

APPLICATION FOR SELF ASSESSMENT

Case Id : A00042642023

Employee Id : 5734

3 MONTHS COMPLETION

Whether 3 Months are complete for remarks of DISTRICT JUDGE?

Yes

SELF ASSESSMENT FORM PART I

1. Self Assessment Period	01/04/2022 - 31/03/2023	
2. Name of the Officer	PRAKASH NATH SRIVASTAVA	
3. Designation	Addl. District & Sessions Judge	
4. Date of Joining Service / Length of Service	16/03/1996 (27 Years, 1 Months and 1 days)	
5. Place of Posting	Auraiya Posting Details During Self Assessment Period 1. Bhadohi at Gyanpur-Addl. District & Sessions Judge	
6. Any other charge held during the financial year	1. O/c Administration 2. Chairman Infrastructure sub committee 3. Member of selection committee 4. Central public information officer	
7. Year wise break up of cases	Proforma attached	Attachment Available
8. Courts held during the financial year	ADJ, Court No. 01, Bhadohi (01.04.2022 to 05.07.2022), ADJ, Court No. 01, Auraiya (06.07.2022 to 31.03.2023)	
9. In how many cases have you framed the issues	Nil	
10. In how many cases have you framed the charge	06	
11. Number of cases in which Judgment not delivered within 15 days of conclusions of argument	Nil	
12. Percentage of appeals remanded by the officer	Nil	
13. Inspections	Proforma inclosed	Attachment Available
14. Remarks if any		
15. Details of the works by the officer	As against targeted 708 units, I achieved 1607.70 units, which is 227%. Calculation chart is attached.	Attachment Available
16. Performance in Lok Adalat	During the year I have disposed 258 cases in National Lokadalat.	

SELF ASSESSMENT FORM PART II

1. Brief description of duties	1. O/c Administration 2. Chairman Infrastructure sub committee
--------------------------------	---

Validity unknown

Digitally signed by SANCHIYA RANI THAKUR
Date: 2024.01.29 15:54:24 IST
Reason: Document Owner
Location: Allahabad High Court

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

3. Member of selection committee
4. Central public information officer
5. ADJ, Court No. 01, Bhadohi (01.04.2022 to 05.07.2022)
6. ADJ, Court No. 01, Auraiya (06.07.2022 to 31.03.2023)

2. Norms set and achieved in respect of disposal of cases.

	Target	Achievements	
	1. Out of 365 days excluding holidays, C.L. & E.L. of 150 days, working days is computed to be 215 days, which is equated 708 units. It was target. 2. Target of 600 units from final disposal of the cases.	1. I achieved 1607.70 units which is 227%. 2. I achieved 870.15 units from final disposal of cases.	
2A.	Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 5 years old.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
	All pending more than 5 years old cases taken up on priority basis.	20 cases disposed off during the year.	
2A(i).	Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.		
	Target	Achievements	
	1997 cases	1502 cases transferred and 23 cases actually disposed.	
2A(ii).	Number of such matters disposed of during the year.		
	Target	Achievements	
	1997 cases	1502 cases transferred and 23 cases actually disposed.	
2B.	Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 10 years old.		
	Target	Achievements	
	Total pendency 1018 cases	274 cases transferred and 35 cases disposed off actually.	
2B(i).	Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
	Total pendency 1161 cases	724 cases transferred and 33 cases disposed off actually.	
2B(ii).	Number of such matters disposed of during the year.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
	Total pendency 1018 cases	274 cases transferred and 35 cases disposed off actually.	
2C.	Steps taken to dispose of cases of persons with more than 65 years of age.		
	Target	Achievements	

Total pendency 251 cases

66 cases disposed off.

2C(i). Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.

Attachment Available

Target

Achievements

Total pendency 251 cases

66 cases disposed off.

2C(ii). Number of such matters disposed of during the year.

Attachment Available

Target

Achievements

Total pendency 251 cases

66 cases disposed off.

3. Please state briefly the shortfalls with reference to the targets / objectives referred to at S. No. 02 above. Please specify constraints, if any, in achieving the targets.

Target

Achievements

Target set for the year is mentioned above.

Target achieved, No shortfall.

4. Academic and professional achievements during the year, including degree(s) obtained and/or books/articles published.

Target

Achievements

Nil

Nil

5. Whether attended any workshop, course, programme, etc., organized by Judicial Academy and/or any other organization during the period in question? If so, give details.

Target

Achievements

Nil

Nil

6. Whether visited Judicial Academy as Faculty Member. If so, give details about the nature of lecture(s) given/topic(s) discussed.

Target

Achievements

Nil

Nil

Judgment Attachments

Judgment Attachment

Uploading Date

Judgement of conviction (St No. 260@2002 State vs Mayank Chaturvedi and others and other CN 146@2002 u.s. 147, 148, 149, 307, 302, IPC)

10/04/2023

Judgement of acquittal (St No.7@2005 and 222-2009 State vs Shankar Singh and Malol Singh CN 200@2004 u.s. 364, 302, 201, 404 IPC)

10/04/2023

Civil Appeal 13@2019 Nirmal Kumari vs Mahadevi

10/04/2023

Applying Date

Date

10/04/2023

01-Remarks given by the District Judge regarding:

01 (a).	Integrity of the Officer- whether beyond doubt, doubtful or positively lacking	beyond doubt
	Note- If the officer's integrity is doubtful or positively lacking, it may be so stated with all relevant fact, reason(s) & supporting material.	
01 (b).	If he is fair and impartial in dealing with the public and Bar?	Yes
01 (c).	If he is cool minded and does not lose temper in court.	Yes
01 (d).	His private character is such as to lower him in the estimation of the public and adversely affects the discharge of his official duties?	No
1 (e).	CONTROL OVER THE FILES IN THE MATTER OF:	
01 (e)(i)(a).	Proper fixation of cause list:	Yes
01 (e)(i)(b).	Whether sufficient number of cases are fixed by him to keep him engaged during full court full court hours?	Yes
01 (e)(ii).	Avoidance of unnecessary adjournments:	Yes
01 (e)(iii).	Disposal of old cases(Give number and year of old cases decided):	20 cases disposed of older than 5 years and 35 cases disposed of older than 10 years. A total of 55 old cases were disposed of.
01 (e)(iv).	Progress and disposal of execution cases:	10
01 (e)(v).	Whether interim order, injunction being granted, refused or retained for sufficient reasons?	NA
01 (e)(vi).	Are cases remanded on substantial grounds?	NA
01 (e)(vii).	Performance with regard to decision of Motor Accident Claims related to death / injury	NA
01 (f).	Whether Judgment on facts and on law are on the whole sound, well-reasoned and expressed in good language?:	Yes
	Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in filling up this column:	
01 (f)(i).	Marshalling of facts;	Proper
01 (f)(ii).	Appreciation of evidences;	Proper
01 (f)(iii).	Application of law.	Proper
01 (g).	Whether disposal of work is adequate.(Give percentage and reasons for short disposal, if any)	1607.70 units were achieved over the 708 required units, which is 227.04% of work done.
	Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in filling up this column:	
01 (g)(i).	Number of cases decided after actual full contest;	267
01 (g)(ii).	Number of cases decided wherein all witnesses of fact turned hostile and the case ended in acquittal.	1
01 (g)(iii).	Number of civil cases decided on compromises / alternate dispute resolution.	Nil
01 (g)(iv).	Number of cases wherein after conclusion of arguments and reserving them for judgment, rehearing was ordered.	Nil
01 (h).	Control over the Office and Administrative capacity and tact:	Good

01 (i).	Relations with members of the Bar(mention incidents, if any):	Cordial
01 (j).	Behavior in relation to brother Officers(mention incidents, if any):	'EXPUNGED' ✓
01 (k).	Whether the officer has made regular inspections of his court and offices in his charge and whether such inspections were full and effective?	Yes
01 (l).	His punctuality and regularity in sitting on the dais in court during court hours?	Punctual and Regular
01 (m).	Whether amenable to the advice of the District Judge and other superior officers?	'EXPUNGED' ✓
01 (n).	Behaviour towards women(respect and sensitivity exhibited towards them)	Good
2.	Over all assessment of the merit of the officer(Outstanding, Very Good, Good, Average, Poor)	'GOOD' ✓
3.	State of Health, with remarks, if any?	The health of the officer is good and sound.
4.	Other remarks, if any:	'EXPUNGED' ✓
5.	Name of the District Judge:	Anil Kumar Verma (UP6552) 05.08.2023

Attachments By District Judge

Attachments	Uploading Date
Attachment	05/08/2023

Annual remarks recorded by the Administrative Judge/Reviewing and Accepting Authority

Sadhna Rani (Thakur)(HON'BLE JUDGE)

The Officer has worked in Auriya District for nine months as ADJ-Ist. Being member of the various committees he has contributed in the administration of the judgship.

So far as the entry in column 1(J) regarding corruption and entry in column 1(M) that the officer is 'not amenable to the advice of the District Judge, are concerned, these entries have no legs to stand because no specific instance has been mentioned by the District Judge and in column 1(A) the integrity of the officer is found beyond doubt by the District Judge. The explanation submitted by the officer in his representation is found satisfactory and appealing.

The disposal of the officer being 227% is adequate. He has made a considerable disposal of 258 cases in Lok Adalat. He has decided as many as 20, five year old cases and 35, ten year old cases and 66 cases, relating to senior citizens. His integrity is marked 'beyond doubt', he is found to be fair and impartial with public and bar and also a cool minded person. The District Judge has not found any thing adverse in the judicial work of the officer.

The adverse remarks of the officer at column no. 1 (J), 1(M) and column no. 4 are, thus, expunged. He is found amenable to the advice of District Judge.

I grade him as a Good officer. His 'average' grading is substituted by 'Good' grading.

Overall assessment

Good

Integrity

Certified

The adverse remarks occurring in col. nos.1(j),1(m) & 4 expunged and overall assessment of merit substituted as 'Good' vide Court remarks dated 29.01.2024 read with order dated 29.01.2024 passed on representation by Hon'ble Mrs. Justice Sadhna Rani (Thakur), the then Administrative Judge, Auraiya.



Registrar General

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLI