

APPLICATION FOR SELF ASSESSMENT

Case Id : A00049592023

Employee Id : 2255

3 MONTHS COMPLETION

Whether 3 Months are complete for remarks of DISTRICT JUDGE?

Yes

SELF ASSESSMENT FORM PART I

1. Self Assessment Period	01/04/2022 - 31/03/2023	
2. Name of the Officer	MANAS VATSA	
3. Designation	Addl. Civil Judge (Sr.Div.)/ACJM.	
4. Date of Joining Service / Length of Service	07/02/2017 (6 Years, 2 Months and 13 days)	
5. Place of Posting	Allahabad Posting Details During Self Assessment Period Not Available	
6. Any other charge held during the financial year	No	
7. Year wise break up of cases	File attached	Attachment Available
8. Courts held during the financial year	Addl. Civil Judge (Sr.Div.) Court no 16 Allahabad	
9. In how many cases have you framed the issues	38	
10. In how many cases have you framed the charge	NOT APPLICABLE	
11. Number of cases in which Judgment not delivered within 15 days of conclusions of argument	NIL	
12. Percentage of appeals remanded by the officer	NOT APPLICABLE	
13. Inspections	FILE ATTACHED	Attachment Available
14. Remarks if any	None	
15. Details of the works by the officer	FILE ATTACHED	Attachment Available
16. Performance in Lok Adalat	19 Cases were decided in four National Lok Adalat held during the Assessment year.	

SELF ASSESSMENT FORM PART II

1. Brief description of duties	During the period of assessment , I have been posted as Additional Civil Judge (Sr. Division). Allahabad and Prescribed Authority under U.P (Act no 13 of 1972) for several police station area .Several Administrative duties were performed by me as per the directions of Hon'ble District Judge, Allahabad. I have been a member of several Administrative Committies formed in Allahabad Judgeship.
--------------------------------	--

Validity Not set and achieved in respect of disposal of cases.

Digitally signed by VINAY KUMAR
BIRLA
Date: 2024.01.10 18:45:37 IST
Reason: Document Owner
Location: Allahabad High Court

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

	Target	Achievements
	2145 Cases were pending.	229 Cases were disposed.
2A.	Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 5 years old.	
	Target	Achievements
	950 Cases were pending	129 Cases were disposed
2A(i).	Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.	
	Target	Achievements
	950 Cases were pending	129 Cases were disposed
2A(ii).	Number of such matters disposed of during the year.	
	Target	Achievements
	950 Cases were pending	129 Cases were disposed
2B.	Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 10 years old.	
	Target	Achievements
	741 Cases were pending	92 Cases were disposed
2B(i).	Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.	
	Target	Achievements
	741 Cases were pending	92 Cases were disposed
2B(ii).	Number of such matters disposed of during the year.	
	Target	Achievements
	741 Cases were pending	92 Cases were disposed
2C.	Steps taken to dispose of cases of persons with more than 65 years of age.	
	Target	Achievements
	Nil	NA
2C(i).	Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.	
	Target	Achievements
	Nil	NA
2C(ii).	Number of such matters disposed of during the year.	
	Target	Achievements
	Nil	NA
3.	Please state briefly the shortfalls with reference to the targets / objectives referred to at S. No. 02 above. Please specify constraints, if any, in achieving the targets.	
	Target	Achievements

	NIL	NA
4.	Academic and professional achievements during the year, including degree(s) obtained and/or books/articles published.	
	Target	Achievements
	Nil	NA
5.	Whether attended any workshop, course, programme, etc., organized by Judicial Academy and/or any other organization during the period in question? If so, give details.	
	Target	Achievements
	Refresher Training Programme Organised by JTRI U.P from 30.08.2022 to 03.09.2022	Learned several new aspects of law.
6.	Whether visited Judicial Academy as Faculty Member. If so, give details about the nature of lecture(s) given/topic(s) discussed.	
	Target	Achievements
	No	NA

Attachments

Attachment	Uploading Date
file attached	20/04/2023
file attached	20/04/2023

*Red background attachments are uploaded in return of objection.

Judgment Attachments

Judgment Attachment	Uploading Date
Judgement 1	20/04/2023
Judgement 2	20/04/2023
Judgement 3	20/04/2023
Judgement 4	20/04/2023
Judgement 5	20/04/2023

Applying Date

Date	20/04/2023
------	------------

01-Remarks given by the District Judge regarding:

01 (a).	Integrity of the Officer- whether beyond doubt, doubtful or positively lacking	'CERTIFIED' ✓
	Note- If the officer's integrity is doubtful or positively lacking, it may be so stated with all relevant fact, reason(s) & supporting material.	
01 (b).	If he is fair and impartial in dealing with the public and Bar?	Yes.
01 (c).	If he is cool minded and does not lose temper in court.	Yes.
01 (d).	His private character is such as to lower him in the	No.

	estimation of the public and adversely affects the discharge of his official duties?	
1 (e).	CONTROL OVER THE FILES IN THE MATTER OF:	
01 (e)(i)(a).	Proper fixation of cause list:	Yes.
01 (e)(i)(b).	Whether sufficient number of cases are fixed by him to keep him engaged during full court full court hours?	Yes.
01 (e)(ii).	Avoidance of unnecessary adjournments:	Yes.
01 (e)(iii).	Disposal of old cases(Give number and year of old cases decided):	As per the statement submitted by the Presiding Officer, he has decided 160 cases more than 5 years old, 86 cases more than 10 years old and 03 cases of before year 2000.
01 (e)(iv).	Progress and disposal of execution cases:	2 cases (contested) and 2 cases (non-contested)
01 (e)(v).	Whether interim order, injunction being granted, refused or retained for sufficient reasons?	Yes.
01 (e)(vi).	Are cases remanded on substantial grounds?	Not applicable.
01 (e)(vii).	Performance with regard to decision of Motor Accident Claims related to death / injury	Not applicable.
01 (f).	Whether Judgment on facts and on law are on the whole sound, well-reasoned and expressed in good language?:	Yes.
	Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in filling up this column:	
01 (f)(i).	Marshalling of facts;	Good.
01 (f)(ii).	Appreciation of evidences;	Good.
01 (f)(iii).	Application of law.	Good.
01 (g).	Whether disposal of work is adequate.(Give percentage and reasons for short disposal, if any)	Yes. As per the statement submitted by the Presiding Officer, the Percentage of the work done by the Presiding Officer is 226.55 %.
	Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in filling up this column:	
01 (g)(i).	Number of cases decided after actual full contest;	12 cases.
01 (g)(ii).	Number of cases decided wherein all witnesses of fact turned hostile and the case ended in acquittal.	The Criminall Work has not been allotted, hence, not applicable.
01 (g)(iii).	Number of civil cases decided on compromises / alternate dispute resolution.	38 cases.
01 (g)(iv).	Number of cases wherein after conclusion of arguments and reserving them for judgment, rehearing was ordered.	Nil.
01 (h).	Control over the Office and Administrative capacity and tact:	Good.
01 (i).	Relations with members of the Bar(mention incidents, if any):	Good.
01 (j).	Behavior in relation to brother Officers(mention incidents, if any):	Good.
01 (k).	Whether the officer has made regular inspections of his court and offices in his charge and whether such inspections were full and effective?	Yes.
01 (l).	His punctuality and regularity in sitting on the dais in court during court hours?	Average.

01 (m).	Whether amenable to the advice of the District Judge and other superior officers?	Yes.
01 (n).	Behaviour towards women(respect and sensitivity exhibited towards them)	Good.
2.	Over all assessment of the merit of the officer(Outstanding, Very Good, Good, Average, Poor)	'VERY GOOD' ✓
3.	State of Health, with remarks, if any?	Good.
4.	Other remarks, if any:	Nil.
5.	Name of the District Judge:	Santosh Rai (UP 6523) 28.06.2023

Annual remarks recorded by the Administrative Judge/Reviewing and Accepting Authority

Vivek Kumar Birla(HON'BLE JUDGE)

Perused the Annual Confidential remarks given by the District Judge.

I have considered the representation of the officer.

Though I agree with the view of the District Judge, but considering the overall performance of the officer concerned, he needs to be upgraded as 'Very Good' in place of 'Good'.

His overall assessment of merit is assessed as 'Very Good'.

Integrity of the officer is certified.

Representation of the officer stands disposed of accordingly.


Overall assessment

Very Good

Integrity

Certified

The integrity of the officer in col. no.1(a) certified and the overall assessment of merit of the officer upgraded as 'Very Good' vide court remarks dated 10.01.2024 read with order dated nil passed on the representation by the Hon'ble Mr. Justice Vivek Kumar Birla, the then Administrative Judge, Allahabad.


27/02/24
Registrar General

JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

HIGH COURT