

# APPLICATION FOR SELF ASSESSMENT

Case Id : A00061632022

Employee Id : 1574

## 3 MONTHS COMPLETION

Whether 3 Months are complete for remarks of DISTRICT JUDGE?


Yes

### SELF ASSESSMENT FORM PART I

1.	Self Assessment Period	01/04/2021 - 31/03/2022	
2.	Name of the Officer	GYANENDRA TRIPATHI	
3.	Designation	Addl. District & Sessions Judge	
4.	Date of Joining Service / Length of Service	17/04/2009 (13 Years, 1 Months and 13 days)	
5.	Place of Posting	Agra	
	Posting Details During Self Assessment Period		
		Not Available	
6.	Any other charge held during the financial year	In-charge Disaster Management Committee	
7.	Year wise break up of cases	Performa Attached From 01.04.2021 to 31.03.2022	Attachment Available
8.	Courts held during the financial year	Performa Attached From 01.04.2021 to 31.03.2022	Attachment Available
9.	In how many cases have you framed the issues	NIL	
10.	In how many cases have you framed the charge	49	
11.	Number of cases in which Judgment not delivered within 15 days of conclusions of argument	NIL	
12.	Percentage of appeals remanded by the officer	1	
13.	Inspections	Performa Attached From 01.04.2021 to 31.03.2022	Attachment Available
14.	Remarks if any	NIL	
15.	Details of the works by the officer	Performa Attached From 01.04.2021 to 31.03.2022	Attachment Available
16.	Performance in Lok Adalat	18	

### SELF ASSESSMENT FORM PART II

1.	Brief description of duties	1-a. Judicial work (i) At least 1200 Units to be achieved. Achieved-1270.8 units (ii) 100% data to be uploaded on N.J.D.G.- 100% data was uploaded on N.J.D.G.
----	-----------------------------	--

Validity  UNKNOWN

Digitally signed by Anurag K. KUMAR  
GUPTA  
Date: 2023.09.04 12:25:11 IST  
Reason: I am the signer  
Location: Allahabad High Court

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

2.	Norms set and achieved in respect of disposal of cases.	Target	Achievements	Attachment Available
	Target - 1200 units Excluded units - 616.64 units Actual Target - 583.36 units	Achieved - 1270.80 units		
2A.	Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 5 years old.	Target	Achievements	Attachment Available
			(I) File relating to old cases were taken up on priority. (II) All adequate steps were taken to ensure the presence of accused as well as witnesses. (III) All other adequate steps were taken to dispose these cases on priority basis.	
2A(i).	Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.	Target	Achievements	
			88 cases	
2A(ii).	Number of such matters disposed of during the year.	Target	Achievements	
			51 cases	
2B.	Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 10 years old.	Target	Achievements	
			(I) File relating to old cases were taken up on priority. (II) All adequate steps were taken to ensure the presence of accused as well as witnesses. (III) All other adequate steps were taken to dispose these cases on priority basis.	
2B(i).	Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.	Target	Achievements	
			30 cases	
2B(ii).	Number of such matters disposed of during the year.	Target	Achievements	
			28 cases	

2C. Steps taken to dispose of cases of persons with more than 65 years of age.	Target	Achievements
2C(ii). Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.	Target	Achievements
	16	
2C(iii). Number of such matters disposed of during the year.	Target	Achievements
	11	
3. Please state briefly the shortfalls with reference to the targets / objectives referred to at S. No. 02 above. Please specify constraints, if any, in achieving the targets.	Target	Achievements
	NIL	
4. Academic and professional achievements during the year, including degree(s) obtained and/or books/articles published.	Target	Achievements
	NIL	
5. Whether attended any workshop, course, programme, etc., organized by Judicial Academy and/or any other organization during the period in question? If so, give details.	Target	Achievements
	NIL	
6. Whether visited Judicial Academy as Faculty Member. If so, give details about the nature of lecture(s) given/topic(s) discussed.	Target	Achievements
	NIL	
<b>Attachments</b>		
SELF ASSESSMENT 2021-2022	Attachment	Uploading Date
		27/05/2022

\*Red background attachments are uploaded in return of objection.

**Judgment Attachments**

Judgment Attachment	Uploading Date
S.T. CONVICTION	27/05/2022
S.T. ACQUITAL	27/05/2022
CRIMINAL REVISION	27/05/2022
CRIMINAL APPEAL	27/05/2022
CIVIL APPEAL	27/05/2022
CIVIL REVISION	27/05/2022
SCC SUIT	27/05/2022
SCC REVISION	27/05/2022
ORIGINAL SUIT	27/05/2022
MISC-APPEAL	27/05/2022

Date	Applying Date
27/05/2022	

### 01-Remarks given by the District Judge regarding:

<b>01 (a).</b>	Integrity of the Officer- whether beyond doubt, doubtful or positively lacking	Nothing adverse has been expressly brought to my knowledge.
	Note- If the officer's integrity is doubtful or positively lacking, it may be so stated with all relevant fact, reason(s) & supporting material.	
<b>01 (b).</b>	If he is fair and impartial in dealing with the public and Bar?	Yes
<b>01 (c).</b>	If he is cool minded and does not lose temper in court.	Cool minded & does not lose temper in the Court
<b>01 (d).</b>	His private character is such as to lower him in the estimation of the public and adversely affects the discharge of his official duties?	Nothing adverse has been brought to my knowledge.
<b>1 (e).</b>	<b>CONTROL OVER THE FILES IN THE MATTER OF:</b>	
<b>01 (e)(i)(a).</b>	Proper fixation of cause list:	Proper
<b>01 (e)(i)(b).</b>	Whether sufficient number of cases are fixed by him to keep him engaged during full court full court hours?	Yes
<b>01 (e)(ii).</b>	Avoidance of unnecessary adjournments:	Unnecessary adjournments have been avoided in most of the matters.
<b>01 (e)(iii).</b>	Disposal of old cases(Give number and year of old cases decided):	<b>CONTESTED</b> 08 Sessions trial cases of years 2004 to 2012 01 Criminal Revision of year 2003 04 Original Suits of years 2002 to 2013 15 Civil Appeals of years 2008 to 2016 01 Misc. Civil Appeal of year 2012 05 Execution cases of year 2007 01 Misc. Civil Case of year 2007 01 SCC Revision of year 2012 02 SCC Suits of year 2015

UNCONTESTED / OTHERWISE	
	04 Sessions Trial Cases of years 2007 to 2012
	01 Original Suit of year 2010
	06 Civil Appeals of years 1998 to 2016
	02 SCC Suit of year 2010 & 2016
	'20 execution cases after full satisfaction' ✓
01 (e)(iv).	Progress and disposal of execution cases:
01 (e)(v).	Whether interim order, injunction being granted, refused or retained for sufficient reasons?
01 (e)(vi).	Are cases remanded on substantial grounds?
01 (e)(vii).	Performance with regard to decision of Motor Accident Claims related to death / injury
01 (f).	Whether Judgment on facts and on law are on the whole sound, well-reasoned and expressed in good language?: Judgments are well reasoned.
	Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in filling up this column:
01 (f)(i).	Marshalling of facts; Good
01 (f)(ii).	Appreciation of evidences; Proper
01 (f)(iii).	Application of law. Proper
01 (g).	Whether disposal of work is adequate.(Give percentage and reasons for short disposal, if any) As against a due target of 583.36 units, the Officer has achieved a total of 1270.80 units which is adequate.
	Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in filling up this column:
01 (g)(i).	Number of cases decided after actual full contest; ✓ '84 civil & 95 criminal cases total 179 cases decided after full contest'
01 (g)(ii).	Number of cases decided wherein all witnesses of fact turned hostile and the case ended in acquittal. 04
01 (g)(iii).	Number of civil cases decided on compromises / alternate dispute resolution. 03
01 (g)(iv).	Number of cases wherein after conclusion of arguments and reserving them for judgment, rehearing was ordered. NIL
01 (h).	Control over the Office and Administrative capacity and tact: Good
01 (i).	Relations with members of the Bar(mention incidents, if any): Good
01 (j).	Behavior in relation to brother Officers(mention incidents, if any): Good
01 (k).	Whether the officer has made regular inspections of his court and offices in his charge and whether such inspections were full and effective? Yes. Effectively.
01 (l).	His punctuality and regularity in sitting on the dais in court during court hours? Yes, the officer is punctual and regular in work assigned to him.
01 (m).	Whether amenable to the advice of the District Judge and other superior officers? Yes
01 (n).	Behaviour towards women(respect and sensitivity exhibited towards them) Decent

2.	Over all assessment of the merit of the officer(Outstanding, Very Good, Good, Average, Poor)	Very Good
3.	State of Health, with remarks, if any?	Good
4.	Other remarks, if any:	None
5.	Name of the District Judge:	VIVEK SANGAL (UP6516) 13.07.2022

### Annual remarks recorded by the Administrative Judge/Reviewing and Accepting

#### Authority


**Manoj Kumar Gupta( HON'BLE JUDGE )**

According to the report of the District Judge, during current year the officer has achieved 1293.7 Units against the actual target 593.2 Units. He has maintained cordial relations with the members of the Bar and brother officers, and exercised full control over the staff and office. His appreciation of evidence and law is good and judgments are sound. As nothing adverse reported, so integrity certified.

**Overall assessment** Very Good

**Integrity** Certified

Vide Court remarks dated 09.09.2023 read with order dated nil passed on the representation of the officer by Hon'ble Mr. Justice Manoj Kumar Gupta, the then Administrative Judge, Agra, remarks occurring in col. nos. 1(e)(iv) & 1(g)(i) substituted as '20 execution cases after full satisfaction' and '84 civil & 95 criminal cases total 179 cases decided after full contest' respectively.

  
 26/12/23  
**Registrar General**