

APPLICATION FOR SELF ASSESSMENT

Case Id : A00019602021 | Old Case Id : 4850

Employee Id : 2295

3 MONTHS COMPLETION

Whether 3 Months are complete for remarks of DISTRICT JUDGE?

Yes

SELF ASSESSMENT FORM PART I

1. Self Assessment Period	01/04/2020 - 31/03/2021	
2. Name of the Officer	SUSHRI SHWETSA CHANDRA	
3. Designation	Judicial Magistrate	
4. Date of Joining Service / Length of Service	11/01/2017 (4 Years 3 Months and 6 Days)	
5. Place of Posting	Gonda	
	Posting Details During Self Assessment Period	
	1. Gonda-Civil Judge (Junior Div.)	
6. Any other charge held during the financial year	Civil Judge (JD) from 01/04/20 to 10/11/20; Judicial Magistrate 1st from 11/11/20 to 31/03/21; Principal Magistrate,JJB from 11/11/20 to 31/03/21; Amin Incharge ; Infrastructure committee member.	
7. Year wise break up of cases	Annexure attached	Attachment Available
8. Courts held during the financial year	Civil Judge (JD) from 01/04/20 to 10/11/20; Judicial Magistrate 1st from 11/11/20 to 31/03/21; Principal Magistrate,JJB from 11/11/20 to 31/03/21	
9. In how many cases have you framed the issues	Nil	
10. In how many cases have you framed the charge	12	
11. Number of cases in which Judgment not delivered within 15 days of conclusions of argument	Nil	
12. Percentage of appeals remanded by the officer	Not applicable	
13. Inspections	Annexure attached	Attachment Available
14. Remarks if any	Nil	
15. Details of the works by the officer	Annexure attached	Attachment Available
16. Performance in Lok Adalat	39 Cases disposed.	

Validity unknown

Digitally signed by MANOJ KUMAR GUPTA
Date: 2023.12.19 12:24:49 IST
Reason: Document Owner
Location: Allahabad High Court

SELF ASSESSMENT FORM PART II

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

1.	Brief description of duties	Civil Judge (JD) from 01/04/20 to 10/11/20; Judicial Magistrate 1st from 11/11/20 to 31/03/21; Principal Magistrate,JJB from 11/11/20 to 31/03/21; Amin Incharge : Infrastructure committee member.	
2.	Norms set and achieved in respect of disposal of cases.		
2A.	Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 5 years old.		
	Target	Achievements	
2A(i).	Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
2A(ii).	Number of such matters disposed of during the year.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
	Total pendency /target =2471 (statement annexed) 1 no unnecessary adjournment granted 2 early and short dates fixed	A total of 134 cases are disposed(including JJB cases and excluding criminal misc.applications and transfer by disposal)	
	Total pendency /target =2471 (statement annexed) 1 no unnecessary adjournment granted 2 early and short dates fixed	A total of 24 cases disposed	
	Total pendency /target =2471 (statement annexed) 1 no unnecessary adjournment granted	A total of 24 cases disposed	

2 early and short dates fixed

2B. Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 10 years old.

Target

Achievements

Total pendency /target =6815(statement annexed)
1 no unnecessary adjournment granted

A total of 14 cases disposed

2 early and short dates fixed

2B(i). Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.

Attachment Available

Target

Achievements

Total pendency /target =6815(statement annexed)
1 no unnecessary adjournment granted

A total of 14 cases disposed

2 early and short dates fixed

2B(ii). Number of such matters disposed of during the year.

Attachment Available

Target

Achievements

Total pendency /target =6815(statement annexed)
1 no unnecessary adjournment granted

A total of 14 cases disposed

2 early and short dates fixed

2C. Steps taken to dispose of cases of persons with more than 65 years of age.

Target

Achievements

Target/Pendency of cases:355
1 no unnecessary adjournment granted

A total of 3 cases disposed

2 early and short dates fixed

2C(i). Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.

Target

Achievements

Target/Pendency of cases:355(including Civil Judge JD Court and Judicial Magistrate Court cases)

A total of 3 cases disposed

A total of 3 cases disposed

2C(ii). Number of such matters disposed of during the year.

Target

Achievements

Target/Pendency of cases:355

A total of 3 cases disposed

1 no unnecessary adjournment granted

2 early and short dates fixed

3. Please state briefly the shortfalls with reference to the targets / objectives referred to at S. No. 02 above. Please specify constraints, if any, in achieving the targets.

Target

Achievements

1 frequent strikes by advocates

2 too many institution of fresh civil suits alongwith many cases being fixed on daily basis due to heavy pendency and early fixation of old cases

3 court was closed due to corona guidelines

4. Academic and professional achievements during the year, including degree(s) obtained and/or books/articles published.

Target

Achievements

Nil

Nil

5. Whether attended any workshop, course, programme, etc., organized by Judicial Academy and/or any other organization during the period in question? If so, give details.

Target

Achievements

Nil

Nil

6. Whether visited Judicial Academy as Faculty Member. If so, give details about the nature of lecture(s) given/topic(s) discussed.

Target

Achievements

Nil

Nil

Judgment Attachments

Judgment Attachment

Uploading Date

St v arjun pathak

28/04/2021

St v jatashankar

28/04/2021

St v ramchandar

28/04/2021

Applying Date

Date

17/04/2021

01-Remarks given by the District Judge regarding:

01 (a). Integrity of the Officer- whether beyond doubt, doubtful or positively lacking

Certified

Note- If the officer's integrity is doubtful or positively lacking, it may be so stated with all relevant fact, reason(s) & supporting material.

01 (b).	If he is fair and impartial in dealing with the public and Bar?	Fair and impartial
01 (c).	If he is cool minded and does not lose temper in court.	She is cool minded officer and does not lose temper in court
01 (d).	His private character is such as to lower him in the estimation of the public and adversely affects the discharge of his official duties?	Nothing adverse has come to my knowledge
1 (e).	CONTROL OVER THE FILES IN THE MATTER OF:	
01 (e)(i)(a).	Proper fixation of cause list:	Yes
01 (e)(i)(b).	Whether sufficient number of cases are fixed by him to keep him engaged during full court full court hours?	Yes, sufficient number of cases have been fixed to keep engaged during full court hours
01 (e)(ii).	Avoidance of unnecessary adjournments:	Unnecessary adjournments have been avoided
01 (e)(iii).	Disposal of old cases(Give number and year of old cases decided):	Year 1999 - 1 Year 2004 - 1 Year 2009 - 1 Year 2011 - 2 Year 2012 - 2 Year 2013 - 4 Year 2015 - 3
01 (e)(iv).	Progress and disposal of execution cases:	Nil
01 (e)(v).	Whether interim order, injunction being granted, refused or retained for sufficient reasons?	Yes
01 (e)(vi).	Are cases remanded on substantial grounds?	Not Applicable
01 (e)(vii).	Performance with regard to decision of Motor Accident Claims related to death / injury	Not Applicable
01 (f).	Whether Judgment on facts and on law are on the whole sound, well-reasoned and expressed in good language?:	The officer has attached 3 judgements with her self assessment. which are not the contested judgements and those judgements can be adjudged as average judgement.
	Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in filling up this column:	
01 (f)(i).	Marshalling of facts;	Average
01 (f)(ii).	Appreciation of evidences;	Average
01 (f)(iii).	Application of law.	Proper
01 (g).	Whether disposal of work is adequate.(Give percentage and reasons for short disposal, if any)	Yes The officer has given out term of 194.06 unit against 104.96 unit during the assement year.
	Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in filling up this column:	
01 (g)(i).	Number of cases decided after actual full contest;	Criminal- 32
01 (g)(ii).	Number of cases decided wherein all witnesses of fact	NIL

	turned hostile and the case ended in acquittal.	
01 (g)(iii).	Number of civil cases decided on compromises / alternate dispute resolution.	1 Cases, as per statement submitted by officer.
01 (g)(iv).	Number of cases wherein after conclusion of arguments and reserving them for judgment, rehearing was ordered.	NIL
01 (h).	Control over the Office and Administrative capacity and tact:	Proper
01 (i).	Relations with members of the Bar(mention incidents, if any):	Cordial
01 (j).	Behavior in relation to brother Officers(mention incidents, if any):	Cordial
01 (k).	Whether the officer has made regular inspections of his court and offices in his charge and whether such inspections were full and effective?	The officer has made quarterly inspections regularly and the inspection is proper.
01 (l).	His punctuality and regularity in sitting on the dais in court during court hours?	Punctual & regular
01 (m).	Whether amenable to the advice of the District Judge and other superior officers?	Yes
01 (n).	Behaviour towards women(respect and sensitivity exhibited towards them)	Respectful and sensitive behaviour.
2.	Over all assessment of the merit of the officer(Outstanding, Very Good, Good, Average, Poor)	'GOOD' ✓
3.	State of Health, with remarks, if any?	Good
4.	Other remarks, if any:	Officer had hold charge of principal magistrate JJB board for more then four and half month but not a singal case (enquiry) of the year before 2000, which were 60, was disposed. The officer had also presided over the court of Civil judge (JD) but not a singal execution case was disposed bff. The officer has not taken proper interest in disposal of oldest cases as well as execution cases pending in her Court.
5.	Name of the District Judge:	SANJAY SHANKER PANDEY (UP5456) 07.10.2021

Annual remarks recorded by the Administrative Judge/Reviewing and Accepting Authority

Manoj Kumar Gupta(HON'BLE JUDGE)

In view of order dated 18/12/2023 passed on the representation of the officer on eService module against the adverse remarks awarded by the District Judge for the year 2020-2021, the overall assessment of the officer is upgraded.

Since nothing adverse has been brought to my knowledge, therefore, the integrity is certified.

Overall assessment

Good

Integrity

Certified

The overall assessment of merit of the officer upgraded as 'Good' vide court remarks dated 19.12.2023 read with order dated nil passed on the representation by the Hon'ble Mr. Justice Manoj Kumar Gupta, the then Administrative Judge, Gonda.


27/02/24
Registrar General