01 (i).	Relations with members of the Bar(mention incidents, if any):	Cordial.
01 (j).	Behavior in relation to brother Officers(mention incidents, if any):	Cordial.
01 (k).	Whether the officer has made regular inspections of his court and offices in his charge and whether such inspections were full and effective?	The Officer has made regular inspections of his Court and such inspections were proper.
01 (l).	His punctuality and regularity in sitting on the dais in court during court hours?	The Officer is punctual in sitting on dais during the Court hours.
01 (m).	Whether amenable to the advice of the District Judge and other superior officers?	Yes.
01 (n).	Behaviour towards women(respect and sensitivity exhibited towards them)	The Officer is courteous and respectful towards women.
2.	Over all assessment of the merit of the officer(Outstanding, Very Good, Good, Average, Poor)	'VERY GOOD' L
3.	State of Health, with remarks, if any?	Nothing adverse came to my knowledge with respect to his health.
4.	Other remarks, if any:	nil.
5.	Name of the District Judge:	Sanjay Shanker Pandey (UP5456) 30.06.2023


Annual remarks recorded by the Administrative Judge/Reviewing and Accepting Authority

Mahesh Chandra Tripathi(HON'BLE JUDGE)

I find that the judicial officer is devoted to his work and is extremely submissive. He is very obedient, sincere, responsible and reliable officer. There is no complaint against him. In my opinion, the overall assessment of merit of the officer is "Very Good".

Overall assessment **Very Good**
Integrity **Certified**

Vide Court remarks dated 13.09.2023 read with order dated nil passed on the representation of the officer by Hon'ble Mr. Justice Mahesh Chandra Tripathi, the then Administrative Judge, Lucknow, the overall assessment of merit upgraded to 'Very Good'.


 22/12/23
Registrar General