

APPLICATION FOR SELF ASSESSMENT

Case Id : A00047982023

Employee Id : 2510

3 MONTHS COMPLETION

Whether 3 Months are complete for remarks of DISTRICT JUDGE?

Yes

SELF ASSESSMENT FORM PART I

1.	Self Assessment Period	01/04/2022 - 31/03/2023	
2.	Name of the Officer	ARNAV RAJ CHAKRAVORTY	
3.	Designation	Judicial Magistrate	
4.	Date of Joining Service / Length of Service	26/09/2018 (4 Years, 6 Months and 26 days)	
5.	Place of Posting	Allahabad Posting Details During Self Assessment Period 1. Jalaun-Jalaun at Orai-Civil Judge (Junior Div.) 2. Prayagraj-Judicial Magistrate	
6.	Any other charge held during the financial year	1. Civil Judge (JD), Jalaun from 01.04.2022 to 04.07.2023.	
7.	Year wise break up of cases	Attached	Attachment Available
8.	Courts held during the financial year	Attached	Attachment Available
9.	In how many cases have you framed the issues	8	
10.	In how many cases have you framed the charge	197	
11.	Number of cases in which Judgment not delivered within 15 days of conclusions of argument	NIL	
12.	Percentage of appeals remanded by the officer	NA	
13.	Inspections	Quarterly inspection	Attachment Available
14.	Remarks if any		
15.	Details of the works by the officer	Attached	Attachment Available
16.	Performance in Lok Adalat	1057 cases disposed in Lok Adalat	

SELF ASSESSMENT FORM PART II

1.	Brief description of duties	1. Civil Judge (JD), Jalaun from 01.04.2022 to 04.07.2023. 2. Judicial Magistrate, COurt No.3 Allahabad from
----	------------------------------------	---

Validity unknown

Digitally signed by VIVEK KUMAR BIRLA
Date: 2024.01.10 15:45:41 IST
Reason: Document Owner
Location: Allahabad High Court

06.07.2022 to 31.03.2023.

2.	Norms set and achieved in respect of disposal of cases.		
	Target	Achievements	
	Target- 1200 units NOTE- Stenographer provided to the Officer.	Achievement - 2367.77 units	
2A.	Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 5 years old.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
2A(i).	Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
2A(ii).	Number of such matters disposed of during the year.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
2B.	Steps taken to dispose of old matters which are more than 10 years old.		
	Target	Achievements	
2B(i).	Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
2B(ii).	Number of such matters disposed of during the year.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
2C.	Steps taken to dispose of cases of persons with more than 65 years of age.		
	Target	Achievements	
2C(i).	Pendency of such matters at the commencement of the year.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
2C(ii).	Number of such matters disposed of during the year.		Attachment Available
	Target	Achievements	
3.	Please state briefly the shortfalls with reference to the targets / objectives referred to at S. No. 02 above. Please specify constraints, if any, in achieving the targets.		
	Target	Achievements	
4.	Academic and professional achievements during the year, including degree(s) obtained and/or books/articles published.		
	Target	Achievements	
5.	Whether attended any workshop, course, programme, etc., organized by Judicial Academy and/or any other organization during the period in question? If so, give details.		

	Target	Achievements
6.	Whether visited Judicial Academy as Faculty Member. If so, give details about the nature of lecture(s) given/topic(s) discussed.	
	Target	Achievements

Attachments	
Attachment	Uploading Date
Action Plan 2022-23	25/04/2023

*Red background attachments are uploaded in return of objection.

Judgment Attachments	
Judgment Attachment	Uploading Date
Cri. Case No. 335/16 - State Vs. Kuldeep	21/04/2023
Cri. Case No. 46/96 State Vs. Usha Gautam	21/04/2023
Cri. Case No. 534/91 State Vs. Ashok Kumar & Ors.	21/04/2023
Cri. Case No. 75/13 State Vs. Shyam Sundar & Ors.	21/04/2023
Cri. Case No. 36/13 State vs. Dinesh Bhartiya	21/04/2023
Complaint Case No. 1243/17 Bhawna Pandey Vs. Arvind Pandey	21/04/2023

Applying Date	
Date	
	19/04/2023

01-Remarks given by the District Judge regarding:

01 (a).	Integrity of the Officer- whether beyond doubt, doubtful or positively lacking	No written information against integrity has been received, hence, certified.
	Note- If the officer's integrity is doubtful or positively lacking, it may be so stated with all relevant fact, reason(s) & supporting material.	
01 (b).	If he is fair and impartial in dealing with the public and Bar?	As per oral information received to me from the Bar, his conduct and behaviour is not praiseworthy but poor.
01 (c).	If he is cool minded and does not lose temper in court.	Yes.
01 (d).	His private character is such as to lower him in the estimation of the public and adversely affects the discharge of his official duties?	No.
1 (e).	CONTROL OVER THE FILES IN THE MATTER OF:	
01 (e)(i)(a).	Proper fixation of cause list:	Not proper but average.
01 (e)(i)(b).	Whether sufficient number of cases are fixed by him to keep him engaged during full court full court hours?	No. Adequate number of case were not fixed to engage himself during full court hours.
01 (e)(ii).	Avoidance of unnecessary adjournments:	Yes.
01 (e)(iii).	Disposal of old cases(Give number and year of old cases decided):	As per the statement submitted by the Presiding Officer, he has decided 248 cases more than 5 years old, 64 cases more than 10 years old and 23 cases of before year 2000.
01 (e)(iv).	Progress and disposal of execution cases:	As per statement, the Officer has not tried to dispose of any Execution Case, whether 64 Execution Cases were pending.

01 (e)(v).	Whether interim order, injunction being granted, refused or retained for sufficient reasons?	Not sufficient but average reasons given.
01 (e)(vi).	Are cases remanded on substantial grounds?	Not applicable.
01 (e)(vii).	Performance with regard to decision of Motor Accident Claims related to death / injury	Not applicable.
01 (f).	Whether Judgment on facts and on law are on the whole sound, well-reasoned and expressed in good language?:	The judgement were not well reasoned and were not expressed in good language.
	Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in filling up this column:	
01 (f)(i).	Marshalling of facts;	Average.
01 (f)(ii).	Appreciation of evidences;	Poor.
01 (f)(iii).	Application of law.	Average.
01 (g).	Whether disposal of work is adequate.(Give percentage and reasons for short disposal, if any)	Yes. As per the statement submitted by the Presiding Officer, the Percentage of the work done by the Presiding Officer is 265.22 %.
	Note:- The following factors should also be indicated in filling up this column:	
01 (g)(i).	Number of cases decided after actual full contest;	36 cases.
01 (g)(ii).	Number of cases decided wherein all witnesses of fact turned hostile and the case ended in acquittal.	Nil.
01 (g)(iii).	Number of civil cases decided on compromises / alternate dispute resolution.	03 cases have been disposed of on the basis of compromise/Lok Adalat
01 (g)(iv).	Number of cases wherein after conclusion of arguments and reserving them for judgment, rehearing was ordered.	Nil.
01 (h).	Control over the Office and Administrative capacity and tact:	Average.
01 (i).	Relations with members of the Bar(mention incidents, if any):	Average.
01 (j).	Behavior in relation to brother Officers(mention incidents, if any):	Good.
01 (k).	Whether the officer has made regular inspections of his court and offices in his charge and whether such inspections were full and effective?	Yes.
01 (l).	His punctuality and regularity in sitting on the dais in court during court hours?	Average.
01 (m).	Whether amenable to the advice of the District Judge and other superior officers?	Yes.
01 (n).	Behaviour towards women(respect and sensitivity exhibited towards them)	Good.
2.	Over all assessment of the merit of the officer(Outstanding, Very Good, Good, Average, Poor)	'GOOD' ✓
3.	State of Health, with remarks, if any?	Good.
4.	Other remarks, if any:	Nil.
5.	Name of the District Judge:	Santosh Rai (UP 6523) 29.06.2023

Annual remarks recorded by the Administrative Judge/Reviewing and Accepting Authority

Vivek Kumar Birla(HON'BLE JUDGE)

Perused the Annual Confidential Remarks given by the District Judge.

I have gone through the Reprerentation filed by the officer agaist the ACR given by the District Judge.

Though I agree with view of the District Judge, however considering the overall performance of the officer his merit is upgraded to "Good" in place of Average.

His reprerentation is allowed to that extend.

His integrity is Certified.

His integrity is Certified.


Overall assessment

Good

Integrity

Certified

The overall assessment of merit of the officer upgraded as 'Good' vide court remarks dated 10.01.2024 read with order dated nil passed on the representation by the Hon'ble Mr. Justice Vivek Kumar Birla, the then Administrative Judge, Allahabad.


Registrar General

REAT ALLAHABAD

HIGH COURT OF JUI