

APPLICATION FOR SELF ASSESSMENT

Case Id : A00044142023

Employee Id : 3797

3 MONTHS COMPLETION

Whether 3 Months are complete for remarks of DISTRICT JUDGE?

Yes

SELF ASSESSMENT FORM PART I

1.	Self Assessment Period	01/04/2022 - 31/03/2023	
2.	Name of the Officer	ABHINAV DEVESH SHUKLA	
3.	Designation	Civil Judge (Junior Div.)	
4.	Date of Joining Service / Length of Service	14/11/2019 (3 Years, 5 Months and 1 days)	
5.	Place of Posting	Lucknow	
	Posting Details During Self Assessment Period		
	Not Available		
6.	Any other charge held during the financial year	NIL	
7.	Year wise break up of cases	PROFORMA ATTACHED	Attachment Available
8.	Courts held during the financial year	PROFORMA ATTACHED	Attachment Available
9.	In how many cases have you framed the issues	28	
10.	In how many cases have you framed the charge	N.A. (THIS COURT HAS ONLY CIVIL SUITS)	
11.	Number of cases in which Judgment not delivered within 15 days of conclusions of argument	NIL	
12.	Percentage of appeals remanded by the officer	N.A.	
13.	Inspections	4 REGULAR INSPECTIONS AND ONE SURPRISE INSPECTION.	Attachment Available
14.	Remarks if any	First Quarter Inspection done on 20.06.2022 and report submitted on 15.07.2022. Second Quarter Inspection done on 30.09.2022 and report submitted on 12.10.2022. Third Quarter Inspection done on 22.12.2022 and report submitted on 07.01.2023. Fourth Quarter Inspection done on 28.03.2023 and report submitted on 11.04.2023. Surprise Inspection done on 17.12.2022.	
15.	Details of the works by the officer	PROFORMA ATTACHED	Attachment Available
16.	Performance in Lok Adalat	All efforts have been done to decided cases fixed in Lok Adalat. 3 regular suit has been decided in this assessment year.	

Validity UNKROWN

Digitally signed by JUSTICE MAHESH
CHANDRA TRIPATHI
Date: 2023.10.06 16:57:17 IST
Reason: Document Owner
Location: Allahabad High Court

SELF ASSESSMENT FORM PART II

1.	Brief description of duties	Civil Judge, Fast Track Court (civil), Lucknow	Attachment Available
2.	Norms set and achieved in respect of disposal of cases.	<p align="center">Target</p> <p>Total target 1000 Unit for the year (without stenographer) Net target 1000-505.96=494.04 Units (Excluding Holiday's leave and training) Target achieved in percentage= 306.04%</p>	<p align="center">Achievements</p> <p>Achieved 1512.00 Units. Details of work done in units enclosed above</p>
2A.	Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 5 years old.	<p align="center">Target</p> <p>05 year old different type of pending cases. Breakup is enclosed above</p>	<p align="center">Achievements</p> <p>On top priority such matters were taken and disposed accordingly and also short date were fixed and no adjournment were allowed except in exceptional cases. Details of which is enclosed above. 139 such type of Cases were disposed. Proforma attached.</p>
2A(i).	Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.	<p align="center">Target</p> <p>Year wise breakup of pending different type of cases is enclosed</p>	<p align="center">Achievements</p> <p>Year wise breakup of disposal of all different type of cases enclosed</p>
2A(ii).	Number of such matters disposed of during the year.	<p align="center">Target</p> <p>target of 5 year old cases- 454</p>	<p align="center">Achievements</p> <p>disposed- 139</p>
2B.	Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 10 years old.	<p align="center">Target</p> <p>10 year old different type of pending cases. Year wise Breakup file is already enclosed above.</p>	<p align="center">Achievements</p> <p>On top priority such matters were taken and disposed accordingly and also short date were fixed and no adjournment were allowed except in exceptional cases. 43 such type of Cases were disposed. Details of which is enclosed herein.</p>
2B(i).	Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.	<p align="center">Target</p> <p>Target- 135</p>	<p align="center">Achievements</p> <p>43 such type of Cases were disposed. Details of which is enclosed herein.</p>
2B(ii).	Number of such matters disposed of during the year.	<p align="center">Target</p>	<p align="center">Achievements</p>

	Year wise breakup of pending different type of cases is enclosed	Year wise breakup of disposal of all different type of cases enclosed	
2C.	Steps taken to dispose of cases of persons with more than 65 years of age.		
	Target	Achievements	
	Cases of person with more than 65 year old was to be disposed priority bases.	On top priority such matters were taken and disposed accordingly and also short date were fixed and no adjournment were allowed except in exceptional cases. Details of which is enclosed above. 22 such type of Cases were disposed.	Attachment Available
2C(i).	Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.		
	Target	Achievements	
	Pendency of such matters is enclosed herewith.	Year wise breakup of disposal of all different type of cases enclosed total No 65 year old cases disposed. Details of which is enclosed above.	Attachment Available
2C(ii).	Number of such matters disposed of during the year.		
	Target	Achievements	
	Year wise breakup of pending different type of cases is enclosed	Total 22 cases disposed Details of which is enclosed above	
3.	Please state briefly the shortfalls with reference to the targets / objectives referred to at S. No. 02 above. Please specify constraints, if any, in achieving the targets.		
	Target	Achievements	
	To disposed of such type of cases on the priority bases was target.	On top priority such matters were taken and disposed accordingly and also short date were fixed and no adjournment were allowed except in exceptional cases Details of which is enclosed above. Though heavy case pendency, work load, advocate strike were some constrains in achieving the target.	
4.	Academic and professional achievements during the year, including degree(s) obtained and/or books/articles published.		
	Target	Achievements	
	NA/-	NA/-	
5.	Whether attended any workshop, course, programme, etc., organized by Judicial Academy and/or any other organization during the period in question? If so, give details.		
	Target	Achievements	
		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Attended 05 days of Management Development Programme (MDP)/capacity Building Programme (CBP) at Indian Institute of Public administration (IIPA, New Delhi) from 13-17th MArch, 2023. 2. Ecourt programme of Judicial officers of District court. 3. Ecourt programme of Judicial officers of District 	

		courtcomputer skills enhancement (level 1& 2) programme.
6.	Whether visited Judicial Academy as Faculty Member. If so, give details about the nature of lecture(s) given/topic(s) discussed.	
	Target	Achievements
	NA/-	

Attachments	
Attachment	Uploading Date
Certificate	21/04/2023
Working days map	24/04/2023
Quarter-wise disposal of targeted action plan files	22/05/2023
Revised work done proforma	22/05/2023

*Red background attachments are uploaded in return of objection.

Judgment Attachments	
Judgment Attachment	Uploading Date
Contested judgement oldest file	21/04/2023
Contested judgement action plan	21/04/2023
Contested judgement action plan	21/04/2023
Contested judgement action plan	21/04/2023
Muslim Law partition Contested suit	21/04/2023
Contested Judgement High court direction file (partition suit))	21/04/2023
Order 39 Rule 1,2 temporary injunction applications decided contested	21/04/2023
Order 39 Rule 1,2 temporary injunction applications decided contested	21/04/2023
order 22 rule 3 application in Hindi	21/04/2023
order 22 rule 3 application in english	21/04/2023
7-11 application rejected	21/04/2023
7-11 application allowed	21/04/2023

Applying Date	
Date	13/04/2023

01-Remarks given by the District Judge regarding:

01 (a).	Integrity of the Officer- whether beyond doubt, doubtful or positively lacking	Certified.
Note- If the officer's integrity is doubtful or positively lacking, it may be so stated with all relevant fact, reason(s) & supporting material.		
01 (b).	If he is fair and impartial in dealing with the public and Bar?	Yes.
01 (c).	If he is cool minded and does not lose temper in court.	Yes.

01 (d).	His private character is such as to lower him in the estimation of the public and adversely affects the discharge of his official duties?	No.
1 (e).	CONTROL OVER THE FILES IN THE MATTER OF:	
01 (e)(i)(a).	Proper fixation of cause list:	Yes.
01 (e)(i)(b).	Whether sufficient number of cases are fixed by him to keep him engaged during full court full court hours?	Yes.
01 (e)(ii).	Avoidance of unnecessary adjournments:	The Officer has avoided unnecessary adjournments.
01 (e)(iii).	Disposal of old cases(Give number and year of old cases decided):	<p>The Officer has decided cases which were old for more than 5 years. Yearwise disposed off such cases are as under:-</p> <p>1987 - 1 1990 - 1 1996 - 1 1998 - 3 1997 - 1 2005 - 9 2006 - 5 2007 - 6 2008 - 4 2009 - 3 2010 - 1 2011 - 1 2012 - 2 2013 - 3 2014 - 7 2015 - 11 2016 - 13 2017 - 27</p>
01 (e)(iv).	Progress and disposal of execution cases:	7 execution cases were pending before the Court out of which 3 cases were decided by the Officer.
01 (e)(v).	Whether interim order, injunction being granted, refused or retained for sufficient reasons?	Yes
01 (e)(vi).	Are cases remanded on substantial grounds?	NIL
01 (e)(vii).	Performance with regard to decision of Motor Accident Claims related to death / injury	N.A.
01 (f).	Whether Judgment on facts and on law are on the whole sound, well-reasoned and expressed in good language?:	Perusal of the judgments reflect that the judgments on facts and law are on the whole sound, well-reasoned and expressed in good language.
	Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in filling up this column:	
01 (f)(i).	Marshalling of facts;	Good.

01 (f)(ii).	Appreciation of evidences;	Good.
01 (f)(iii).	Application of law.	Good.
01 (g).	Whether disposal of work is adequate.(Give percentage and reasons for short disposal, if any)	Target - 494.04 Units Achieved - 1516.00 Units Percentage - 306.82%
Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in filling up this column:		
01 (g)(i).	Number of cases decided after actual full contest;	27 Cases were decided after actual full contest.
01 (g)(ii).	Number of cases decided wherein all witnesses of fact turned hostile and the case ended in acquittal.	Nil
01 (g)(iii).	Number of civil cases decided on compromises / alternate dispute resolution.	1 Case was decided as Compromise.
01 (g)(iv).	Number of cases wherein after conclusion of arguments and reserving them for judgment, rehearing was ordered.	Nil
01 (h).	Control over the Office and Administrative capacity and tact:	Proper.
01 (i).	Relations with members of the Bar(mention incidents, if any):	Cordial.
01 (j).	Behavior in relation to brother Officers(mention incidents, if any):	Cordial.
01 (k).	Whether the officer has made regular inspections of his court and offices in his charge and whether such inspections were full and effective?	The Officer has made regular inspections of his Court and Offices in his charge and the same were proper and effective.
01 (l).	His punctuality and regularity in sitting on the dais in court during court hours?	The Officer is punctual and regular in sitting on dais during Court hours.
01 (m).	Whether amenable to the advice of the District Judge and other superior officers?	Yes.
01 (n).	Behaviour towards women(respect and sensitivity exhibited towards them)	The Officer's behaviour is courteous towards women.
2.	Over all assessment of the merit of the officer(Outstanding, Very Good, Good, Average, Poor)	'VERY GOOD' ✓
3.	State of Health, with remarks, if any?	Nothing adverse has come within my knowledge regarding his health.
4.	Other remarks, if any:	Nil
5.	Name of the District Judge:	Sanjay Shanker Pandey (UP5456) 30.06.2023

Annual remarks recorded by the Administrative Judge/Reviewing and Accepting Authority

Mahesh Chandra Tripathi(HON'BLE JUDGE)

I find that the judicial officer is devoted to his work and is extremely submissive. He is very obedient, sincere, responsible and reliable officer. There is no complaint against him. In my opinion, the overall assessment of merit of the officer is "Very Good".

Overall assessment

Very Good

Integrity

Certified

Vide Court remarks dated 06.10.2023 read with order dated nil passed on the representation of the officer by Hon'ble Mr. Justice Mahesh Chandra Tripathi, the then Administrative Judge, Lucknow, the overall assessment of merit upgraded to 'Very Good'.



26/12/23

Registrar General